A Floquet theory-based fast time-domain stability analysis for N-parallel inverters system
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1 | INTRODUCTION

The voltage source inverter (VSI) enables the power transfer from a renewable energy sources (RES) to the grid [1], where the LCL filter single-phase inverters system is usually used in VSI to limit the switching current ripple [2, 3]. As the interface between renewable energy generators and an AC grid, inverters usually adopt parallel structure to inject energy into the grid [4–7]. However, due to the system bus, the dynamic interactions between complexly coupled inverters exists [8], which leads the system to have several resonance frequencies [9]. On the other hand, the required additional current-sharing control to the parallel inverters system may increase the coupling strength among the inverter modules and in turn strengthen the interaction among them, which should also be considered in the system design. Once the main circuit or control parameters are set improperly, this interaction may cause the system to oscillate or even collapse, and with the rapid development of AC–DC hybrid micro grids, these oscillations or collapse phenomenon occur more and more frequently; therefore, the modelling and stability analysis of N-parallel inverters system have become an urgent problem for theorists and engineers [10], and the prior stability analysis for N-parallel inverters system is of practical significance.

Up to now, the stability analysis methods used for parallel inverters system are mainly in frequency domain. For example,
stability analysis methods based on impedance criterion have been widely applied for inverters systems [11–16]. However, in practical applications, as the number of inverters in the parallel system increases, or the circuit parameters of each inverter are variant, the derivation of the impedance criterion becomes quite complicated, leading to rare research on modelling and stability analysis of an N-parallel inverters system (especially for N ≥ 3), to our best knowledge. In [17], it has been proved that the complexity of modelling the multi-stage DC–DC converters system in the frequency-domain will increase exponentially versus the number of the DC–DC converters according to the manual derivation process. Hence, the impedance modelling and prediction methods based on the black-box modelling was proposed in [18]; however, since it only uses terminal characteristics and does not pay attention to the inner parameters of the inverters, once deviations occur, it is difficult to correct it, so that the accuracy and the correctness of these methods are difficult to be ensured in practice. On the other hand, the impedance criterion only provides the sufficient condition for the stability of the parallel inverters system, which is usually very conservative for real applications [19, 20].

To avoid the conservation and complexity of impedance criterion method, the Routh criterion is also widely used for judging the stability of inverters as sufficient and necessary condition [21, 22]. To use Routh criterion, the closed-loop transfer function of the inverter system should be first derived and then it need be further simplified to obtain the closed loop characteristic equation and the effective stability criterion. However, for an N-parallel inverters system (N ≥ 2), its closed-loop transfer function is a complex high-order polynomial, and the expression of the high-order polynomial will become much more complicated with the increase of the number of paralleled inverters. Therefore, the simplified closed loop characteristic equation for N-parallel inverters system (N > 2) is difficult to obtain; even if obtained, its accuracy can hardly satisfy the requirement in real applications.

Besides, the state-space-based analysis method is also a tool to analyse harmonic stability for inverters, which can explain the resonance phenomenon in inverters [23–25]. However, unlike three-phase inverters, the steady-state AC variables of a single-phase inverter cannot be expressed into a DC form by the coordinate transformation [26], which leads the state-space-based analysis method not be able to be used into single-phase inverters directly.

In recent years, a time-domain stability analysis method based on Floquet theory has been proposed and successfully employed into DC–DC converters system and single-phase inverter with proportional integral (PI) control and proportional resonance (PR) control [22, 27–30]. Compared with frequency-domain stability analysis method, the time-domain stability analysis method based on Floquet theory can avoid the complicated derivation of the required transfer functions in Routh criterion or the impedances expression in impedance criterion, only a state transition matrix is required, which can be obtained by the time-domain state equations for power converters system. Further, the stability analysis can be analysed by computer-aided way, which provides a possible method for the stability analysis for an N-parallel inverters system.

Although Floquet theory has been employed into the stability analysis for single inverter with only PI or PR control, it cannot be directly applied into the N-parallel inverters system, since there is the interaction among the inverter modules and the double closed-loop control is used in the N-parallel inverters system due to the necessary current-sharing outer control. Furthermore, it is a challenging problem on how to bring the additional current-sharing control to the time-domain stability analysis method, as it is associated with system bus and does not appear in state variable of each inverter module.

