

# Interpolation of Vector Measures

**Ricardo del CAMPO**

*Dpto. Matemática Aplicada I, Universidad de Sevilla,  
EUITA, Ctra. de Utrera Km. 1, 41013 Sevilla, Spain  
E-mail: rcampo@us.es*

**Antonio FERNÁNDEZ Fernando MAYORAL Francisco NARANJO**

*Dpto. Matemática Aplicada II, Universidad de Sevilla, Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingenieros,  
Camino de los Descubrimientos, s/n, 41092 Sevilla, Spain  
E-mail: afernandez@esi.us.es mayoral@us.es naranjo@us.es*

**Enrique A. SÁNCHEZ-PÉREZ**

*Instituto Universitario de Matemática Pura y Aplicada, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Camino  
de Vera, s/n, 46022 Valencia Spain  
E-mail: easancpe@mat.upv.es*

**Abstract** Let  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  be a measurable space and  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  be positive vector measures with values in the Banach Köthe function spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we define a new vector measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  with values in the Calderón lattice interpolation space  $X^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha$  and we

0

analyze the space of integrable functions with respect to measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  in order to prove suitable extensions of the classical Stein–Weiss formulas that hold for the complex interpolation of  $L^p$ -spaces. Since each  $p$ -convex order continuous Köthe function space with weak order unit can be represented as a space of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure, we provide in this way a technique to obtain representations of the corresponding complex interpolation spaces. As applications, we provide a Riesz–Thorin theorem for spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to vector measures and a formula for representing the interpolation of the injective tensor product of such spaces.

**Keywords** Interpolation, Banach function space, vector measure

**MR(2000) Subject Classification** 46B70; 46G10, 46E30

## 1 Introduction

One of the classical areas on interpolation theory is the investigation of complex interpolation spaces between  $L^p$ -spaces with different measures, where the theorem by Stein and Weiss [1] plays a central role. One of its extension reads as follows. Let  $\mu$  be a positive scalar measure and assume that  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ . Then we have, with equal norms,

$$[L^{p_0}(f_0\mu), L^{p_1}(f_1\mu)]_\theta = L^p(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha \mu), \quad 0 < \theta < 1, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ , and  $\alpha := \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ , see [2, Theorem 5.5.3]. Observe that the weighted measure  $f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha \mu$  is a certain *interpolated measure* of the weighted measures  $f_0 \mu$  and  $f_1 \mu$ . Later, Calderón considers the extension of (1.1) to the context of  $L^p$ -spaces of Banach vector-valued functions, see [3] for details. In this paper we analyze what happens with the formula (1.1) in the context of integration of scalar functions with respect to vector measures. Indeed, for two given countably additive vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  we show how to construct a new countably additive vector measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ , that we call the *interpolated vector measure*, which allows us how relate its associated space of  $p$ -integrable functions with the corresponding lattice interpolation space of the spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ ; all this, under a certain compatibility requirement between the measures. Indeed, the aim of the paper is to study when the following result holds:

*Let  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Consider the corresponding spaces of integrable functions  $L^{p_0}(m_0)$  and  $L^{p_1}(m_1)$  with respect to the vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ . Then  $[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta = L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$  holds isometrically, where  $\frac{1}{p} := \frac{(1-\theta)}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$  and  $\alpha := \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ .*

The spaces  $L^1(m)$  of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure  $m$  define a broad class of Köthe function spaces. In fact, integration with respect to a vector measure can be used as a representation technique for order continuous Banach lattices with weak order unit, since every such space can be written as an  $L^1(m)$  of a vector measure  $m$  (see [4, Theorem 8]). The same representation procedure can be applied using  $L^p$  spaces of a vector measure when the function space is moreover  $p$ -convex (see [5, 6] for the definition and main properties of such spaces). Therefore, we provide our interpolation formulas as a general procedure for representing the lattice interpolation space of couples of (order continuous Banach lattices with weak order unit) Köthe function spaces. Moreover, it is enough to look at the proofs of the results that relate spaces of integrable functions with general Banach lattices (see [4, Theorem 8] and [5, Proposition 2.4]) to understand that we can use positive vector measures for representing the corresponding class of Banach lattices. In this sense, the requirement of positivity for the vector measures that we deal with is not a strong restriction for the aim of representation of Banach lattices.

But let us provide in what follows in a systematic way the *assumptions* that are necessary for defining the setting in which our interpolation technique can be applied. Our framework is the theory of Köthe function spaces (see p. 28 and subsequent in [7]). A Köthe function space on a complete  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Theta, \Lambda, \eta)$  is a Banach lattice  $X$ , consisting of equivalence classes, modulo equality  $\eta$ -a.e., of locally integrable, real valued functions on  $\Theta$ , that satisfies

(1) if  $|f| \leq |g|$   $\eta$ -a.e. with  $f$  measurable and  $g \in X$ , then  $f \in X$  and  $\|f\|_X \leq \|g\|_X$ , and

(2) for every  $A \in \Lambda$  of finite measure, the characteristic function  $\chi_A$  of  $A$  is an element of  $X$ .

If  $X$  is a Köthe function space we denote by  $X^\times$  the Köthe dual space of  $X$ . It is well known that  $X^\times$  coincides with the dual space  $X'$  if  $X$  has order-continuous norm. See p. 29 on [7].

For a couple of Köthe function spaces  $(X_0, X_1)$  over the same measure space  $(\Theta, \Lambda, \eta)$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , consider the *Calderón lattice interpolation* space  $X(\alpha) := X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha$  of the spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  defined as the set of all  $x \in L^0(\eta)$  for which  $|x| \leq x_0^{1-\alpha} x_1^\alpha$ , for some  $0 \leq x_0 \in X_0$ ,

and  $0 \leq x_1 \in X_1$ . The norm of  $x$  in  $X(\alpha)$  is defined by

$$\|x\|_{X(\alpha)} := \inf \{ \|x_0\|_{X_0}^{1-\alpha} \|x_1\|_{X_1}^\alpha : |x| \leq x_0^{1-\alpha} x_1^\alpha, 0 \leq x_0 \in X_0, 0 \leq x_1 \in X_1 \}.$$

Endowed with this norm,  $X(\alpha)$  becomes a Köthe function space over the same measure space. See [8, 9] or [10, IV §1.11]. From the definition of the norm we have immediately the following inequality

$$\|x_0^{1-\alpha} x_1^\alpha\|_{X(\alpha)} \leq \|x_0\|_{X_0}^{1-\alpha} \|x_1\|_{X_1}^\alpha, \quad 0 \leq x_0 \in X_0, 0 \leq x_1 \in X_1. \quad (1.2)$$

It is well known that this space coincides with the complex interpolation space  $[X_0, X_1]_\alpha$  of the involved spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  (see [3, 13.6] or [10, Theorem §1.14] and Remark after) if at least one of the spaces  $X_0$  or  $X_1$  has order continuous norm. As the reader will see, this assumption of order continuity of the interpolated space  $X(\alpha)$  provides the order properties that are necessary for the definition of the interpolated measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ .

