

Postprint of: Food Packaging and Shelf Life 22: 100410 (2019)

1 Preservation of phytosterol and PUFA during ready-to-eat lettuce shelf-life in active
2 bio-package

3 M. Llana-Ruíz-Cabello^a, M. Puerto^a, S. Pichardo^a, N.T. Jiménez-Morillo^b, J.M.
4 Bermúdez^c, S. Aucejo^c, A.M. Camean^a, J.A. González-Pérez^{a,*}

5

6

7 ^a*Universidad de Sevilla, Profesor García González n°2, 41012-Seville, Spain*

8 ^b*IRNAS-CSIC, MOSS Group. Av. Reina Mercedes, 10, 41012-Seville, Spain*

9 ^c*ITENE, c/ Albert Einstein 1, 46980-Paterna (Valencia), Spain*

10

11 * Corresponding author. Tel: +34 95 4624711; Fax: +34 95 4624002.

12 *E-mail: jag@irnase.csic.es (J.A. González-Pérez).*

Highlights

- Analytical pyrolysis was useful in tracing nutritious PUFAs and PHSTs in lettuce.
- Lettuce packed in PLA/PBS film experienced PUFAs and PHSTs decrease with time.
- Using active PLA/PBS film containing OEO allowed preservation during shelf life.

14 **Abstract**

15 Natural preservatives are used in food packages to improve the shelf life of perishable
16 products. Carvacrol and thymol, the main components of oregano essential oil (OEO),
17 are used in active packaging due to their antimicrobial and antioxidant properties. Here,
18 the effect of a bioactive polylactic acid (PLA)/polybutylene succinate (PBS) package in
19 the conservation of lettuce compounds with dietetic value is studied. Analytical pyrolysis
20 (Py-GC/MS) was used to detect changes in dietary components such are phytosterols
21 (PHSTs) and polyunsaturated fatty acids (PUFAs) after 1, 4 and 8 days of packaged in
22 PLA/PBS (95:5%) films containing different OEO concentrations (2-10%). Lettuce
23 PUFAs and PHSTs content decreased when packed in films without OEO. However,
24 when packed in films containing 5 and 10% OEO, these bioactive components were
25 preserved during the estimated lettuce shelf life, for up to 8 days of storage.

26

27 **Keywords:** Lettuce; Bioactive compounds; PUFAs; Analytical pyrolysis

29 **1. Introduction**

30 The market of ready-to-eat leaf vegetables is rapidly growing at a global scale providing
31 consumers with appealing products, rich in healthy and beneficial bioactive compounds.
32 Among the most relevant nutritious components in leafy vegetables are phytosterols (Kim
33 et al., 2015) and unsaturated/polyunsaturated fatty acids (Saini, Shang, Choi, Kim &
34 Keum, 2016). Lettuce is known to contain high quantities of dietary phytosterols (PHSTs)
35 (Kaliora, Batzaki, Christe & Kalogeropoulos, 2015) and of polyunsaturated fatty acids
36 (PUFAs) (Saini, Shetty & Giridhar, 2014).

37 Both, PHSTs and PUFAs are relevant bioactive components of vegetables known to have
38 positive effects on health when included in the diets. Plant sterols exhibit cholesterol-
39 lowering properties and are able to protect against cardiovascular diseases (Moreau,
40 Whitaker & Hicks, 2002; Weststrate & Meijer, 1998). Dietary PUFAs like α -linolenic
41 acid (ALA) have also many beneficial effects in the control of chronic diseases i.e.
42 inhibition of synthesis of vasoaggressive low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and acceleration
43 of its elimination, reduction of blood pressure, prevention of cardiovascular disease and
44 cancer (Abedi & Sahari, 2014). This has led to the development of functional foods
45 enriched in such bioactive components like plant sterols and PUFAs (Lagarda, García-
46 Llantes & Farré, 2006; Volker, Weng & Quaggiotto, 2005).

47 There is also interest in providing the industry with effective means for food preservation
48 and of its nutritious beneficial properties. New trends are focusing in the development of
49 active packaging, which can interact with the product or its environment and then improve
50 food preservation. In general, active packaging containing essential oils (EOs) are
51 developed to improve the shelf life of food and to avoid the undesirable flavours caused
52 by direct addition of these substances (Gutiérrez, Sánchez, Battle & Nerín, 2009). In this

53 sense, oregano essential oil (OEO) is being included in these new food packaging
54 materials due to its bioactive properties (Jouki, Mortazavi, Yazdi & Koocheki, 2014a;
55 Wu et al., 2014) that are related to its content in bioactive monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes
56 and phenolic compounds (Ortega-Nieblas et al., 2011) and because of their safety (Llana-
57 Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2015a; 2017)

58 Therefore, different films containing OEO has been found useful in reducing the
59 microbial counts of several microorganisms in food stuffs i.e. cooked salmon
60 (Tammineni, Ünlü & Min, 2013), cheese (Otero et al., 2014), chicken breast (Fernández-
61 Pan, Carrión-Granda & Maté, 2014), rainbow trout (Jouki, Yazdi, Mortazavi, Koocheki
62 & Khazaei, 2014b) and also in lettuce (Llana-Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2016a). Moreover, OEO
63 and films incorporated with this EO have shown different antioxidant activities related
64 with the retardation of lipid peroxidation through their potent radical scavenging activity
65 derived from their composition in carvacrol and thymol (Maisanaba et al., 2017). In fact,
66 in a previous work we found that carvacrol, thymol, and their mixture (10:1) at low
67 concentrations exert protective role against induced oxidative stress on Caco-2 cell lines
68 system model (Llana-Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2015b).

69 Such antimicrobial and antioxidant properties of additives in bio-plastics improve food
70 preservation and consumer acceptance and this, desirably should include the preservation
71 of the nutritional profile of the packed food. As far as we know there is no information
72 available regarding the benefits of food packaged in active films in relation with the
73 conservation of specific compounds with dietetic value i.e. PHSTs and PUFAs.