Therefore, this paper proposed a new time-domain stability analysis method for N-parallel inverters system based on Floquet theory, which can be used to reduce complex manual derivation and the high time cost in frequency-domain stability analysis methods. The rest of this paper is organised as follows: in Section 2, the general time-domain model of the N-parallel inverters system is established based on Floquet theory, both for grid-connected mode and for island mode. And the corresponding stability criterion of the N-parallel inverters system is derived. In Section 3, a two-parallel inverters system is taken as an example, its stability has been analysed, both in grid-connected mode and in island mode. In Section 4, the correctness of the stability analysis results in Section 3 are verified by simulation and experiment results. In Section 5, the complexity of the frequency-domain and the proposed time-domain stability analysis methods are compared. Finally, the conclusion is drawn.

2 | THE TIME-DOMAIN MODEL OF N-PARALLEL INVERTERS SYSTEM BASED ON FLOQUET THEORY

2.1 | Floquet theory

Floquet theory is used to judge the stability of periodic systems [27]. According to the zero-solution condition of the perturbation equation, the stable of system can be uniquely determined.

Assume a periodic system (1), and its steady-state periodic solution is noted as X; thus \( X(t) = X(t + T) \), where, T is the period of the steady-state periodic solution.

\[
\dot{x} = G(t, x).
\] (1)

When the system suffers a small perturbation \( \delta(t) \) at the steady-state periodic solution \( X \), the state variables at the steady-state periodic solution can be expressed as

\[
x(t) = X + \delta(t).
\] (2)

Substituting (2) into (1), and linearising by the Taylor series expansion, the perturbation equation can be obtained, as shown
in (3), where \(E(\delta)\) is the Jacobi matrix of the system.

\[
\frac{d\delta(t)}{dt} \approx C'(t,X)\delta(t) = E(t)\delta(t) = J(t,X)\delta(t). \quad (3)
\]

According to the Floquet theory, there is \(E(\delta) = E(T)\), since the system is a periodic system. Then, assuming that \(V(\delta)\) is a basic solution matrix of the system (3), there must be a non-singular matrix \(\Phi(\delta) = \Phi(T)\) and a constant matrix \(D\), so that \(V(\delta)\) can be expressed as \(V(\delta) = \Phi(\delta)e^{TD}\). Further, (4) can be deduced.

\[
V'(t + T) = E(t + T)V(t + T) = E(t)V(t + T). \quad (4)
\]

Therefore, \(V(t + T)\) is also the basic solution matrix of the system (3). According to the uniqueness of the basic solution matrix, there is \(V(t + T) = CV(t)\). It should be noticed that the matrices \(V(\delta)\) and \(V(t + T)\) have the following relationship:

\[
V(t + T) = \Phi(t + T)e^{(t+T)D} = \Phi(t)e^{TD}e^{TD} = V(t)e^{TD}. \quad (5)
\]

Thus, \(C\) can be got as (6), where \(C\) is a reversible matrix. Specifically, if \(E(\delta)\) is a constant matrix, it can be chosen as the matrix \(D\).

\[
C = e^{TD}. \quad (6)
\]

On the other hand, assume \(\varepsilon\) to be the disturbance factor and there is a small perturbation at \(t_0\) moment, then combining the disturbance component of the system \(v(t_0) = V(t_0)e\), with (5) and (6) results in

\[
S = \frac{\|v(t_0 + nT)\|}{\|v(t_0)\|} = \frac{\|V(t_0)Ce\|}{\|V(t_0)e\|} \leq \frac{\|V'(t_0)Ce\|\|C^n\|}{\|V(t_0)e\|} = \|C^n\|, \quad (7)
\]

where \(S\) stands for the perturbation transfer function and the necessary and sufficient conditions for the stability of the system depend on

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|C^n\| = 0. \quad (8)
\]

According to the norm theorem, there is

\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|C^n\| = 0 \iff |\lambda_{\max}| < 1, \quad (9)
\]

where \(|\lambda_{\max}| = \max\{|\lambda_i|, i = 1, 2, 3\ldots\}\) \(\lambda_{\max}\) is called the spectral radius of matrix \(C\) and \(\lambda_i, i = 1, 2, 3\ldots\) are the eigenvalues of matrix \(C\).

In summary, according to the Floquet theory, the stability of the system can be described in details:

- If \(|\lambda_{\max}| < 1\), the system is stable;
- Otherwise, the system is unstable.

Due to the \(N\)-parallel inverters with PR control is a periodic system, its stability can be judged by the stability criterion based on Floquet theory in time domain.