In what follows we also need some relation between the dual of the space  $X(\alpha)$  and the duals of spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . It is known that  $(X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha)' = (X_0')^{1-\alpha} (X_1')^\alpha$ , where the equality means coincidence of sets (as classes of functions on a *certain strange space of measure outside* of  $(\Theta, \Lambda, \eta)$ ) as well as equality of norms, provided that  $X_0 \cap X_1$  is dense in both spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . See [8] or the comments after the proof of [9, Theorem 1]. A consequence of this fact is that the equality  $(X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha)^\times = (X_0^\times)^{1-\alpha} (X_1^\times)^\alpha$  holds for the Köthe duals of the spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . Equality means also equality of norms. In addition, if we assume order continuity of the norm on both spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ , we know that the dual and the Köthe dual coincide, and the duality in the lattice interpolation space  $X(\alpha)$  can be *represented* by means of integrals of products of functions with respect to the measure  $\eta$ . In particular, we have the following result. See [8] or [11, Theorem 1].

**Lemma 1.1** *Suppose  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  are Köthe function spaces with order continuous norms. Then for (Köthe) duals we have the following coincidence of sets  $(X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha)^\times = (X_0^\times)^{1-\alpha} \cdot (X_1^\times)^\alpha$ , as well as equality of norms. In particular, for all  $0 \leq x' \in X(\alpha)^\times$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist two elements  $0 \leq x'_0 \in X_0^\times$  and  $0 \leq x'_1 \in X_1^\times$  such that  $\|x'\|_{X(\alpha)^\times} \leq \|x'_0\|_{X_0^\times}^{1-\alpha} \|x'_1\|_{X_1^\times}^\alpha \leq \|x'\|_{X(\alpha)^\times} + \varepsilon$ , and moreover*

$$\langle x', x_0^{1-\alpha} x_1^\alpha \rangle \leq \int_{\Theta} (x'_0 \cdot x_0)^{1-\alpha} \cdot (x'_1 \cdot x_1)^\alpha d\eta, \quad (1.3)$$

for all  $0 \leq x_0 \in X_0$  and  $0 \leq x_1 \in X_1$ .

As we said before, we will deal with a particular subclass of Köthe function spaces, the one defined by the spaces of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure. We briefly recall the definitions in what follows. Let  $X$  be a Banach space and  $m$  an  $X$ -valued countably additive vector measure on the measurable space  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Rybakov measure for  $m$  (see [12, Ch. IX]), that is, a scalar measure  $\langle m, x' \rangle$ , where  $x' \in X'$ , defined by  $\langle m, x' \rangle(A) := \langle m(A), x' \rangle$ ,  $A \in \Sigma$ , and such that  $m$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the variation of  $\langle m, x' \rangle$ . The space  $L^1(m)$  of integrable functions with respect to  $m$  is an order-continuous Köthe function space over  $\mu$  with weak order unit, and its elements are the (classes of  $\mu$ -a.e.) measurable functions  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that are scalarly integrable, that is, integrable with respect to each scalar

measure  $\langle m, x' \rangle$ ,  $x' \in X'$ , and satisfy also that for every  $A \in \Sigma$  there is an element  $\int_A f dm \in X$  such that

$$\left\langle \int_A f dm, x' \right\rangle = \int_A f d\langle m, x' \rangle, \quad x' \in X'.$$

The reader can find the definitions and fundamental results concerning the space  $L^1(m)$  in [4, 13–15]. If  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , the space of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to  $m$  is defined as the linear space of real measurable functions that satisfy  $|f|^p \in L^1(m)$ , with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(m)} := \sup \left\{ \left( \int_{\Omega} |f|^p d\langle m, x' \rangle \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} : x' \in B_{X'} \right\}, \quad f \in L^p(m).$$

It is also an order-continuous Köthe function space with weak order unit over any Rybakov measure for  $m$ , and the simple functions are dense in it. See [5, 6] for more information about this space. In the case where  $X$  is a Banach lattice and  $m$  is a positive vector measure, the norm can be directly computed by means of the formula

$$\|f\|_{L^p(m)} = \left\| \int_{\Omega} |f|^p dm \right\|_X^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad f \in L^p(m).$$

Consider a couple of Köthe function spaces  $(X_0, X_1)$  over the same measure space  $(\Theta, \Lambda, \eta)$ , a measurable space  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ , and a pair of countably additive positive vector measures  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$ . Let  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ . In order to assure that the couple  $(L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1))$  of spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to the vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  is a *compatible couple*, we need our second fundamental assumption on the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ . They must be *equivalent*, that is, they have a common Rybakov control measure  $\mu$ . This property is not connected with the range space of the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ , but with the sets of the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\Sigma$  where the semivariation of the measures is zero. In particular, in our setting, two equivalent vector measures have the same null sets. Under this assumption we know that classes a.e. with respect to  $m_0$ ,  $m_1$  and  $\mu$  coincide, and also we can embed continuously both spaces  $L^{p_0}(m_0)$  and  $L^{p_1}(m_1)$  in the same topological vector space  $L^0(\mu)$  of real-valued measurable (classes of  $\mu$ -a.e) functions on  $\Omega$ . Moreover, for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  the equality

$$[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_{\alpha} = (L^{p_0}(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^{p_1}(m_1))^{\alpha} \quad (1.4)$$

holds, since  $L^{p_0}(m_0)$  and  $L^{p_1}(m_1)$  have order continuous norms. At this point let us mention that (when the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  coincide) the space  $[L^{p_0}(m), L^{p_1}(m)]_{\alpha}$ , with  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 \leq \infty$ , and also others related interpolation spaces have been deeply studied in [16].

## 2 Interpolation of Countably Additive Positive Vector Measures

Let us start this section with a motivation, based on the formula (1.1), of the construction that follows. Consider a couple of positive (scalar) finite measures  $\mu_0$ , and  $\mu_1$  on the same measurable space  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  that are absolutely continuous with respect to another positive finite measure  $\mu$ . In this case, the Radon–Nikodym theorem gives two functions  $0 \leq f_0, f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $\mu_0(A) = \int_A f_0 d\mu$  and  $\mu_1(A) = \int_A f_1 d\mu$ , for every  $A \in \Sigma$ . Let  $0 < \theta < 1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ ,

and denote  $\frac{1}{p} := \frac{1-\theta}{p} + \frac{\theta}{p}$ , and  $\alpha := \frac{p\theta}{p}$ . Observe that  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Consider the *interpolated measure*  $[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the formula

$$[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha(A) := \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \pi} \mu_0(A \cap B)^{1-\alpha} \mu_1(A \cap B)^\alpha : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega) \right\}, \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\Pi(\Omega)$  is the set of all finite  $\Sigma$ -partitions of  $\Omega$ . It is not difficult to prove that  $[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha$  is actually the measure with density  $f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$ , that is,  $[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha(A) = \int_A f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d\mu$ , for  $A \in \Sigma$ . Thus, the formula of Stein–Weiss (1.1) reads as

$$[L^{p_0}(\mu_0), L^{p_1}(\mu_1)]_\theta = L^p([\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha), \quad 0 < \theta < 1. \quad (2.2)$$

In the case of two arbitrary positive scalar measures  $\mu_0$  and  $\mu_1$  it is clear that they are absolutely continuous with respect to the measure  $\mu := \mu_0 + \mu_1$ , and so it is always possible to find Radon–Nikodým derivatives  $f_0, f_1 \in L^1(\mu)$  such that  $\mu_0(A) = \int_A f_0 d\mu$  and  $\mu_1(A) = \int_A f_1 d\mu$ , for all  $A \in \Sigma$ . This means that the formula (2.1) always defines a positive scalar measure such that  $[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha(A) \leq \mu_0(A)^{1-\alpha} \mu_1(A)^\alpha$ , for all  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha(A) = 0$  if and only  $\mu_0(A) = 0$  or (and)  $\mu_1(A) = 0$ . In what follows the following lemma will be useful.