74 Analytical pyrolysis is a tool providing a direct fingerprinting and precise information
75 about composition, quality and additives in foods and packages. The products of pyrolysis
76 are amenable to chromatographic separation and when combined with a mass

77 spectrometry detector (Py-GC-MS), yields molecular information about the structure of
78 complex mixtures of natural and synthetic macromolecular substances (González-Pérez
79 et al., 2007; González-Pérez, Joménez-Morillo, de la Rosa, Almendros & González-Vila,
80 2015). Other well-known advantages of the technique are the requirement of small sample
81 sizes and little or no sample preparation. This technique has been used with success to
82 detect EOs added to synthetic and bio-based polymers (Llana-Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2016b).
83 Major plant lipid components such are sterols and fatty acids are also easily detected by
84 direct analytical pyrolysis (Py-GC/MS) of biomass (González-Vila, Tinoco, Almendros
85 & Martín, 2001; González-Vila, González-Pérez, Aldi, Gómis, Pérez-Barrera & Verdejo,
86 2009; Schnitzer, McArthur, Shulten, Kozak & Huang, 2006).

87 In previous works, a polylactic acid (PLA) & polybutylene succinate (PBS) (95:5) film
88 containing OEO was developed (Llana-Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2016a), its antioxidant
89 properties evaluated (Llana-Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2015b) and the ability of this material to
90 reduce microbial counts of yeasts and molds in ready-to-eat salad was confirmed (Llana-
91 Ruiz-Cabello et al., 2016a).

92 In this work we use a detailed Py-GC/MS analysis performed to detect changes in food
93 composition of the relevant dietetic compounds mono, di and polyunsaturated fatty acids
94 (PUFAs) and PHSTs, in iceberg lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*) after 1, 4 and 8 days packaged
95 in PLA/PBS (95:5) films containing different quantities of OEO (0, 2, 5 and 10 %). The
96 concentrations of OEO were selected according to Llana-Ruiz-Cabello et al. (2016a).

98 2. Materials and Methods

99 2.1. *Bio-polymer and additives*

100 Plastic films were made of a mixture of polylactic acid (PLA) with polybutylene succinate
101 (PBS) (950 g kg⁻¹:50 g kg⁻¹) and extruded with variable quantities of oregano essential
102 oil (EO). The EO was obtained from El Jarpil® (Almería, Spain), PLA (2003D extr.
103 grade) was purchased from Nature Works LLC (Minnetonka, MN, USA) and PBS (GS
104 PlaTM FD92WD) from Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation (Tokyo, Japan). Chemicals
105 for the different assays were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Spain) and VWR
106 International Eurolab (Spain).

107 The active PLA films were obtained by melt blending in a twin-screw extruder (DSE 20-
108 40D; Brabender, Duisburg, Germany). Different concentrations (0, 2, 5 and 10% w/w) of
109 OEO and were fed into the barrel at L/D 10. Barrel temperatures were set at 200–205 °C
110 working at a screw speed of 70 min⁻¹. Final average thickness of the final films was 80
111 µm (315 Gauge).

112 2.2. *Packaging and storage*

113 Developed lettuce packages containing 5 g of iceberg salad packed in PLA films (0, 2, 5
114 and 10% w/w OEO) as explained in section 2.1. were produced. Then, PLA bags were
115 heat sealed with an initial modified atmosphere composed by 10 % O₂, 10 % CO₂ and 80
116 % N₂. Sample bags of iceberg salad were stored at 4°C for 8 days, simulating commercial
117 conditions of production, transport and commercialisation.

118 2.3. *Analytical pyrolysis (Py-GC/MS)*

119 Direct pyrolysis-gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (Py-GC/MS) of samples was
120 performed using a double-shot pyrolyzer (Frontier Laboratories, model 2020i,

121 Fukushima, Japan) attached to a GC system (Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA. USA,
122 model 6890N), 1, 4 and 8 days after packaged. Samples (0.3-0.4 mg dry lettuce biomass)
123 were placed in crucible deactivated steel pyrolysis capsules and introduced into a
124 preheated micro-furnace at (500 °C) for 1 min. The volatile pyrolysates were then directly
125 injected into the GC/MS for analysis. The gas chromatograph was equipped with a low
126 polar-fused silica (5%-phenyl-methylpolysiloxane) capillary column (Agilent J&W HP-
127 5ms Ultra Inert, of 30 m × 250 µm × 0.25 µm film thickness. The oven temperature was
128 held at 50 °C for 1 min and then increased to 100 °C at 30 °C min⁻¹, from 100 °C to 300
129 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹, and stabilized at 300 °C for 10 min with a total analysis time of 32
130 min. The carrier gas was He at a controlled flow of 1 mL min⁻¹. The detector consisted of
131 a mass selective detector (Agilent 5973 Technologies, Palo Alto, CA. USA, model
132 5973N) and mass spectra were acquired at 70 eV ionizing energy. Compound assignment
133 was achieved by single-ion monitoring (SIM) for the major homologous series and by
134 comparison with published data reported in the literature or stored in digital NIST 14
135 (Maryland, USA) and Wiley 7 (Weinheim, Germany) libraries.