### 2.2 The general time-domain model of \(N\)-parallel inverters system

PR control was firstly proposed by Marco Lisserre in 2006 to provide an optimal ac current control for inverters \([30]\), which can offer infinite gain at a certain frequency by using generalised integrators and obtain zero steady-state error at the fundamental frequency. Therefore, the PR control is adopted to achieve current control in this paper.

It should also be noticed that the required current-sharing control in the parallel inverters system might reduce the system's stability \([31]\), so that the additional current-sharing control also needs to be considered in modelling. Although the droop control method is usually used to achieve current and power balance among the inverter modules \([18,32,33]\), its dynamic performance is poor because of the quite low bandwidth of droop control \([18]\). The average current control method is commonly used as an alternative method when inverters operate in parallel, and it can better achieve power balance and ensure the quality of the voltage or current and is useful in high-performance applications in AC distributed systems \([34]\). Hence, the average current control is adopted to achieve current-sharing and the corresponding time-domain model is established in this paper.

Although the grid-connected inverter with reactive power capability are done some research \([35-37]\), the grid-connected inverters with unity power factor are more common in real applications, therefore, the grid-connected inverters system with unit power factor is taken as the example in this paper.

According to the above mentioned, the circuit model of the \(N\)-parallel single-phase inverters system in grid-connected mode is firstly established, as shown in Figure 1. The current sharing link adopts instantaneous current average control and the current loops of each inverter adopt PR control and use the same current reference signal. In addition, \(N\) is the number of inverters in the system and the meanings of the other symbols are shown in Table 1.

![Figure 1](image)

Subsequently, for the \(j\)th inverter \((j = 1, 2, \ldots, N)\) in Figure 1, the state Equation (10) of the main circuit can be obtained according to Kirchhoff current laws (KCL) and Kirchhoff voltage laws (KVL).

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dR_{i_cj}}{dt} & = \frac{1}{C_j}i_{1-j} - \frac{1}{C_j}i_{2-j} \\
\frac{dR_i}{dt} & = \frac{U_{ij}}{I_{1-j}}i_{1-j} - \frac{R_{1-j} + R_{2-j}}{I_{1-j}}i_{1-j} + \frac{R_{1-j}}{I_{1-j}}i_{2-j} - \frac{u_{C_j}}{I_{1-j}} \\
\frac{dR_{i_2}}{dt} & = \frac{1}{I_{2-j}}R_{i_cj} - \frac{R_{2-j}}{I_{2-j}}i_{1-j} - \frac{R_{2-j}}{I_{2-j}}i_{2-j} - \frac{u_i}{I_{2-j}}, \\
i & = \sum_{j=1}^{N} (i_{2-j})
\end{align*}
\quad (10)
\]
FIGURE 1  The circuit model of the N-parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode
TABLE 1  Index of symbols in Figure 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$U_g$</td>
<td>DC voltage source of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_y$</td>
<td>output voltage of H-bridge of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{i,j}$</td>
<td>inverter-side inductance of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{i,j}$</td>
<td>parasitic resistance of $L_{i,j}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{s,j}$</td>
<td>grid-side inductance of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{s,j}$</td>
<td>parasitic resistance of $L_{s,j}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{s,j}$</td>
<td>inverter-side inductor current of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{c,j}$</td>
<td>grid-side inductor current of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{n,j}$</td>
<td>voltage of $L_{i,j}$ and $R_{i,j}$ of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{2,j}$</td>
<td>voltage of $L_{s,j}$ and $R_{s,j}$ of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_j$</td>
<td>filter capacitor of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_k$</td>
<td>the additional damping resistor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_{c,j}$</td>
<td>voltage of capacitor of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$u_i$</td>
<td>grid voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_s$</td>
<td>grid current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{ref}$</td>
<td>the closed-loop reference current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_j$</td>
<td>the difference between the output current of the $j$th inverter and the closed-loop reference current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$i_{sw,j}$</td>
<td>the output signal of the PR controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_{i,j} - d_{s,j}$</td>
<td>duty signals of the four switches of the $j$th inverter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the control circuit, since the PR controller is a second-order system, in addition to selecting $i_{mj}$ as the state variable for the $j$th inverter, one more state variable should be selected. The state variable can be arbitrarily selected in the PR control block diagram.

For convenience of calculation, $i_{1,j}$ is selected as the system state variable. Also, the average current control that strengthens the coupling interaction with other modules is considered, as this current loop collects the output current of all inverters and feeds the sum of current to each module.