**Lemma 2.1** *Let  $0 \leq \varphi, \phi$  be simple functions. Then*

$$\int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha \leq \left( \int_\Omega \varphi d\mu_0 \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_\Omega \phi d\mu_1 \right)^\alpha. \quad (2.3)$$

*Proof* We know that  $[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha$  is actually the measure with density  $f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$ , with respect to a positive measure  $\mu$ , so Hölder's inequality gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d[\mu_0, \mu_1]_\alpha &= \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d\mu = \int_\Omega (\varphi f_0)^{1-\alpha} (\phi f_1)^\alpha d\mu \\ &\leq \left( \int_\Omega \varphi f_0 d\mu \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_\Omega \phi f_1 d\mu \right)^\alpha = \left( \int_\Omega \varphi d\mu_0 \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_\Omega \phi d\mu_1 \right)^\alpha. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

However, this argument that provides a representation of a couple of positive scalar measures defined on the same  $\sigma$ -algebra with respect to their sum fails in the case of vector measures, even if they are positive and take their values on the same Köthe function space. The following easy example shows this.

**Example 1** Let  $([0, 1], \mathcal{M}, \lambda)$  be the Lebesgue measure space. Consider the vector measures

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 : A \in \mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow m_0(A) := (\lambda(A), 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ m_1 : A \in \mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow m_1(A) := (0, \lambda(A)) \in \mathbb{R}^2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that  $L^1(m_0 + m_1) = L^1[0, 1]$  isometrically and

$$\int_{[0,1]} f d(m_0 + m_1) = \left( \int_{[0,1]} f d\lambda, \int_{[0,1]} f d\lambda \right), \quad f \in L^1(m_0 + m_1).$$

Moreover it is clear that  $m_0([0, 1]) = (1, 0)$  and  $m_1([0, 1]) = (0, 1)$ . This makes evident that there are no functions  $f_0, f_1 \in L^1(m_0 + m_1)$  such that

$$\int_\Omega f_0 d(m_0 + m_1) = m_0(\Omega) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Omega f_1 d(m_0 + m_1) = m_1(\Omega).$$

What is more, if we compute (2.1) formally for the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  we obtain trivially  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) = (0, 0)$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ .

This fact — the nonexistence of Radon–Nikodým derivatives in the vector valued case — becomes the main difference between the scalar- and the vector-valued theory regarding interpolation of the corresponding spaces of integrable functions. The aim of this section is to provide a vector-valued version of the representation formula (2.1), and to show some of its properties. The main result is the following equality (see Proposition 2.6 below)

$$[f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(A) = \int_A f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma,$$

if  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  are adequate vector measures and  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$ ,  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ ; that will become a basic tool for the calculations of Section 3.

Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and consider two countably additive positive vector measures  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  on the same measurable space  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$  such that the lattice interpolation space  $X(\alpha) := X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha$  is order-continuous. Let  $A \in \Sigma$  and  $\pi \in \Pi(\Omega)$  be the sets of finite measurable partitions of  $\Omega$ . Denote  $C_\pi(A) := \sum_{B \in \pi} m_0(A \cap B)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A \cap B)^\alpha$ . This element  $C_\pi(A)$  is a well-defined element of  $X(\alpha)$ , since  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  are positive. Now consider the set  $\mathcal{C}(A) := \{C_\pi(A) : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega)\}$  of  $X(\alpha)$ . With the natural order by refinement in  $\Pi(\Omega)$ , a pointwise evaluation using Hölder's inequality gives that  $C_{\pi_2}(A) \leq C_{\pi_1}(A)$  if  $\pi_1 \leq \pi_2$  in  $\Pi(\Omega)$ , so  $\mathcal{C}(A)$  defines a downward directed positive net in  $X(\alpha)$ . The order-continuity of the interpolation space gives directly that the limit  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) := \lim_\pi C_\pi(A)$  exists in the norm of  $X(\alpha)$  for every  $A \in \Sigma$ , and in fact is given by the infimum  $\inf \mathcal{C}(A)$ . Thus, the map

$$[m_0, m_1]_\alpha : A \in \Sigma \rightarrow [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) := \lim_\pi C_\pi(A) = \inf \mathcal{C}(A) \in X(\alpha)$$

is well defined. The definition of  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  makes clear that

$$[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) \leq m_0(A)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A)^\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma. \quad (2.4)$$

Note that this formula must be read as an inequality  $\eta$ -a.e. between elements of the Köthe function space  $X(\alpha)$ . Moreover, having in mind (1.2), we get

$$\|[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A)\|_{X(\alpha)} \leq \|m_0(A)\|_{X_0}^{1-\alpha} \|m_1(A)\|_{X_1}^\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma. \quad (2.5)$$

**Lemma 2.2** *The map  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  defines a countably additive positive vector measure that satisfies*

$$\langle [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A), x' \rangle \leq \langle m_0(A), x'_0 \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle m_1(A), x'_1 \rangle^\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma \quad (2.6)$$

for every  $0 \leq x' \in X(\alpha)'$  such that  $x' \leq (x'_0)^{1-\alpha} (x'_1)^\alpha$ , with  $0 \leq x'_0 \in X_0'$  and  $0 \leq x'_1 \in X_1'$ . In particular, we have

$$\langle [m_0, m_1]_\alpha, x' \rangle \leq \langle m_0, x'_0 \rangle, \langle m_1, x'_1 \rangle)_\alpha. \quad (2.7)$$

*Proof* Let us show first that it is finitely additive. Consider two disjoint sets  $A, B \in \Sigma$ . Since  $\{C_\pi(A \cup B) : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega)\}$  is downward directed, we can always choose a partition  $\pi \in \Pi(\Omega)$  such that

$$\sum_{C \in \pi} m_0((A \cup B) \cap C)^{1-\alpha} m_1((A \cup B) \cap C)^\alpha = \sum_{C \in \pi, C \subseteq A} m_0(A \cap C)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A \cap C)^\alpha$$

$$+ \sum_{C \in \pi, C \subseteq B} m_0(B \cap C)^{1-\alpha} m_1(B \cap C)^\alpha.$$

Therefore, we have  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) + [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(B) \leq [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A \cup B)$ . On the other hand, if  $\pi_1 \in \Pi(\Omega)$  and  $\pi_2 \in \Pi(\Omega)$ , consider the partition

$$\pi := \{A \cap C : C \in \pi_1\} \cup \{B \cap C : C \in \pi_2\} \cup \{\Omega \setminus (A \cup B)\}.$$

For this partition we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{C \in \pi} m_0((A \cup B) \cap C)^{1-\alpha} m_1((A \cup B) \cap C)^\alpha &= \sum_{C \in \pi_1} m_0(A \cap C)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A \cap C)^\alpha \\ &+ \sum_{C \in \pi_2} m_0(B \cap C)^{1-\alpha} m_1(B \cap C)^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A \cup B) \leq [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) + [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(B)$ . The countable additivity now follows directly from (2.5).