136 **3. Results and discussion**

137 *3.1. Lettuce Py-GC/MS fingerprint*

138 The analytical pyrolysis of lettuce produced typical biomass chromatograms. A detailed
139 pyrolysis fingerprint of lettuce is depicted in Fig. 1 and the identified compounds in Table
140 1. A complete list of the pyrolysis results obtained for all samples can be found in Supl.
141 Table 1. The first part of the chromatogram (min 2 to 14) is dominated by pyrolysis
142 products from lignocellulose that represent c. 43 % of the total chromatographic area and
143 included products from the major polysaccharide component (38 %) i.e. furan
144 [1,6,8,10,17,18] and cyclopentane [2,4,7,11,15] derivatives and methoxyphenols

145 [13,21,25,26] from the polyphenolic lignin domain (5 %). Most long chain lipids, mainly
146 alkane/alkene doublets [33-36, 38] and fatty acids (FA) [29-32] are eluted in the central
147 section of the chromatogram (min 14 to 23), with a major prominent peak of palmitic acid
148 [29] (c. min 14.8) and an oleic complex cluster that include the polyunsaturated (PUFAs)
149 linoleic [30] and linolenic [31] acids, the monounsaturated oleic [co-eluted] and saturated
150 FA stearic [32] acids. The last section of the chromatogram (min 23 to 28) is dominated
151 by triterpenes, plant sterols known as PHSTs. Other compounds identified in the
152 pyrograms from iceberg lettuce included: aromatic structures of unknown origin (ARO),
153 alkyl benzenes [3,22], phenol [9] and methyl phenols [12]; compounds with nitrogen (N)
154 probably derived from the protein/polypeptide domain, nitriles [16, 19], hydrazones [28]
155 and the heterocyclic pyrroles [5] and indoles [20,24]. Also a small proportion (1 %) of
156 methylated FAs (FAME) were identified [37, 39] probably derived from the pyrolysis of
157 epicuticular waxes.

158 Compounds with a particular dietetic interest found in the lettuce chromatograms were
159 the bioactive PUFAs included in the oleic domain (c. min 16.5) that represented c. 4 %
160 of total chromatographic area and the PHSTs, eluted at the end of the chromatogram that
161 represents c. 8 % of total chromatographic area. The chemical structures of these
162 compounds as well as their mass spectra are in Fig. 2. The preservation of these
163 compounds during the shelf life of the packed lettuce was considered as the main target
164 for this study.

165
166

3.2. *Lettuce decay with time*

167 The evolution of lettuce pyrolysis fingerprint packed in PLA/PBS bioplastic without OEO
168 is shown in Fig. 3. A conspicuous disappearance of peaks of particular dietetic interest:
169 oleic and PUFAs complex as well as of PHSTs, can be observed at a first sight in the

170 chromatogram from days 4 and 8. This confirms that iceberg lettuce rapidly and
171 progressively lost relevant dietetic compounds during conservation time when packed in
172 our bioplastic (PLA/PBS) without any OAO additive.

173 When analysing the evolution of the lettuce pyrogram fingerprint with time and packed
174 in the PLA/PBS bioplastic without (OEO 0%) or with variable concentrations of the OEO
175 additive (2, 5, 10 %), the preservation of the peaks corresponding to compounds of
176 particular dietetic interest is also evident (Fig. 4). In this regard, even when the lettuce is
177 packed in bioplastic with the minimum OEO content (OEO 2%) the relative content of
178 PHST is preserved along the storage time and when packaged in 5 and 10% OEO
179 containing films, both PUFAs and PHST relative contents are preserved even after 8 days
180 of storage.

181 Comparing the abundance of the oleic complex (peaks 30-32) and of the major PHSTs
182 (stigmasterol and sitosterol, peaks 43 and 44 respectively) allow us to compare the
183 evolution of compounds with dietetic interest with time and with the different
184 concentrations of OEO added to the package bioplastic. The evolution of dietetic relevant
185 compounds is shown in Fig. 5A, B. Also, an attempt was made to detect possible
186 degradation or cracking of long chain fatty acids with storage time. For this, we compared
187 the chromatographic areas of the most abundant long chain FA (palmitic acid) with that
188 of acetic acid. Acetic acid is found in relative high abundance in biomass pyrolyates and
189 is usually consider a degradation product of fatty acids (Fig 5C).

190 Therefore, lettuce packaged in films with high concentrations of OEO (5% and 10%)
191 maintained the values of oleic complex nutrients at levels similar to those observed for
192 the first day of storage (Fig. 5A) that may be attributed to an effective antioxidant activity
193 exerted by the additive in the film. In addition, values of PHSTs experimented an

194 increased in lettuce packaged in films containing OEO (Fig. 5B), this may reflect the
195 occurrence of a selective preservation of PHSTs with time. Although not conclusive, the
196 results also point to a positive effect of OEO in films by diminishing long chain palmitic
197 acid degradation to short chain acids (Fig. 5C).

198

199 **Conclusions**

200 Analytical pyrolysis was found useful in characterizing lettuce composition and
201 particularly in tracing the evolution with time of dietetic relevant components like the
202 bioactive PUFAs and PHSTs. Using this technique, we were able to evaluate the effect
203 of an active film bio-package (PLA/PBS) containing variable quantities of OEO in the
204 conservation of these specific dietetic compounds in packed food. The use of active bio-
205 packages containing OEO, allowed appropriate preservation of both PHSTs and PUFAs
206 relative contents during the shelf life (8 days) of the packed food.

207

208 **Acknowledgements**

209 Projects CSIC10-1E-448, AGL2012-38357-C02-01 and INTERCARBON (CGL2016-
210 78937-R) co-financed by FEDER Funds, and AGR-7252 Junta de Andalucía. M. Llana-
211 Ruiz-Cabello wish to acknowledge ‘Junta de Andalucía’ grant associated to AGR-7252
212 project. Alba Carmona Navarro and Desire Monis Carrere are recognized for their
213 technical assistance.