According to Figure 1, the expression of the state variable in the control circuit of the $j$th inverter can be obtained as (11).

\[
\begin{align*}
  i_{mj} &= \omega_0 \int (i_{1,j}) \, dt + k_{pj} \left( i_{ref} - \frac{i_s}{N} \right) \\
  i_{1,j} &= \frac{2k_{pj}}{\omega_0} \left( i_{ref} - \frac{i_s}{N} \right) - \omega_0 \int \left[ i_{mj} - k_{pj} \left( i_{ref} - \frac{i_s}{N} \right) \right] \, dt
\end{align*}
\]

Therefore, one has

\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{di_{mj}}{dt} &= \omega_0 \cdot i_{1,j} + k_{pj} \frac{di_{ref}}{dt} - \frac{k_{pj}}{N} \cdot \frac{di_s}{dt} - \omega_0 \cdot i_{1,j} \\
  \frac{di_{1,j}}{dt} &= \frac{2k_{pj}}{\omega_0} \frac{di_{ref}}{dt} - \frac{2k_{pj}}{\omega_0} \frac{di_s}{dt} - \omega_0 \frac{di_s}{dt} \\
  &- \omega_0 \left[ i_{mj} - k_{pj} \left( i_{ref} - \frac{i_s}{N} \right) \right]
\end{align*}
\]

(12)

Substituting (10) into (12) and using state variables $i_{2,1}$, $i_{2,2}$, $i_{2,3}$, ..., $i_{2,N}$ to decouple the sum of current $i_s$, result in (13).

\[
\begin{align*}
  \frac{di_{mj}}{dt} &= -\frac{k_{pj}}{N} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{L_{2,k}} \frac{R_{dk} + R_{2,k}}{L_{2,k}} i_{1,k} - \frac{u_i}{L_{2,k}} \right) + \omega_0 \cdot i_{1,j} \\
  \frac{di_{1,j}}{dt} &= -\frac{2k_{pj}}{\omega_0} \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( \frac{1}{L_{2,k}} \frac{R_{dk} + R_{2,k}}{L_{2,k}} i_{1,k} - \frac{u_i}{L_{2,k}} \right) - \omega_0 \cdot i_{1,j} \\
  &+ \frac{\omega_0 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{N} \left( i_{2,k} \right) + \omega_0 \cdot k_{pj} \cdot i_{ref} + \frac{2k_{pj}}{\omega_0} \frac{di_{ref}}{dt}}{\omega_0} \\
  &= e^{TDsN}
\end{align*}
\]

(13)

According to (10) and (13), selecting $u_{c1}$, $i_{1,1}$, $i_{2,1}$, $i_{w1}$, $i_{1,2}$, ..., $u_{cj}$, $i_{1,j}$, $i_{2,j}$, $i_{w1}$, $i_{1,N}$, $i_{2,N}$, $i_{wN}$ and $i_{1,N,j} = 1, 2, \ldots, N$, as the state variables of the system, the state expression of the $N$-parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode can be obtained. Furthermore, the Jacobi matrix $E_{iN}(t)$ of the inverters system can be written as

\[
E_{iN}(t) = \begin{bmatrix}
  B_{11} & B_{12} & B_{13} & \cdots & B_{1N} \\
  B_{21} & B_{22} & B_{23} & \cdots & B_{2N} \\
  B_{31} & B_{32} & B_{33} & \cdots & B_{3N} \\
  \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
  B_{N1} & B_{N2} & B_{N3} & \cdots & B_{NN}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(14)

$E_{iN}(t)$ is a $5N/2$ order matrix and consists of submatrices $B_{ij}$ $(k, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N)$. In (14), $B_{ij}$ represents the self-action matrix of each inverter, as shown in (15), which is caused by the main circuit of each module; $B_{ij}$ $(k, j = 1, 2, \ldots, N; k \neq j)$ represents the interaction matrix between each module, as shown in (16), which is caused by the interaction among modules and the current-sharing control strategy.