The formula (2.6) is a direct consequence of the following calculations. Now, consider  $0 \leq x' \leq (x'_0)^{1-\alpha}(x'_1)^\alpha \in (X'_0)^{1-\alpha}(X'_1)^\alpha$ , where  $0 \leq x'_0 \in X'_0$  and  $0 \leq x'_1 \in X'_1$ . Then, since  $x'$  is positive, we obtain from Hölder's inequality and the relation (2.4)

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A), x' \rangle &\leq \langle m_0(A)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A)^\alpha, x' \rangle \leq \int_{\Theta} (m_0(A)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A)^\alpha \cdot (x'_0)^{1-\alpha} (x'_1)^\alpha) d\eta \\ &= \int_{\Theta} (m_0(A) \cdot x'_0)^{1-\alpha} \cdot (m_1(A) \cdot x'_1)^\alpha d\eta \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\Theta} m_0(A) \cdot x'_0 d\eta \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_{\Theta} m_1(A) \cdot x'_1 d\eta \right)^\alpha \\ &= \langle m_0(A), x'_0 \rangle^{1-\alpha} \langle m_1(A), x'_1 \rangle^\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Remark 2.3** For a couple of equivalent measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ , from the formula (2.5) we deduce the following inequality for the semivariations:

$$\|[m_0, m_1]_\alpha\|(A) \leq (\|m_0\|(A))^{1-\alpha} (\|m_1\|(A))^\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma. \quad (2.8)$$

In particular, this tells us that a null set for the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  (they are equivalent) is also a null set for the interpolated measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ .

**Example 2** Let  $([0, 1], \mathcal{M}, \lambda)$  be Lebesgue measure space, a couple of real numbers  $1 \leq s_1 \leq s_0 < \infty$  and a function  $0 < g \in L^t[0, 1]$ , where  $\frac{1}{s_0} + \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{s_1}$ . Consider the positive countably additive vector measures

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 : A \in \mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow m_0(A) := \chi_A \in L^{s_0}[0, 1], \\ m_1 : A \in \mathcal{M} &\longrightarrow m_1(A) := g \cdot \chi_A \in L^{s_1}[0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Let us compute explicitly the interpolated vector measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ , for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Consider a partition  $\pi \in \Pi(\Omega)$  and a set  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . Then we have

$$C_\pi(A) = \sum_{B \in \pi} m_0(A \cap B)^{1-\alpha} m_1(A \cap B)^\alpha = \sum_{B \in \pi} \chi_{A \cap B} \cdot g^\alpha = g^\alpha \cdot \chi_A.$$

Consequently,  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) = g^\alpha \cdot \chi_A$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . In this case the measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  takes values in the space  $(L^{s_0}[0, 1])^{1-\alpha} (L^{s_1}[0, 1])^\alpha = L^s[0, 1]$ , where  $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{(1-\alpha)}{s_0} + \frac{\alpha}{s_1}$ . Observe that  $\alpha s < t$ , and then  $g^\alpha \in L^s[0, 1]$ , since  $g$  belongs to  $L^t[0, 1]$ .

**Example 3** Consider a couple of order-continuous Köthe function spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$  over the  $\sigma$ -finite measure space  $(\Theta, \Lambda, \eta)$ . Take a pair of positive unconditionally convergent series  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$  in  $X_0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n$  in  $X_1$ . Then we can define, on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$  of all subsets of the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$ , the vector measures

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 : A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) &\longrightarrow m_0(A) := \sum_{n \in A} f_n \in X_0, \\ m_1 : A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) &\longrightarrow m_1(A) := \sum_{n \in A} g_n \in X_1. \end{aligned}$$

If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , note that  $\sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n^{1-\alpha} g_n^\alpha$  defines also a positive unconditionally convergent series in  $X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha$ , as a consequence of the application pointwise of Hölder's inequality on series as

$$\sum_{n \in A} f_n^{1-\alpha} g_n^\alpha \leq \left( \sum_{n \in A} f_n \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \sum_{n \in A} g_n \right)^\alpha, \quad A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}).$$

Thus, the expression  $m_\alpha : A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \longrightarrow m_\alpha(A) := \sum_{n \in A} f_n^{1-\alpha} g_n^\alpha \in X_0^{1-\alpha} X_1^\alpha$  provides also a positive vector measure. Let us prove that the interpolated vector measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  and  $m_\alpha$  coincide. If  $A \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ , then knowing that  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  is a countably additive measure, we have

$$[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) = \sum_{n \in A} [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(\{n\}) = \sum_{n \in A} f_n^{1-\alpha} g_n^\alpha = m_\alpha(A).$$

**Lemma 2.4** Let  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  be a couple of equivalent positive countably additive vector measures on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Let  $0 \leq \varphi, \phi$  be simple functions. Then

$$\|\varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha. \quad (2.9)$$

*Proof* Note that  $\|\varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} = \|\int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha\|_{X(\alpha)}$  since the measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  is positive. Then there is an element  $0 \leq x' \in X(\alpha)'$ , with  $\|x'\|_{X(\alpha)'} \leq 1$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha \right\|_{X(\alpha)} &= \left\langle \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha, x' \right\rangle \\ &= \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d\langle [m_0, m_1]_\alpha, x' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we know from Lemma 1.1 that there exist two elements  $0 \leq x'_0 \in X'_0$  and  $0 \leq x'_1 \in X'_1$  such that  $x' \leq (x'_0)^{1-\alpha} (x'_1)^\alpha$ , and  $\|x'\|_{X(\alpha)'} \leq \|x'_0\|_{X'_0}^{1-\alpha} \|x'_1\|_{X'_1}^\alpha \leq \|x'\|_{X(\alpha)'} + \varepsilon$ . By inequalities (2.7) from Lemma 2.2 and (2.3) from Lemma 2.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d\langle [m_0, m_1]_\alpha, x' \rangle &\leq \int_\Omega \varphi^{1-\alpha} \phi^\alpha d[\langle m_0, x'_0 \rangle, \langle m_1, x'_1 \rangle]_\alpha \\ &\leq \left( \int_\Omega \varphi d\langle m_0, x'_0 \rangle \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_\Omega \phi d\langle m_1, x'_1 \rangle \right)^\alpha \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|x'_0\|_{X'_0}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \|x'_1\|_{X'_1}^\alpha \\ &\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha (\|x'\|_{X(\alpha)'} + \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$



$$\leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha (1 + \varepsilon).$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  was arbitrary we obtain  $\|\varphi^{1-\alpha}\phi^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.5** *Let  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  be a couple of equivalent positive countably additive vector measures on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Let  $0 \leq \varphi_0, \varphi_1, \phi_0, \phi_1$  simple functions. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi_0^{1-\alpha}\phi_0^\alpha - \varphi_1^{1-\alpha}\phi_1^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \\ & \leq \|\varphi_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_0 - \phi_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha + \|\varphi_0 - \varphi_1\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

*Proof* To obtain (2.10) we apply the inequality (2.9) of Lemma 2.4 and also that  $|s^\alpha - t^\alpha| \leq |s - t|^\alpha$  for all numbers  $0 \leq s, t$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi_0^{1-\alpha}\phi_0^\alpha - \varphi_1^{1-\alpha}\phi_1^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \\ & \leq \|\varphi_0^{1-\alpha}\phi_0^\alpha - \varphi_0^{1-\alpha}\phi_1^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} + \|\varphi_0^{1-\alpha}\phi_1^\alpha - \varphi_1^{1-\alpha}\phi_1^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \\ & \leq \|\varphi_0^{1-\alpha}|\phi_0 - \phi_1|^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} + \|\varphi_0 - \varphi_1\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \\ & \leq \|\varphi_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_0 - \phi_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha + \|\varphi_0 - \varphi_1\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha. \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Let  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ . Then the formula

$$(f_k m_k)(A) := \int_A f_k dm_k, \quad A \in \Sigma,$$

defines a countably additive vector measure for  $k = 0, 1$  (see [14]). Since the functions are also positive, we have a couple of countably additive positive vector measures. Thus, under the adequate requirements on the Köthe function spaces where the vector measures are defined, we obtain that  $[f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha$  is a positive countably additive vector measure. Recall that we are under the assumptions that have been indicated in Section 1 for the couple of Köthe function spaces  $(X_0, X_1)$ .