214

215 **References**

- 216 Abedi, E., & Sahari, M.A. (2014). Long-chain polyunsaturated fatty acid sources and
217 evaluation of their nutritional and functional properties. *Food Science and Nutrition*, 2,
218 443–463.
- 219 Fernández-Pan, I., Carrión-Granda, X., & Maté, J.I. (2014). Antimicrobial efficiency of
220 edible coatings on the preservation of chicken breast fillets. *Food Control*, 36, 69–75.
- 221 González-Pérez, J.A., Arbelo, C.D., González-Vila, F.J., Rodríguez Rodríguez, A.,
222 Almendros, G., Armas, C.M., & Polvillo, O. (2007). Molecular features of organic matter
223 in diagnostic horizons from andosols as seen by analytical pyrolysis. *Journal of*
224 *Analytical and Applied Pyrolysis*, 80, 369–382.
- 225 González-Pérez, J.A., Jiménez-Morillo, N.T., de la Rosa, J.M., Almendros, G., &
226 González-Vila, F.J. (2015). Pyrolysis-gas chromatography-isotope ratio mass
227 spectrometry of polyethylene. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1388, 236–243.
- 228 González-Vila, F.J., Tinoco, P., Almendros, G., & Martín, F. (2001). Pyrolysis-GC-MS
229 analysis of the formation and degradation stages of charred residues from lignocellulosic
230 biomass. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry*, 49, 1128–1131.
- 231 González-Vila, F.J., González-Pérez, J.A., Akdi, K., Gómis, M.D., Pérez-Barrera, F., &
232 Verdejo, T. (2009). Assessing the efficiency of urban waste biocomposting by analytical
233 pyrolysis (Py–GC/MS). *Bioresource Technology*, 100, 1304–1309.
- 234 Gutiérrez, L., Sánchez, C., Battle, R., & Nerín, C. (2009). New antimicrobial active
235 package for bakery products. *Trends in Food Science and Technology*, 20, 92–99.

236 Jouki, M., Mortazavi, S.A., Yazdi, F.T., & Koocheki, A. (2014a). Characterization of
237 antioxidant-antimicrobial quince seed mucilage films containing thyme essential oil.
238 *Carbohydrate Polymers*, *99*, 537–546.

239 Jouki, M., Yazdi, F.T., Mortazavi, S.A., Koocheki, A., & Khazaei, N. (2014b). Effect of
240 quince seed mucilage edible films incorporated with oregano or thyme essential oil on
241 shelf life extension of refrigerated rainbow trout fillets. *International Journal of Food*
242 *Microbiology*, *174*, 88–97.

243 Kaliora, A.C., Batzaki, C., Christe, M.G., & Kalogeropoulos, N. (2015). Nutritional
244 evaluation and functional properties of traditional composite salad dishes. *LWT-Food*
245 *Science and Technology*, *62*, 775–782.

246 Kim, T.J., Lee, K.B., Baek, S.-A., Choi, J., Ha, S.-H., Lim, S.-H., Park, S.-Y., Yeo, Y.,
247 Park, S.U., & Kim, J.K. (2015). Determination of lipophilic metabolites for species
248 discrimination and quality assessment of nine leafy vegetables. *Journal of the Korean*
249 *Society for Applied Biological Chemistry*, *58*, 909–918.

250 Lagarda, M.J., García-Llantas, G., & Farré, R. (2006). Analysis of phytosterols in foods.
251 *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, *41*, 1486–1496.

252 Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M., Pichardo, S., Maisanaba, S., Puerto, M., Prieto, A.I., Gutiérrez-
253 Praena, D., Jos, A. Cameán A.M. (2015a). *In vitro* toxicological evaluation of essential
254 oils and their main compounds used in active food packaging: A review. *Food and*
255 *Chemical Toxicology*, *81*, 9-27.

256 Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M., Gutiérrez-Praena, D., Puerto, M., Pichardo, S., Jos, A., &
257 Cameán, A.M. (2015b). *In vitro* pro-oxidant/antioxidant role of carvacrol, thymol and
258 their mixture in the intestinal Caco-2 cell line. *Toxicology in Vitro*, *29*, 647–656.

259 Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M., Pichardo, S., Bermúdez, J.M., Baños, A., Núñez, C., Guillamón,
260 E., Aucejo, S., & Cameán, A.M. (2016a). Development of PLA films containing oregano
261 essential oil (*Origanum vulgare* L. *virens*) intended for use in food packaging. *Food*
262 *Additives and Contaminants: Part A*, 33, 1374–1386.

263 Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M., Pichardo, S., Jiménez-Morillo, N.T., Bermúdez, J.M., Aucejo,
264 S., González-Vila, F.J., Cameán, A.M., & González-Pérez, J.A. (2016b). Molecular
265 characterisation of a bio-based active packaging containing *Origanum vulgare* L.
266 essential oil using pyrolysis gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. *Journal of the*
267 *Science of Food and Agriculture*, 96, 3207–3212.

268 Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M., Maisanaba, S., Puerto, M., Pichardo, S., Jos, A., Moyano, R.,
269 Cameán, A.M. (2017). A subchronic 90-day oral toxicity study of *Origanum vulgare*
270 essential oil in rats. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 101, 36-47.

271 Maisanaba, S., Llana-Ruiz-Cabello, M., Gutiérrez-Praena, D., Pichardo, S., Puerto, M.,
272 Prieto, A.I., Jos, A., & Cameán, A.M. (2017). New advances in active packaging
273 incorporated with essential oils or their main components for food preservation. *Food*
274 *Reviews International*, 33, 447–515.

275 Moreau, R.A., Whitaker, B.D., & Hicks, K.B. (2002). Phytosterols, phytostanols, and
276 their conjugates in foods: structural diversity, quantitative analysis, and health-promoting
277 uses. *Progress in Lipid Research*, 41, 457-500.

278 Ortega-Nieblas, M.M., Robles-Burgueño, M.R., Acedo-Félix, E., González-León, A.,
279 Morales-Trejo, A., & Vázquez-Moreno, M.L. (2011). Chemical composition and
280 antimicrobial activity of oregano (*Lippia palmeri* S. Wats) essential oil. *Revista*
281 *Fitotecnia Mexicana*, 34, 11–17.