Since the obtained Jacobi matrix $E_{iN}(t)$ does not contain time variables, based on Floquet theory, there is $D_{iN} = E_{iN}(t)$. Then, the state transition matrix of the $N$-parallel inverters system in the grid-connect mode can be expressed as $C_{iN} = e^{TDsN}$, where the time constant $T$ is set as $T = 1/50s$ as same as the period of inverter system. According to Floquet theory, the stability of the system is analyzed by calculating the modulus of the maximum eigenvalue of $C_{iN} = e^{TDsN}$, namely, $|\lambda_{max}|$:

- If $|\lambda_{max}| < 1$, the system is stable;
- Otherwise, the system is unstable.
Furthermore, the imaginary part of the eigenvalue can represent the oscillation frequency.

\[ B_{kj} (j = 1, 2, ..., N) = \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & -\frac{1}{C_j} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{L_{j,j}} & -\frac{R_{i,j}}{L_{j,j}} & 0 & 0 \\
1 & -\frac{R_{i,j}}{L_{i,j}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{k_{pj}}{N L_{2,j}} & \frac{k_{pj} R_{i,j}}{N L_{2,j}} & 0 & \omega_0 \\
\frac{2 k_{pj}}{\omega_0 N L_{2,j}} & \frac{2 k_{pj} (R_{i,j} + R_{i,j})}{\omega_0 N L_{2,j}} & -\omega_0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(15)

\[ B_{kj} (k \neq j; k, j = 1, 2, ..., N) = \]

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{k_{pk}}{N L_{2,j}} & \frac{k_{pk} R_{i,j}}{N L_{2,j}} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{2 k_{pk}}{\omega_0 N L_{2,j}} & \frac{2 k_{pk} (R_{i,j} + R_{i,j})}{\omega_0 N L_{2,j}} & -\omega_0 & 0
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(16)

Similarly, this proposed method can be deduced and applied to an inverters system with similar structures, not only for the \( N \)-parallel inverters system in island mode, but also for the variation parameters PWM filters. Further, the proposed method can easy extend to \( N \geq 3 \) paralleled inverters through (14).

And the theoretical stability analysis results, simulation and experimental results both in grid-connected mode and in island mode are given in Section 3.

### Table 2: The parameters in simulation and experiment of two parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main circuit parameters</th>
<th>Control parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( U_{in} = 350 \text{ V}; L_{1,1} = 5.8 \text{ mH}; )</td>
<td>Case I:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{2,1} = 1 \text{ mH}; C_1 = 1.5 \text{ \mu F}; )</td>
<td>( k_{p1} = k_{p2} = 0.08-0.12; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_{1,1} = 0.01 \Omega; R_{2,1} = 0.01 \Omega; )</td>
<td>( k_{p1} = k_{p2} = 500; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \Omega: R_{p1} = 4.5 \Omega; )</td>
<td>Case II:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( U_{in} = 350 \text{ V}; L_{1,2} = 5.8 \text{ mH}; )</td>
<td>( k_{p1} = k_{p2} = 0.09; )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( L_{2,2} = 1 \text{ mH}; C_2 = 1.5 \text{ \mu F}; )</td>
<td>( k_{p1} = k_{p2} = 500-600. )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| \( R_{1,2} = 0.01 \Omega; R_{2,2} = 0.01 \Omega; \) | \( n_2 = 220 \text{ V} \)
| \( \Omega: R_{p2} = 4.5 \Omega; \) |
| \( n_2 = 220 \text{ V} \) |

### 3 | STABILITY ANALYSIS BASED ON THE FLOQUET THEORY

#### 3.1 | The two-parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode

Here, a two-parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode is taken as an example. According to Section 2, when \( N = 2 \), we can be obtained the system state transition matrix \( C_{22} = e^{j \Omega t} \).

The parameters of the parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode is given in Table 2. The time-domain stability analysis results are presented with the change of the control parameters \( k_{pj} \) and \( k_{pj} \). Figures 2 and 3 show the trend of eigenvalue changes with increasing the \( k_{pj} \) and \( k_{pj} \) parameters,
A two-parallel inverters system in island mode

The two-parallel inverters system in island mode is taken as an example in Figure 6, to prove this stability analysis method is also suitable for system in island mode.

3.2 | A two-parallel inverters system in island mode

Similarly, a two-parallel inverters system in island mode is taken as an example in Figure 6, to prove this stability analysis method is also suitable for system in island mode.

![Figure 4](image-url) Case I: Eigenvalue distribution of \( C_{s_2} \) when \( k_{pj} \) changing (a) \( k_{pj} = 0.09, k_{pj} = 500 \) (b) \( k_{pj} = 0.10, k_{pj} = 500 \)

![Figure 5](image-url) Case II: Eigenvalue distribution of \( C_{s_2} \) when \( k_{oj} \) changing. (a) \( k_{oj} = 570, k_{oj} = 0.09 \) (b) \( k_{oj} = 580, k_{oj} = 0.09 \)

respectively. It can be seen from Figures 2 and 3 that as the control parameters increase, the eigenvalues of the state transition matrix gradually pass out of the unit circle in the form of conjugates.