**Proposition 2.6** *Let  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  be a couple of equivalent positive countably additive vector measures on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Let  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ . Then the function  $f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$  is  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ -integrable, and*

$$[f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(A) = \int_A f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha, \quad A \in \Sigma. \quad (2.11)$$

*Proof* Let us show first that the function  $f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$  is  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ -integrable. Note now that since  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$ , there is a sequence of simple functions  $(\varphi_n)_n$  such that  $0 \leq \varphi_n \rightarrow f_0$  in  $L^1(m_0)$  and pointwise, and  $0 \leq \varphi_n \leq f_0$ . In the same way, there is another sequence of simple functions  $(\phi_n)_n$  such that  $0 \leq \phi_n \rightarrow f_1$  in  $L^1(m_1)$  and pointwise, and  $0 \leq \phi_n \leq f_1$ . Thus,  $\varphi_n^{1-\alpha} \phi_n^\alpha \rightarrow f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$  pointwise, and by (2.10) of Lemma 2.5 applied to the simple functions  $0 \leq \varphi_n, \varphi_m, \phi_n, \phi_m$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varphi_n^{1-\alpha}\phi_n^\alpha - \varphi_m^{1-\alpha}\phi_m^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \\ & \leq \|\varphi_n\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_n - \phi_m\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha + \|\varphi_n - \varphi_m\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_m\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \\ & \leq \|f_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|\phi_n - \phi_m\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha + \|\varphi_n - \varphi_m\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|f_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $(\varphi_n^{1-\alpha} \phi_n^\alpha)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ , and so  $f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha \in L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ . Moreover, we have that

$$\int_{\Omega} f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = \lim_n \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{1-\alpha} \phi_n^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha \quad (2.12)$$

in  $X(\alpha)$ . Let us show now that

$$\lim_n [\varphi_n m_0, \phi_n m_1]_\alpha(\Omega) = [f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega). \quad (2.13)$$

Clearly  $[\varphi_n m_0, \phi_n m_1]_\alpha(\Omega) \leq [f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega)$ , for all  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . In order to show the equality, consider an arbitrary partition  $\pi \in \Pi(\Omega)$ ; the same kind of calculations that we have used above in Lemma 2.5 give

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} (f_0 m_0(B))^{1-\alpha} (f_1 m_1(B))^\alpha - \sum_{B \in \pi} (\varphi_n m_0(B))^{1-\alpha} (\phi_n m_1(B))^\alpha \right\|_{X(\alpha)} \\ &= \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} (f_0 m_0(B))^{1-\alpha} ((f_1 m_1(B))^\alpha - (\phi_n m_1(B))^\alpha) \right\|_{X(\alpha)} \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} ((f_0 m_0(B))^{1-\alpha} - (\varphi_n m_0(B))^{1-\alpha}) (\phi_n m_1(B))^\alpha \right\|_{X(\alpha)} \\ &\leq \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} f_0 m_0(B) \right\|_{X_0}^{1-\alpha} \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} (f_1 m_1(B)) - (\phi_n m_1(B)) \right\|_{X_1}^\alpha \\ & \quad + \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} (f_0 m_0(B)) - (\varphi_n m_0(B)) \right\|_{X_0}^{1-\alpha} \left\| \sum_{B \in \pi} \phi_n m_1(B) \right\|_{X_1}^\alpha \\ &\leq \|f_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|f_1 - \phi_n\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha + \|f_0 - \varphi_n\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|f_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

whenever  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . This means that the formula (2.13) holds. Consequently, if formula (2.11) in the statement of the proposition holds for simple functions, then it must hold for each couple of functions  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$  since by (2.12) and (2.13),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha &= \lim_n \int_{\Omega} \varphi_n^{1-\alpha} \phi_n^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = \lim_n [\varphi_n m_0, \phi_n m_1]_\alpha(\Omega) \\ &= [f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega). \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove now (2.11) for simple functions; it is enough to do it for  $A = \Omega$ , since if the formula (2.11) holds for  $\Omega$  and  $A \in \Sigma$ , then we can consider the functions  $g_0 = f_0 \chi_A$  and  $g_1 = f_1 \chi_A$ , in which case,  $g_0^{1-\alpha} g_1^\alpha = \chi_A f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$ , and then  $\int_{\Omega} g_0^{1-\alpha} g_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = \int_A f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ . Note also that  $g_0 m_0(B) = \int_B g_0 dm_0 = \int_B f_0 \chi_A dm_0 = f_0 m_0(A \cap B)$ . Analogously,  $g_1 m_1(B) = f_1 m_1(A \cap B)$ . Therefore,  $[g_0 m_0, g_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega) = [f_0 \chi_A m_0, f_1 \chi_A m_1]_\alpha(\Omega) = [f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(A)$ . Consider two positive simple functions  $\varphi_0$  and  $\varphi_1$ . It is always possible to find coefficients  $0 \leq a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $0 \leq b_1, \dots, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ , and disjoint subsets  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n \in \Sigma$  such that  $\varphi_0 = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k \chi_{A_k}$  and  $\varphi_1 = \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \chi_{A_k}$ . For these functions, clearly, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} \varphi_0^{1-\alpha} \varphi_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = \sum_{k=1}^n a_k^{1-\alpha} b_k^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A_k).$$

On the other hand,  $[\varphi_0 m_0, \varphi_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n [\varphi_0 m_0, \varphi_1 m_1]_\alpha(A_k)$ , but

$$\begin{aligned}
[\varphi_0 m_0, \varphi_1 m_1]_\alpha(A_k) &= \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \pi} (\varphi_0 m_0(B \cap A_k))^{1-\alpha} (\varphi_1 m_1(B \cap A_k))^\alpha : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega) \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \pi} \left( \int_{B \cap A_k} \varphi_0 dm_0 \right)^{1-\alpha} \left( \int_{B \cap A_k} \varphi_1 dm_1 \right)^\alpha : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega) \right\} \\
&= \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \pi} a_k^{1-\alpha} (m_0(B \cap A_k))^{1-\alpha} b_k^\alpha (m_1(B \cap A_k))^\alpha : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega) \right\} \\
&= a_k^{1-\alpha} b_k^\alpha \inf \left\{ \sum_{B \in \pi} (m_0(B \cap A_k))^{1-\alpha} (m_1(B \cap A_k))^\alpha : \pi \in \Pi(\Omega) \right\} \\
&= a_k^{1-\alpha} b_k^\alpha [m_0, m_1](A_k).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\int_\Omega \varphi_0^{1-\alpha} \varphi_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = [\varphi_0 m_0, \varphi_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega)$ . This with the arguments before clearly implies that formula (2.11) holds for simple functions. Therefore, it holds for each couple of functions. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.7** *Let  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  be a couple of equivalent positive countably additive vector measures on  $(\Omega, \Sigma)$ . Then the inclusion*

$$(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha \subseteq L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$$

is continuous for all  $0 < \alpha < 1$ .