282 Otero, V., Becerril, R., Santos, J.A., Rodríguez-Calleja, J.M., Nerín, C., & García-López,
283 M.-L. (2014). Evaluation of two antimicrobial packaging films against *Escherichia coli*
284 O157: H7 strains *in vitro* and during storage of Spanish ripened sheep cheese (Zamorano).
285 *Food Control*, 42, 296–302.

286 Saini, R.K., Shetty, N.P., & Giridhar, P. (2014). GC-FID/MS Analysis of Fatty Acids in
287 Indian Cultivars of *Moringa oleifera*: Potential Sources of PUFA. *Journal of the*
288 *American Oil Chemists' Society*, 91, 1029–1034.

289 Saini, R.K., Shang, X.M., Choi, J.H., Kim, D., & Keum, Y.-S. (2016). Characterization
290 of nutritionally important phytoconstituents in minimally processed ready-to-eat baby-
291 leaf vegetables using HPLC–DAD and GC–MS. *Journal of Food Measurement and*
292 *Characterization*, 2, 341–349.

293 Schnitzer, M., McArthur, D.F.E., Schulten, H.-R., Kozak, L.M., & Huang, P.M. (2006).
294 Long-term cultivation effects on the quantity and quality of organic matter in selected
295 Canadian prairie soils. *Geoderma*, 130, 141–156.

296 Tammineni, N., Ünlü, G., & Min, S.C., (2013). Development of antimicrobial potato peel
297 waste-based edible films with oregano essential oil to inhibit *Listeria monocytogenes* on
298 cold-smoked salmon. *International Journal of Food Science & Technology*, 48, 211–214.

299 Volker, D.H., Weng, X., & Quaggiotto, P. (2005). Bioavailability of long-chain omega-
300 3 polyunsaturated fatty acid enriched luncheon meats. *Nutrition & Dietetics*, 62, 130–
301 137.

302 Weststrate, J.A., & Meijer, G.W. (1998). Plant sterol-enriched margarines and reduction
303 of plasma total- and LDL-cholesterol concentrations in normocholesterolaemic and

304 mildly hypercholesterolaemic subjects. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 52, 334–
305 343.

306 Wu, J., Ge, S., Liu, H., Wang, S., Chen, S., Wang, J., Li, J., & Zhang, Q. (2014).
307 Properties and antimicrobial activity of silver carp (*Hypophthalmichthys molitrix*) skin
308 gelatin-chitosan films incorporated with oregano essential oil for fish preservation. *Food*
309 *Packaging and Shelf Life*, 2, 7–16.

310

311

312 FIGURE CAPTIONS:

313

314 **Figure 1.-** Fresh lettuce fingerprinting (Py-GC/MS at 500 °C), with an indication of the
315 relative contribution of the main compound families. Numbers on peaks corresponds to
316 the major compounds identified and listed in Table 1. The insert units are in % of total
317 chromatographic area.

318 **Figure 2.-** Chemical structure and mass spectra of the main compounds with dietetic
319 value detected by direct analytical pyrolysis of lettuce (Py-GC/MS at 500 °C). The mass
320 spectra correspond to those obtained in our instrument.

321 **Figure 3.-** Evolution of lettuce fingerprinting (Py-GC/MS at 500 °C) with time (1, 4 and
322 8 days) packed in PLA/PBS bioplastic (95:5) film without OEO. Numbers on peaks
323 corresponds to the major compounds identified and listed in Table 1.

324 **Figure 4.-** Evolution of lettuce fingerprinting (Py-GC/MS at 500 °C) with time (1, 4 and
325 8 days) packed in PLA/PBS bioplastic (95:5) film casted with variable concentrations of
326 OEO (0, 2, 5, 10 % w/w).

327 **Figure 5.-** (A) and (B); evolution of the abundance ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mg}$ lettuce biomass dry weight)
328 of the main compounds with dietetic value and (C); evolution of the relative abundance
329 of palmitic acid vs acetic acid (% chromatographic area) in lettuce with conservation time
330 (1, 4 and 8 days) packed in PLA/PBS (95:5) film containing OEO (0, 2, 5 and 10% w/w).

331

332

333 TABLE CAPTIONS:


334

335 **Table 1.-** Lettuce Py-GC/MS (500 °C) fingerprinting. Major compounds identified with
336 indication of the retention time (RT: retention time in minutes), relative abundance (RA:
337 % total chromatographic area), abundance (Ab µg/mg lettuce biomass dry weight
338 pyrolyzed) and type (probable biogenic origin).


339

340 **Supl. Table 1.-** Lettuce Py-GC/MS (500 °C) fingerprinting. Major compounds
341 identified for all samples analysed with an indication of the retention time (RT:
342 retention time in minutes), relative abundance (RA: % total chromatographic area),
343 abundance (Ab µg/mg lettuce biomass dry weight pyrolyzed) and type (probable
344 biogenic origin).


345




9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-




9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid, (Z,Z,Z)-




n-Octadecanoic acid



Oleic complex




Stigmasterol




$C_{29}H_{48}O$
Peak 43 R.T.=25.79 min


Phytosterols








Gamma-Sitosterol




$C_{29}H_{50}O$
Peak 44 R.T.=26.46 min









A) Oleic complex (peak 30+31+32)



B) phytosterols (peak 43+44)