**Case I:** Stepwise increase \( k_{pj}(j = 1, 2) \), when \( k_{pj}(j = 1, 2) = 0.09 \), as shown in Figure 4(a), the modulus of the maximum eigenvalue of the system state transition matrix \( C_{s_2} \) is 0.9883 < 1, which is within the unit circle. According to Floquet theory, system is stable.

When \( k_{pj}(j = 1, 2) = 0.10 \), as shown in Figure 4(b), the eigenvalue of the system state transition matrix \( C_{s_2} \) partially exceeds the unit circle, and the modulus of the maximum eigenvalue is 0.0592 > 1. According to Floquet theory, system is unstable.

**Case II:** Stepwise increase \( k_{oj}(j = 1, 2) \), when \( k_{oj}(j = 1, 2) = 570 \) as shown in Figure 5(a), the modulus of the maximum eigenvalue of the system state transition matrix \( C_{s_2} \) is 0.9883 < 1, which is within the unit circle. According to Floquet theory, system is stable.

When \( k_{oj}(j = 1, 2) = 580 \), as shown in Figure 5(b), the eigenvalue of the system state transition matrix \( C_{s_2} \) partially exceeds the unit circle, and the modulus of the maximum eigenvalue is 1.1179 > 1. According to Floquet theory, system is unstable.

### Table 3: The parameters in simulation and experiment of two parallel inverters system in island mode

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control parameters</th>
<th>Main circuit parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( k_{pj} = k_{pj} = 0.08 )</td>
<td>( U_{dc} = 350 \text{ V}; L_{c_1} = 5.8 \text{ mH}; L_{c_2} = 1 \text{ mH}; C_1 = 1.5 \mu F; R_{1} = 0.01 \Omega; R_{2} = 0.01 \Omega; U_{ac} = 350 \text{ V}; L_{c_1} = 5.8 \text{ mH}; L_{c_2} = 1 \text{ mH}; C_2 = 1.5 \mu F; R_{1} = 0.01 \Omega; R_{2} = 0.01 \Omega; U_{ac} = 4.3 \Omega; U_{ac} = 5.8 \text{ mH}; R_{0} = 100 \Omega, u_o = 220 \text{ V} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{pj} = k_{pj} = 500 )</td>
<td>( k_{pj} = k_{pj} = 0.010 \ - 0.015; k_{oj} = 10; k_{oj} = 15-25 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 | SIMULATION AND EXPERIMENT

4.1 | Simulation results

4.1.1 | The two-parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode

**Case I:** As shown in Figure 11, when \( k_{pj}(j = 1, 2) = 0.09 \), the grid-connected current of the two inverters tends to be
Figure 6: The circuit model of the N-parallel inverters system in island mode.

Figure 7: Eigenvalues trend of $C_2$ when increasing $k_{cp}$ and fixed $k_{ci} = 10$.

Figure 8: Eigenvalues trend of $C_2$ when increasing $k_{ci}$ and fixed $k_{cp} = 0.01$.

Stable after 0.03s, the amplitude of current can track the reference value without difference. System is stable. When $k_{pj}(j = 1, 2) = 0.10$, as shown in Figure 12, the grid-connected currents of the two inverters are resonant, the resonance peak value is
greater than the reference value, the output currents of the two inverters are greatly distorted, and system is unstable.

**Case II:** It can be seen from Figure 13 that the grid-connected current of the system is stable when $k_{cj}(j = 1, 2) = 530$. Figure 14 depicts that the system is unstable when $k_{cj}(j = 1, 2) = 540$. The simulation results are almost the same with the theoretical analysis results.

4.1.2 A two-parallel inverters system in island mode

**Case I:** It can be seen from Figure 15 that the output current of the system is stable when $k_{cp} = 0.011$. Figure 16 depicts that the system is unstable when $k_{cp} = 0.012$. The simulation results are the same with the theoretical analysis results.