*Proof* Let  $f \in (L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha$ . Then there exist two functions  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$  such that  $|f| \leq f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$ . The above Proposition 2.6 assures that  $f \in L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ . The comments in Remark 2.3 assure that there is no conflict with the classes, so the inclusion is well defined. Moreover, from (2.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} &\leq \|f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} = \left\| \int_\Omega f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha \right\|_{X(\alpha)} \\
&= \|[f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(\Omega)\|_{X(\alpha)} \leq \|f_0 m_0(\Omega)\|_{X_0}^{1-\alpha} \|f_1 m_1(\Omega)\|_{X_1}^\alpha \\
&= \|f_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|f_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

By the definition of the norm in  $(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha$  we obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \leq \|f\|_{(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha}. \quad \square$$

However, in general we cannot establish the existence of an isometry between these spaces. In fact, the situation might be dramatic:  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  can be the trivial measure 0, even if  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  are non-trivial. Indeed, the vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  defined in Example 1 provide a simple proof of this fact. In this case a direct calculation shows that  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = 0$ , for all  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . However, we obviously have that  $(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha = (L^1[0, 1])^{1-\alpha} (L^1[0, 1])^\alpha = L^1[0, 1]$ . In this case the inclusion is simply the zero map. This situation motivates us to introduce the following definition that we will analyze and exploit in the next section.

**Definition 2.8** *Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . A couple of equivalent vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  is said to be  $\alpha$ -compatible if the following equality holds:  $(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha = L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ .*

In other words, two vector measures are  $\alpha$ -compatible if the space  $L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$  of integrable functions with respect to the interpolated vector measure coincides with the interpolation space  $[L^1(m_0), L^1(m_1)]_\alpha$ .

### 3 Interpolation Formulas for $\alpha$ -Compatible Vector Measures

In this section we consider two  $\alpha$ -compatible vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  with values in two order-continuous Köthe function spaces  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ . We provide a generalization of the complex interpolation formulas (1.1) for  $L^p$ -spaces. In particular, we prove, for two functions  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ , the following equality:

$$[L^{p_0}(f_0 m_0), L^{p_1}(f_1 m_1)]_\theta = L^p(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha), \quad 0 < \theta < 1$$

for all  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ .

As the statement above shows, the  $\alpha$ -compatibility relation becomes the keystone of our technique for obtaining interpolation formulas for  $L^p(m)$ -spaces.

The  $\alpha$ -compatibility relation is clearly satisfied for any couple of positive scalar finite measures. Moreover, obviously, each vector measure is  $\alpha$ -compatible with itself. Of course, the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  in Example 1 are not  $\alpha$ -compatible. Let us start this section with some examples that illustrate that there are also other situations in which  $\alpha$ -compatible couples of vector measures appear.

**Example 4** Let  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ , and consider a finite measure space  $(\Omega, \Sigma, \mu)$  and the vector measures

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 : A \in \Sigma &\rightarrow m_0(A) := \chi_A \in L^{p_0}(\mu), \\ m_1 : A \in \Sigma &\rightarrow m_1(A) := \chi_A \in L^{p_1}(\mu). \end{aligned}$$

These vector measures are obviously countably additive, and the corresponding spaces of integrable functions are  $L^1(m_0) = L^{p_0}(\mu)$  and  $L^1(m_1) = L^{p_1}(\mu)$ . Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The complex interpolation formula for  $L^p$ -spaces of scalar measures gives  $(L^{p_0}(\mu))^{1-\alpha} (L^{p_1}(\mu))^\alpha = L^p(\mu)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\alpha}{p_0} + \frac{\alpha}{p_1}$ . A direct calculation shows that the interpolated vector measure  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha$  takes values in  $L^p(\mu)$  and is given by  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) = \chi_A$ , for all  $A \in \Sigma$ . Then obviously

$$(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha = (L^{p_0}(\mu))^{1-\alpha} (L^{p_1}(\mu))^\alpha = L^p(\mu) = L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha).$$

**Example 5** Now we show that the interpolated vector measure given in Example 2 provides also an  $\alpha$ -compatible couple of vector measures. Recall that  $\frac{1}{s_0} + \frac{1}{t} = \frac{1}{s_1}$ ,  $m_0(A) = \chi_A \in L^{s_0}[0, 1]$  and  $m_1(A) = g \cdot \chi_A \in L^{s_1}([0, 1])$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ . In this case,  $L^1(m_0) = L^{s_0}[0, 1]$  and  $L^1(m_1) = L^{s_1}(g^{s_1} \lambda)$ . Therefore, if  $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1-\alpha}{s_0} + \frac{\alpha}{s_1}$  and  $\beta = \frac{s\alpha}{s_1}$ , the complex interpolation formula for  $L^p$ -spaces of scalar measures gives

$$(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha = (L^{s_0}[0, 1])^{1-\alpha} (L^{s_1}(g^{s_1} \lambda))^\alpha = L^s(g^{\beta s_1}) = L^s(g^{s\alpha} \lambda).$$

This clearly coincides (isometrically) with  $L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ , since the measure of Example 2 is given, as we computed there, by  $[m_0, m_1]_\alpha(A) = g^\alpha \cdot \chi_A \in L^s([0, 1])$ , for all  $A \in \mathcal{M}$ , and so  $L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha) = L^s(g^{s\alpha} \lambda)$ .

In what follows we also show that in particular, if two positive vector measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  with values in the same Köthe function space  $X$  satisfy that there is a measure  $m$  on  $X$  and functions  $0 < f_0, f_1 \in L^1(m)$  such that  $m_0 = f_0 m$  and  $m_1 = f_1 m$ , then  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  are  $\alpha$ -compatible for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . The reader can find in [17] the conditions that assure the existence of functions  $f_0, f_1 \in L^1(m)$  with the mentioned property (see also Corollary 3.4 below).

In the proof of the next result we use the following well-known fact: *For any positive vector measure  $m$  and any function  $0 \leq f \in L^1(m)$ , a measurable function  $g$  belongs to  $L^1(fm)$  if and only if  $gf$  belongs to  $L^1(m)$ ; moreover, in this case,  $\|g\|_{L^1(fm)} = \|gf\|_{L^1(m)}$ .*

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$  be two  $\alpha$ -compatible vector measures, and consider two functions  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ . Then*

$$(L^1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha = L^1(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha).$$

*Proof* Take the function  $f := f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha$ . We already know by Corollary 2.7 that  $f \in L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$  and also from (2.11) we know that  $\int_A f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha d[m_0, m_1]_\alpha = [f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha(A)$ , for all  $A \in \Sigma$ , that is,  $[f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha = f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ . Consider now a function

$$g \in (L_1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L_1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha$$

and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there are functions  $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(f_0 m_0)$  and  $0 \leq u_1 \in L^1(f_1 m_1)$  such that  $|g| \leq u_0^{1-\alpha} u_1^\alpha$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L^1(f_0 m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^1(f_1 m_1)}^\alpha \leq \|g\|_{(L_1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L_1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha} + \varepsilon$ . Then we have  $|g|f \leq u_0^{1-\alpha} u_1^\alpha f = h_0^{1-\alpha} h_1^\alpha$ , where  $h_0 := u_0 f_0$  and  $h_1 := u_1 f_1$ . Note that  $h_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  since  $u_0 \in L^1(f_0 m_0)$ . Analogously  $h_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ . This means that

$$gf \in (L_1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L_1(m_1))^\alpha = L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$$

by the  $\alpha$ -compatibility assumption for the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ . Thus  $g \in L^1(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ . Moreover, using again the  $\alpha$ -compatibility we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{L^1(f [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} &= \|gf\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} = \|gf\|_{(L_1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L_1(m_1))^\alpha} \\ &\leq \|h_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|h_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha = \|u_0 f_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|u_1 f_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \\ &= \|u_0\|_{L^1(f_0 m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^1(f_1 m_1)}^\alpha \leq \|g\|_{(L_1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L_1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha} + \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $\|g\|_{L^1(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \leq \|g\|_{(L_1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L_1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha}$ , since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was taken arbitrarily.