C) Palmitic acid



1 **Table 1.**

2

<i>Ref</i>	<i>RT</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>AB</i>	<i>Compound</i>	<i>Type</i>	<i>Ref</i>	<i>RT</i>	<i>RA</i>	<i>AB</i>	<i>Compound</i>	<i>Type</i>
1	2.01	3.29	9.66	2,5-Dimethylfuran	PS	23	8.49	1.44	4.24	2-Pentylcyclopentanone	LIP
2	2.23	5.35	15.74	Cyclopentene, 1-methyl-	PS	24	8.59	0.95	2.78	1H-Indole, 3-methyl-	N
3	2.35	7.06	20.78	Toluene	ARO	25	8.76	0.74	2.19	Vanillin	LIG
4	2.47	2.88	8.48	Cyclopentane-1,2-diol	PS	26	9.32	0.45	1.33	Phenol, 2-methoxy-5-(1-propenyl)-, (E)-	LIG
5	2.71	7.38	21.71	1H-Pyrrole, 1-methyl-	N	27	12.27	0.32	0.93	Cyclohexene, 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-acetylmethyl-	LIP
6	3.31	2.82	8.28	2(5H)-Furanone	PS	28	12.41	0.51	1.49	Furfural phenylhydrazone	N
7	3.39	3.82	11.22	2-Hydroxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one	PS	29	14.81	3.81	11.20	n-Hexadecanoic acid	FA
8	3.72	2.59	7.60	5 Methyl furfural	PS	30	16.44	1.98	5.81	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-	FA
9	3.85	4.40	12.94	Phenol	ARO	31	16.50	1.79	5.26	9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid (Z,Z,Z)-	FA
10	4.05	2.77	8.14	Benzofuran	PS	32	16.67	0.53	1.56	n-Octadecanoic acid	FA
11	4.34	4.98	14.64	2-Cyclopenten-1-one, 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-	PS	33	17.85	0.31	0.93	Alk	LIP
12	4.58	1.46	4.30	Phenol, 2-methyl-	ARO	34	18.04	0.57	1.66	ALK	LIP
12	4.80	2.39	7.03	Phenol, 4-methyl-	ARO	35	19.42	1.86	5.46	ALK	LIP
13	4.99	1.81	5.32	Phenol, 2-methoxy-	LIG	36	20.96	1.09	3.21	Alk	LIP
14	5.08	1.50	4.42	Ethanol, 2-butoxy-	LIP	37	21.20	0.51	1.51	Tetracosanoic acid, methyl ester	FAME
15	5.32	2.86	8.41	2-Cyclopenten-1-one, 3-ethyl-2-hydroxy-	PS	38	22.38	1.08	3.17	Alk	LIP
16	5.55	1.72	5.06	Benzyl nitrile	N	39	22.60	0.38	1.13	Hexacosanoic acid, methyl ester	FAME
17	6.22	2.40	7.07	5-Hydroxymethyldihydrofuran-2-one	PS	40	23.06	0.23	0.66	Sitosterol acetate	PHST
18	6.63	4.02	11.83	2-Furancarboxaldehyde, 5-(hydroxymethyl)-	PS	41	23.68	1.06	3.11	Stigmasterol acetate	PHST
19	6.76	1.07	3.15	Benzenepropanenitrile	N	42	24.16	1.34	3.93	Stigmastan-3,5-diene	PHST
20	7.46	3.96	11.66	Indole	N	43	25.79	2.29	6.73	Stigmasterol	PHST
21	7.66	2.03	5.96	2-Methoxy-4-vinylphenol	LIG	44	26.46	2.84	8.34	Sitosterol	PHST
22	8.18	1.38	4.05	3,5-Dihydroxytoluene	ARO						

3

4 ARO: aromatics unspecific; FA: fatty acid; FAME: fatty acid methyl ester; LIG: methoxyphenol from lignin; LIP: lipid; N: Nitrogen compound; PHST:
5 phytosterol

Supl. Table 1.- Lettuce Py-GC/MS (500 °C) fingerprinting. Major compounds identified for all samples analysed with an indication of the retention time (RT: retention time in minutes), relative abundance (RA: % total chromatographic area), abundance (Ab µg/mg lettuce biomass dry weight pyrolyzed) and type (probable biogenic origin).