**Case II:** As can be obtained from Figure 17, when $k_{ci} = 17$, the two inverters output sinusoidal currents without oscillation, and the system is in a stable state. However, when $k_{ci}$ increases to 18, the system has a current resonance begin from 0.08 s, as is shown in Figure 18, and according to the waveform, the system is already in an unstable state.
FIGURE 15  Output current waveform of two inverters in island mode when \( k_{cp} = 0.011, k_{ci} = 10 \)

FIGURE 16  Output current waveform of two inverters in island mode when \( k_{cp} = 0.012, k_{ci} = 10 \)

FIGURE 17  Output current waveform of two inverters in island mode when \( k_{ci} = 17, k_{cp} = 0.010 \)

FIGURE 18  Output current waveform of two inverters in island mode when \( k_{ci} = 18, k_{cp} = 0.010 \)

FIGURE 19  Single-phase inverter experimental platform

TABLE 4  The experimental specifications of single-phase inverter experimental platform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Devices</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Tektronix MSO 4054</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current probe I</td>
<td>Tektronix TCP 0030A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current probe II</td>
<td>Tektronix TCP 0150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC grid</td>
<td>Chroma 61705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC source</td>
<td>Chroma 62150H-600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>Chroma 63204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power supply</td>
<td>WD-990</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 The experimental results

The experimental platform of the two-parallel inverters system is established, as shown in Figure 19, and the experimental specifications of the experimental platform is shown in Table 4. It should be noticed that due to the difference of probes, there is a slight difference between the blue waveform and the green waveform at the peak or trough of wave in the same image.

4.3 The two-parallel inverters system in grid-connected mode

Case I: Increase \( k_{pj} \) gradually, as can be obtained from Figure 20, when \( k_{pj} = 0.10 \), the amplitude of the output sinusoidal current of the two inverters can track the reference value without difference, and achieve a good average current. System is stable. However, as shown in Figure 21, when the \( k_{pj} \) increases to 0.11, the output current of the two inverters resonate, the resonance peak value is greater than the reference value. System is unstable.

Case II: Increase \( k_{pj} \) gradually, as can be obtained from Figure 22, when \( k_{pj} = 580 \), there is no oscillation in the sinusoidal current output, and the system is in a stable state. However, when \( k_{pj} \) increases to 590, as shown in Figure 23, the system has a current resonance phenomenon, and the system is now in an unstable state.
4.4  |  A two-parallel inverters system in island mode

**Case I:** Increase \( k_p \) gradually, as can be obtained from Figure 24, when \( k_p = 0.013 \), the amplitude of the output sinusoidal current of the two inverters can track the reference value without difference, and achieve a good average current. System is stable. However, as shown in Figure 25, when the \( k_p \) increases to 0.014, the output current of the two inverters resonates, the resonance peak value is greater than the reference value. System is unstable.
Case II: Increase $k_{ci}$ gradually, as can be obtained from Figure 26, when $k_{ci} = 20$, there is no oscillation in the sinusoidal current output by the two inverters, and the system is in a stable state. However, when $k_{ci}$ increases to 21, as shown in Figure 27, the system has a current resonance phenomenon, and the system is now in an unstable state.

In summary, although there is a slight difference caused by model simplifications, such as ignoring the stray parameters of print circuit boards and the influence of phase-locked loop, the theoretical stability analysis results, simulation and experimental results maintain good consistency, which verify the correctness of theoretical analysis.
5 | THE COMPLEXITY COMPARISONS

In order to prove the advantages of the proposed method over the tedious manual derivation, in this section, the complexity of $N$-parallel inverters stability analysis based on the Floquet criterion and the Routh criterion is compared. The manual derivation based on frequency-domain modelling is firstly conducted, then the complexity brought by the traditional frequency-domain stability analysis method and the proposed method in this paper is compared.

The $N$-parallel inverters system with PR control in grid-connected mode is taken as an example, as shown in Figure 1. According to KCL and KVL, the power-stage transfer functions of $N$-parallel inverters system can be obtained:

$$
\begin{align*}
    u_j(t) &= i_{1,j}(t) \cdot (L_{1,j} s + R_{1,j}) + u_C(j)
    
    i_C(j) &= i_{1,j}(t) - \frac{u_{2,j}(t)}{L_{2,j}s + R_{2,j}}
    
    u_{2,j}(t) &= i_C(j) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{C_{j} s} + R_{d,j} \right) - u_j(t)
    
    i_s(t) &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left[ i_{2,j}(t) \right]
\end{align*}
$$