Now we prove the converse. Let  $g \in L^1(f [m_1, m_0]_\alpha)$ . Recall that this is the same to say that  $gf \in L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$  and

$$\|g\|_{L^1(f [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} = \|gf\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} = \|gf\|_{(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha}. \quad (3.1)$$

The last equality follows from the  $\alpha$ -compatibility assumption on the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ . Now, if  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are functions  $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq u_1 \in L^1(m_1)$  such that  $|g|f \leq u_0^{1-\alpha} u_1^\alpha$  and

$$\|u_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \leq \|gf\|_{(L^1(m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(m_1))^\alpha} + \varepsilon. \quad (3.2)$$

Since  $u_0 \in L^1(m_0)$ , we obtain  $h_0 := \frac{u_0}{f_0} \in L^1(f_0 m_0)$ . The fact that  $u_1 \in L^1(m_1)$  gives also  $h_1 := \frac{u_1}{f_1} \in L^1(f_1 m_1)$ . Therefore, we have  $|g| \leq h_0^{1-\alpha} h_1^\alpha$ . Consequently,  $g \in (L^1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} \cdot (L^1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha$ . Moreover using (3.1) and (3.2) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{(L^1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha} &\leq \|h_0\|_{L^1(f_0 m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|h_1\|_{L^1(f_1 m_1)}^\alpha = \|u_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \\ &\leq \|g\|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary we obtain  $\|g\|_{(L^1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha} \leq \|g\|_{L^1(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)}$ . With these all arguments together we get  $(L^1(f_0 m_0))^{1-\alpha} (L^1(f_1 m_1))^\alpha = L^1(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ , and the equality of norms in these spaces.  $\square$

In what follows we show that this approach can also be used to characterize the class of couples of vector measures for which the interpolation formulas hold.

**Theorem 3.2** *Let  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$  and  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Consider two  $\alpha$ -compatible vector measures  $m_0 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_0$  and  $m_1 : \Sigma \rightarrow X_1$ , and let  $0 \leq f_0 \in L^1(m_0)$ , and  $0 \leq f_1 \in L^1(m_1)$ . Then  $[L^{p_0}(f_0 m_0), L^{p_1}(f_1 m_1)]_\theta = L^p(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ .*

*Proof* Consider first the case  $f_0 = f_1 = \chi_\Omega$ . Let  $g \in [L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then by the definition of the interpolation space there are functions  $0 \leq u_0 \in L^{p_0}(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq u_1 \in L^{p_1}(m_1)$  such that  $|g| \leq u_0^{1-\theta} u_1^\theta$  and  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}(m_0)}^{1-\theta} \|u_1\|_{L^{p_1}(m_1)}^\theta \leq \|g\|_{[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta} + \epsilon$ . Note that  $h_0 := u_0^{p_0} \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $h_1 := u_1^{p_1} \in L^1(m_1)$ . Thus,  $|g| \leq h_0^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} h_1^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}}$ , and therefore  $|g|^p \leq h_0^{\frac{(1-\theta)p}{p_0}} h_1^{\frac{\theta p}{p_1}} = h_0^{1-\alpha} h_1^\alpha$ . Moreover we know that  $\|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}(m_0)}^{1-\theta} = \|u_0^{p_0}\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} = \|h_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{(1-\alpha)p}$ , which implies  $\|h_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} = \|u_0\|_{L^{p_0}(m_0)}^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}}$ . Similarly,  $\|h_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha = \|u_1\|_{L^{p_1}(m_1)}^\theta$ . Thus,  $|g|^p \leq |h_0|^{1-\alpha} |h_1|^\alpha$  and

$$\|h_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|h_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \leq (\|g\|_{[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta} + \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (3.3)$$

which implies that  $|g|^p \in [L^1(m_0), L^1(m_1)]_\alpha$ , which is equal to  $L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$  in order to the  $\alpha$ -compatibility assumption. Moreover, by the definition of the norms and (3.3) we obtain

$$\|g\|_{L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} = \| |g|^p \|_{L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)}^{\frac{1}{p}} = \| |g|^p \|_{[L^1(m_0), L^1(m_1)]_\alpha}^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq \|g\|_{[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta} + \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary we obtain  $\|g\|_{L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)} \leq \|g\|_{[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta}$ .

Let us prove now the converse. Let  $g \in L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)$ . Then

$$|g|^p \in L^1([m_0, m_1]_\alpha) = [L^1(m_0), L^1(m_1)]_\alpha.$$

The last equality follows from the  $\alpha$ -compatibility for the measures  $m_0$  and  $m_1$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there are  $0 \leq u_0 \in L^1(m_0)$  and  $0 \leq u_1 \in L^1(m_1)$  such that  $|g|^p \leq u_0^{1-\alpha} u_1^\alpha$  and

$$\|u_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{1-\alpha} \|u_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^\alpha \leq \| |g|^p \|_{[L^1(m_0), L^1(m_1)]_\alpha} + \epsilon. \quad (3.4)$$

Thus,  $|g|^p \leq u_0^{\frac{(1-\theta)p}{p_0}} u_1^{\frac{\theta p}{p_1}}$ , and then  $|g| \leq u_0^{\frac{1-\theta}{p_0}} u_1^{\frac{\theta}{p_1}}$ . Now we define  $h_0 := u_0^{p_0} \in L^{p_0}(m_0)$  and  $h_1 := u_1^{p_1} \in L^{p_1}(m_1)$ . Clearly,  $|g| \leq h_0^{1-\theta} h_1^\theta$ , and moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|h_0\|_{L^{p_0}(m_0)} &= \|h_0^{p_0}\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{\frac{1}{p_0}} = \|u_0\|_{L^1(m_0)}^{\frac{p_0}{p_0}}, \\ \|h_1\|_{L^{p_1}(m_1)} &= \|h_1^{p_1}\|_{L^1(m_1)}^{\frac{1}{p_1}} = \|u_1\|_{L^1(m_1)}^{\frac{p_1}{p_1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.4), we obtain  $\|h_0\|_{L^{p_0}(m_0)}^{1-\theta} \|h_1\|_{L^{p_1}(m_1)}^\theta \leq (\|g\|^p \|_{[L^1(m_0), L^1(m_1)]_\alpha} + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ . Consequently,  $g \in [L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta$  and  $\|g\|_{[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta} \leq \|g\|_{L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha)}$ . We conclude that

$$[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta = L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha).$$

This proves the result for  $f_0 = f_1 = \chi_\Omega$ .