Ref	RT (min.)	Compound	DAY OEO (%) Sample (mg) Type	1		1		1		1		4		4		4		4		8		8		8		8	
				0		2		5		10		0		2		5		10		0		2		5		10	
				AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)	AB	RA (%)
1	2.01	2,5-Dimethylfuran	PS	9.66	3.29	12.71	1.81	3.09	0.49	8.54	0.88	7.87	1.45	6.53	1.05	5.33	0.72	10.84	1.26	15.03	1.56	14.81	2.68	6.58	1.07	15.85	2.21
2	2.23	Cyclopentene, 1-methyl-	PS	15.74	5.35	10.85	1.55	6.73	1.07	11.31	1.17	12.51	2.30	6.09	0.98	9.58	1.29	10.90	1.27	22.47	2.34	9.70	1.76	7.34	1.20	15.69	2.19
3	2.35	Toluene	ARO	20.78	7.06	18.83	2.69	12.71	2.02	27.03	2.79	26.80	4.93	15.86	2.55	23.74	3.20	24.44	2.84	30.59	3.18	24.70	4.48	9.81	1.60	17.89	2.49
4	2.47	Cyclopentane-1,2-diol	PS	8.48	2.88	8.81	1.26	7.72	1.23	5.83	0.60	6.22	1.14	4.35	0.70	5.52	0.74	7.04	0.82	12.31	1.28	9.05	1.64	5.21	0.85	8.36	1.16
5	2.71	1H-Pyrrole, 1-methyl-	N	21.71	7.38	21.72	3.10	12.31	1.96	14.85	1.53	15.32	2.82	9.54	1.54	12.15	1.64	16.51	1.92	35.34	3.68	22.76	4.13	14.12	2.30	19.96	2.78
6	3.31	2(5H)-Furanone	PS	8.28	2.82	8.95	1.28	4.58	0.73	4.24	0.44	8.83	1.62	3.48	0.56	4.37	0.59	6.39	0.74	23.43	2.44	13.32	2.41	5.89	0.96	7.95	1.11
7	3.39	2-Hydroxy-2-cyclopenten-1-one	PS	11.22	3.82	9.98	1.43	4.11	0.65	9.66	1.00	6.83	1.26	4.18	0.67	5.56	0.75	8.77	1.02	20.79	2.16	8.56	1.55	7.83	1.28	9.59	1.34
8	3.72	5 Methyl furfural	PS	7.60	2.59	7.49	1.07	4.26	0.68	3.82	0.39	5.46	1.00	3.19	0.51	6.26	0.84	4.91	0.57	13.37	1.39	9.89	1.79	4.50	0.73	7.79	1.09
9	3.85	Phenol	ARO	12.94	4.40	10.32	1.47	10.86	1.72	16.21	1.67	11.49	2.11	8.74	1.41	12.23	1.65	13.69	1.59	18.56	1.93	12.11	2.19	9.09	1.48	12.65	1.76
10	4.05	Benzofuran	PS	8.14	2.77	8.80	1.26	5.74	0.91	5.87	0.61	9.36	1.72	5.46	0.88	7.38	0.99	9.20	1.07	8.84	0.92	3.16	0.57	3.78	0.62	7.73	1.08
11	4.34	2-Cyclopenten-1-one, 2-hydroxy-3-methyl-	PS	14.64	4.98	12.53	1.79	9.53	1.51	11.37	1.17	10.39	1.91	6.07	0.98	9.04	1.22	11.25	1.31	20.11	2.09	8.65	1.57	10.66	1.74	11.18	1.56
12	4.58	Phenol, 2-methyl-	ARO	4.30	1.46	3.85	0.55	2.71	0.43	4.40	0.45	2.82	0.52	3.21	0.52	2.71	0.36	4.54	0.53	5.12	0.53	2.88	0.52	2.72	0.44	4.08	0.57
12	4.80	Phenol, 4-methyl-	ARO	7.03	2.39	5.58	0.80	7.18	1.14	6.50	0.67	7.97	1.47	4.77	0.77	5.95	0.80	6.61	0.77	10.28	1.07	6.67	1.21	4.25	0.69	6.79	0.95
13	4.99	Phenol, 2-methoxy-	LIG	5.32	1.81	5.24	0.75	5.39	0.86	6.42	0.66	5.21	0.96	4.08	0.66	5.31	0.72	6.41	0.75	10.56	1.10	4.74	0.86	6.07	0.99	6.68	0.93
14	5.08	Ethanol, 2-butoxy-	LIP	4.42	1.50	4.72	0.67	2.41	0.38	4.16	0.43	4.66	0.86	2.51	0.40	4.29	0.58	4.47	0.52	13.59	1.41	5.45	0.99	5.04	0.82	4.30	0.60
15	5.32	2-Cyclopenten-1-one, 3-ethyl-2-hydroxy-	PS	8.41	2.86	7.87	1.12	4.73	0.75	8.23	0.85	6.21	1.14	9.15	1.47	5.80	0.78	7.40	0.86	13.32	1.39	6.06	1.10	7.21	1.18	8.66	1.21
16	5.55	Benzyl nitrile	N	5.06	1.72	5.28	0.75	4.38	0.70	7.67	0.79	4.41	0.81	3.99	0.64	5.44	0.73	6.20	0.72	7.67	0.80	3.41	0.62	3.99	0.65	5.45	0.76
17	6.22	5-Hydroxymethyl-dihydrofuran-2-one	PS	7.07	2.40	8.70	1.24	6.18	0.98	8.23	0.85	10.52	1.93	5.67	0.91	8.46	1.14	8.12	0.94	3.94	0.41	10.79	1.96	11.52	1.88	8.42	1.17
18	6.63	2-Furancarboxaldehyde, 5-(hydroxymethyl)-	PS	11.83	4.02	15.76	2.25	7.46	1.19	4.28	0.44	4.82	0.89	3.48	0.56	3.01	0.40	5.87	0.68	10.67	1.11	33.92	6.15	2.91	0.48	14.78	2.06
19	6.76	Benzenepropanenitrile	N	3.15	1.07	3.68	0.53	6.84	1.09	5.35	0.55	3.48	0.64	3.34	0.54	4.40	0.59	4.53	0.53	6.68	0.69	4.64	0.84	3.16	0.52	5.05	0.70
20	7.46	Indole	N	11.66	3.96	11.43	1.63	14.29	2.27	29.56	3.05	13.91	2.56	15.74	2.53	20.17	2.72	20.75	2.41	15.32	1.59	8.93	1.62	13.99	2.28	15.52	2.16
21	7.66	2-Methoxy-4-vinylphenol	LIG	5.96	2.03	9.08	1.30	2.96	0.47	7.69	0.79	4.54	0.84	2.09	0.34	5.61	0.76	4.60	0.54	23.76	2.47	5.89	1.07	10.05	1.64	7.59	1.06