(17)
where \( j = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) and \( k = 1, 2, \ldots, N (k \neq j) \),

\[
G_{1,j}(s) = \frac{L_{1,j} s + R_{1,j}}{1 + R_{0} C_{j} s + L_{1,j} C_{j} s^2 + R_{1,j} C_{j} s,}
\]

\[
G_{2,j}(s) = \frac{G_{PR j}(s) \cdot k_{PWM j} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}}\right)}{(L_{2,j} s + R_{2,j}) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}}\right) + R_{1,j} R_{d} C_{j}},
\]

\[
G_{3,j}(s) = \frac{1 + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}} \cdot \frac{L_{1,j} R_{d} C_{j} s^2 + R_{1,j} R_{d} C_{j}}{L_{1,j} s + R_{1,j}} + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}}}{(L_{2,j} s + R_{2,j}) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}}\right) + \left(1 + \frac{R_{d} C_{j}}{C_{j}}\right) + R_{1,j} R_{d} C_{j}},
\]

\[
G_{4,j}(s) = \frac{G_{2,j}(s) \cdot 1}{H(s) \cdot \left[\frac{G_{2,3,1}(s)}{G_{2,3,1}(s)} + \cdots + \frac{G_{2,3,j}(s)}{G_{2,3,j}(s)} + \cdots + \frac{G_{2,3,N}(s)}{G_{2,3,N}(s)}\right] + 1},
\]

\[
G_{5,j}(s) = \frac{1}{H(s) \cdot \left[\frac{G_{2,3,1}(s)}{G_{2,3,1}(s)} + \cdots + 1 + \cdots + \frac{G_{2,3,N}(s)}{G_{2,3,N}(s)}\right] + \frac{1}{G_{2,3,j}(s)}},
\]
Since the impedance criterion only provides the sufficient condition, the stability criterion is quite conservative. Further, in practical applications, there is no clear theoretical basis for simplification but only experience. In contrast, although the closed-loop transfer function of Routh criterion is a complex high-order polynomial, it is a sufficient and necessary condition as same as Floquet theory. Therefore, the Routh criterion is instance to compare with the proposed method in this paper.

Flowchart of \( N \)-parallel inverters stability analysis based on Floquet criterion and Routh criterion is given in Figure 30. For the stability analysis of \( N \)-parallel system based on Routh criterion, there are six steps and \( N^2+14N+3 \) formulas, including \( 3N+1 \) power-stage frequency-domain equations, \( N+1 \) control-stage frequency-domain equations, \( 6N+N(N-1) \) simplified frequency-domain transfer function which are caused by the interaction among inverter modules, and \( 5N+1 \) coefficients of Routh Table. In contrast, for the stability analysis based on Floquet criterion, there are only four steps and \( 5N+1 \) formulas, including \( 3N+1 \) power-stage time-domain differential equations and \( 2N \) control-stage time-domain differential equations.

On the other hand, different from Floquet criterion, to determine the stability of the system based on the traditional Routh criterion, the characteristic equation of the closed-loop
transfer function of the system needs to be obtained, namely, it is necessary to standardise closed-loop transfer function. However, since the simplification of the frequency-domain transfer function has no rules to follow, the standardisation process is extremely complicated. Hence, for the parallel system with \( N \geq 3 \), the stability analysis based on the Routh criterion is completely impossible.

Based on the Flowchart in Figure 30, complexity comparisons of \( N \)-parallel inverters stability analysis based on Floquet criterion and Routh criterion can be summarised, as show in Table 5 and Figure 31. It is clear that Routh criterion requires more steps and formulas, and as the number \( N \) of parallel inverters in the system increasing, the number of formulas increases at quadratic growth coefficients. Therefore, Floquet criterion is more suitable for analysing the stability of complex systems than Routh criterion.

6 | CONCLUSIONS

This paper provides a simple and fast stability analysis method for \( N \)-parallel inverters systems. Aiming at \( N \)-parallel inverters system with the PR control and the average current control, a general time-domain model is established. Taking a 2-parallel inverters system as an example, the correctness of the proposed method is verified based on simulations and experiments, both for grid-connected mode and for island mode; further, the result of complexity comparisons show that the proposed time-domain method has advantages over traditional frequency-domain method in terms of time consumption, both in number of steps and number of formulas. These mean that the derived general time-domain model with the corresponding stability criterion based on the Floquet theory is effective and is more suitable for a complex \( N \)-parallel inverters system. Hence, this paper provides a new fast stability analysis method for \( N \)-parallel inverters system.
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**TABLE 5** Complexity comparisons of \( N \)-parallel inverters stability analysis based on Floquet criterion and Routh criterion

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criterion name</th>
<th>Number of steps</th>
<th>Number of formulas</th>
<th>When ( N ) ( \geq 3 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Floquet</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>( 5N+1 )</td>
<td>Feasible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Routh</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>( N^2+14N+3 )</td>
<td>Infeasible</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>