The general case is given from this just using Theorem 3.1; if  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  are  $\alpha$ -compatible, then  $f_0 m_0$  and  $f_1 m_1$  are also  $\alpha$ -compatible, so we have

$$[L^{p_0}(f_0 m_0), L^{p_1}(f_1 m_1)]_\theta = L^p([f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha).$$

Moreover we know that  $[f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha = f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha$ . Therefore,

$$[L^{p_0}(f_0 m_0), L^{p_1}(f_1 m_1)]_\theta = L^p([f_0 m_0, f_1 m_1]_\alpha) = L^p(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha [m_0, m_1]_\alpha).$$

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Proposition 2.6 and Theorem 3.2. Recall that the measures  $f_0 m$  and  $f_1 m$  are  $\alpha$ -compatible for every  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $0 \leq f_0, f_1 \in L^1(m)$ .

**Corollary 3.3** *Let  $m$  be a countably additive positive vector measure with values in a Köthe function space, and consider  $0 \leq f_0, f_1 \in L^1(m)$ . Then  $[L^{p_0}(f_0 m), L^{p_1}(f_1 m)]_\theta = L^p(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha m)$ , where  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ .*

We say that a countably additive vector measure  $n : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ , with values in a Banach space  $X$ , is *scalarly uniformly absolutely continuous* with respect to another countably additive vector measure  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$  if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x' \in X'$  and each  $A \in \Sigma$  the inequality  $|\langle m, x' \rangle|(A) < \delta$  yields  $|\langle n, x' \rangle|(A) < \varepsilon$ . Theorem 1 in [17] and the results above provide the following interpolation formula. Note that the requirement of equivalence of  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  implies that we can restrict the  $\sigma$ -algebra to assure that the functions  $f_0$  and  $f_1$  are in fact  $\mu$ -almost everywhere positive for a Rybakov measure  $\mu$  of  $m$ . Moreover, Theorem 1 in [17] gives that the corresponding Radon–Nikodým derivatives of  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  with respect to  $m$  belong to  $L^\infty(\mu) \subseteq L^1(m)$ ; furthermore the results in that paper should provide Radon–Nikodým derivatives just belonging to  $L^1(m)$ .

**Corollary 3.4** *Let  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  be a couple of equivalent countably additive positive vector measures that are scalarly uniformly absolutely continuous with respect to the positive countably additive vector measure  $m : \Sigma \rightarrow X$ , with values in a Köthe function space  $X$ . Let  $\mu$  be a Rybakov control measure for  $m$ . Then there are functions  $0 \leq f_0, f_1 \in L^\infty(\mu)$  such that*

$$[L^{p_0}(m_0), L^{p_1}(m_1)]_\theta = L^p(f_0^{1-\alpha} f_1^\alpha m),$$

where  $1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$  and  $\alpha = \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ .

Let us finish the paper by writing two direct applications of our results in interpolation theory. The first one provides a Riesz–Thorin Theorem for spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure, and is a direct consequence of the properties of the complex interpolation method (see for instance [2]). The second one provides a formula for interpolation of injective tensor products of such spaces and is a direct consequence of the results of [18] (see also [19]).

**Corollary 3.5** Let  $0 < \theta < 1 \leq p_0, p_1 < \infty$ , and  $\alpha = \frac{p\theta}{p_1}$ , where  $\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1-\theta}{p_0} + \frac{\theta}{p_1}$ . Let  $1 \leq q_0, q_1 < \infty$ , and  $\beta = \frac{q\theta}{q_1}$ , where  $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1-\theta}{q_0} + \frac{\theta}{q_1}$ . Let  $m_0$  and  $m_1$  be a couple of  $\alpha$ -compatible vector measures, and similarly let  $n_0$  and  $n_1$  be another couple of  $\beta$ -compatible vector measures. Consider an operator  $T : L^{p_0}(m_0) + L^{p_1}(m_1) \longrightarrow L^{q_0}(n_0) + L^{q_1}(n_1)$  that is also well defined and continuous when restricted to  $T : L^{p_0}(m_0) \cap L^{p_1}(m_1) \longrightarrow L^{q_0}(n_0) \cap L^{q_1}(n_1)$ . Then  $T : L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha) \longrightarrow L^q([n_0, n_1]_\beta)$  is well defined and continuous.

**Corollary 3.6** Under the assumptions of Corollary 3.5, if all the spaces in the left hand side of the following formula are 2-concave, then

$$[L^{p_0}(m_0) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon L^{q_0}(n_0), L^{p_1}(m_1) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon L^{q_1}(n_1)]_\theta = L^p([m_0, m_1]_\alpha) \hat{\otimes}_\varepsilon L^q([n_0, n_1]_\beta).$$

## References

- [1] Stein, E. M., Weiss, G.: Interpolation of operators with change of measures. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **87**, 159–172 (1958)
- [2] Bergh, J., Löfström, J.: Interpolation Spaces, An Introduction, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, **223**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1976
- [3] Calderón, A. P.: Intermediate spaces and interpolation, the complex method. *Studia Math.*, **24**, 113–190, (1964)
- [4] Curbera, G. P.: Operators into  $L^1$  of a vector measure and applications to Banach lattices. *Math. Ann.*, **293**, 317–330 (1992)
- [5] Fernández, A., Mayoral, F., Naranjo, F., et al.: Spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure. *Positivity*, **10**, 1–16 (2006)
- [6] Sánchez-Pérez, E. A.: Compactness arguments for spaces of  $p$ -integrable functions with respect to a vector measure and factorization of operators through Lebesgue–Bochner spaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, **45**, 907–923 (2001)
- [7] Lindenstrauss, J., Tzafriri, L.: Classical Banach Spaces II, Function Spaces, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihre Grenzgebiete, **97**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1979
- [8] Lozanovskii, G. Ja.: Certain Banach lattices. *Sibirsk. Mat. Ž.*, **10**, 584–599 (1969)
- [9] Šestakov, V. A.: Complex interpolation in Banach spaces of measurable functions. *Vestnik Leningrad. Univ.*, **19**, 64–68 (1974)
- [10] Krein, S. G., Petunin, Ju. I., Semenov, E. M.: Interpolation of Linear Operators, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **54**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1985
- [11] Reisner, S.: On two theorems of Lozanovskii concerning intermediate Banach lattices, Geometric aspects of functional analysis (1986/87), Lecture Notes in Math., **1317**, Springer, Berlin, 1988, 67–83
- [12] Diestel, J., Uhl, J. J., Jr.: Vector Measures, Mathematical Surveys, **15**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1977
- [13] Kluvánek, I., Knowles, G.: Vector Measures and Control Systems, North-Holland, Notas de Matemática, **58**, Amsterdam, 1975
- [14] Lewis, D. R.: Integration with respect to vector measures. *Pacific J. Math.*, **33**, 157–165 (1970)
- [15] Lewis, D. R.: On integrability and summability in vector spaces. *Illinois J. Math.*, **16**, 294–307 (1972)
- [16] Fernández, A., Mayoral, F., Naranjo, F., et al.: Complex interpolation of spaces of integrable functions with respect to a vector measure. *Collect. Math.*, **61**(3), 241–252 (2010)
- [17] Musiał, K.: A Radon–Nikodym theorem for the Bartle–Dunford–Schwartz integral. *Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena*, **XLI**, 227–233 (1993)
- [18] Kouba, O.: On the interpolation of injective or projective tensor products of Banach spaces. *J. Func. Anal.*, **96**, 38–61 (1991)
- [19] Defant, A., Michels, C.: A complex interpolation formula for tensor products of vector valued Banach function spaces. *Arch. Math.*, **74**, 441–451 (2000)