22	8.18	3,5-Dihydroxytoluene	ARO	4.05	1.38	7.24	1.03	3.12	0.50	4.46	0.46	4.53	0.83	2.91	0.47	7.80	1.05	5.01	0.58	8.72	0.91	3.33	0.60	6.62	1.08	7.71	1.07
23	8.49	2-Pentylcyclopentanone	LIP	4.24	1.44	5.37	0.77	5.01	0.80	15.02	1.55	8.14	1.50	5.95	0.96	5.30	0.71	10.96	1.28	9.16	0.95	3.28	0.59	4.43	0.72	7.85	1.09
24	8.59	1H-Indole, 3-methyl-	N	2.78	0.95	4.14	0.59	6.15	0.98	8.44	0.87	4.89	0.90	6.77	1.09	6.25	0.84	7.16	0.83	5.76	0.60	1.56	0.28	4.42	0.72	5.08	0.71
25	8.76	Vanillin	LIG	2.19	0.74	4.48	0.64	2.40	0.38	5.88	0.61	4.36	0.80	4.32	0.69	5.15	0.69	4.99	0.58	9.68	1.01	1.22	0.22	4.90	0.80	3.11	0.43
26	9.32	Phenol, 2-methoxy-5-(1-propenyl)-, (E)-	LIG	1.33	0.45	3.18	0.45	2.03	0.32	2.79	0.29	2.39	0.44	2.88	0.46	2.84	0.38	3.40	0.40	4.47	0.46	1.61	0.29	2.69	0.44	3.25	0.45
27	12.27	Cyclohexene, 1,5,5-trimethyl-6-acetylmethyl-	LIP	0.93	0.32	1.44	0.21	2.06	0.33	4.61	0.48	1.36	0.25	3.16	0.51	3.39	0.46	3.72	0.43	3.35	0.35	1.06	0.19	2.37	0.39	2.29	0.32
28	12.41	Furfural phenylhydrazone	N	1.49	0.51	1.31	0.19	3.80	0.60	9.89	1.02	2.25	0.41	5.92	0.95	6.90	0.93	4.44	0.52	1.67	0.17	0.90	0.16	2.17	0.35	2.55	0.36
29	14.81	n-Hexadecanoic acid	FA	11.20	3.81	10.63	1.52	14.15	2.25	25.36	2.62	17.71	3.26	18.56	2.99	22.69	3.06	18.53	2.16	17.63	1.83	7.36	1.33	11.80	1.93	8.44	1.18
30	16.44	9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-	FA	5.81	1.98	4.92	0.70	6.02	0.96	7.88	0.81	4.43	0.81	6.55	1.05	4.15	0.56	8.87	1.03	1.44	0.15	2.33	0.42	4.27	0.70	4.24	0.59
31	16.50	9,12,15-Octadecatrienoic acid (Z,Z,Z)-	FA	5.26	1.79	5.36	0.77	10.68	1.70	20.45	2.11	6.43	1.18	10.40	1.67	9.81	1.32	13.36	1.55	0.00	0.00	1.65	0.30	3.76	0.61	4.35	0.61
32	16.67	n-Octadecanoic acid	FA	1.56	0.53	1.59	0.23	4.96	0.79	9.24	0.95	2.34	0.43	6.13	0.99	5.42	0.73	3.12	0.36	1.15	0.12	0.00	0.00	2.02	0.33	1.53	0.21
33	17.85	Alk	LIP	0.93	0.31	1.20	0.17	0.67	0.11	3.29	0.34	1.58	0.29	0.99	0.16	1.44	0.19	1.51	0.18	1.63	0.17	0.99	0.18	0.80	0.13	0.51	0.07
34	18.04	ALK	LIP	1.66	0.57	1.62	0.23	1.20	0.19	1.99	0.20	1.29	0.24	2.04	0.33	1.49	0.20	2.65	0.31	0.00	0.00	0.85	0.15	1.62	0.26	1.18	0.16
35	19.42	Alk	LIP	5.46	1.86	2.74	0.39	6.15	0.98	20.83	2.15	7.22	1.33	5.99	0.96	21.71	2.92	7.35	0.86	2.09	0.22	1.32	0.24	2.60	0.42	1.54	0.21
36	20.96	Alk	LIP	3.21	1.09	1.18	0.17	2.46	0.39	5.41	0.56	2.92	0.54	2.42	0.39	6.23	0.84	2.60	0.30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.16	0.19	0.00	0.00
37	21.20	Tetracosanoic acid, methyl ester	FAME	1.51	0.51	0.75	0.11	1.43	0.23	3.30	0.34	3.36	0.62	1.17	0.19	3.44	0.46	1.03	0.12	0.67	0.07	0.00	0.00	0.89	0.14	0.26	0.04
38	22.38	Alk	LIP	3.17	1.08	2.00	0.29	1.19	0.19	2.89	0.30	1.97	0.36	1.76	0.28	2.95	0.40	2.00	0.23	0.00	0.00	0.51	0.09	1.20	0.20	1.38	0.19
39	22.60	Hexacosanoic acid, methyl ester	FAME	1.13	0.38	1.09	0.16	0.80	0.13	3.04	0.31	2.56	0.47	0.84	0.14	2.30	0.31	0.75	0.09	2.62	0.27	0.44	0.08	0.83	0.14	0.56	0.08
40	23.06	Sitosterol acetate	PHST	0.66	0.23	0.61	0.09	0.28	0.04	1.45	0.15	0.98	0.18	0.54	0.09	0.67	0.09	0.65	0.08	6.27	0.65	1.11	0.20	1.56	0.25	1.15	0.16
41	23.68	Stigmasta-5,22-dien-3-ol, acetate, (3,beta)-	PHST	3.11	1.06	2.15	0.31	1.76	0.28	4.80	0.50	3.46	0.64	1.73	0.28	3.26	0.44	2.15	0.25	7.81	0.81	1.62	0.29	2.71	0.44	1.62	0.23
42	24.16	Stigmastan-3,5-dien	PHST	3.93	1.34	3.20	0.46	1.98	0.31	4.26	0.44	4.11	0.76	1.55	0.25	3.18	0.43	2.77	0.32	8.91	0.93	2.30	0.42	4.01	0.65	2.02	0.28
43	25.79	Stigmasterol	PHST	6.73	2.29	5.99	0.86	3.43	0.54	5.12	0.53	3.04	0.56	3.85	0.62	6.13	0.83	10.17	1.18	0.00	0.00	5.71	1.04	6.82	1.11	5.30	0.74
44	26.46	.gamma.-Sitosterol	PHST	8.34	2.84	9.74	1.39	4.62	0.73	2.98	0.31	4.78	0.88	4.60	0.74	7.69	1.04	12.71	1.48	0.00	0.00	8.54	1.55	8.70	1.42	6.23	0.87