Angela Carter's "John Ford's 'Tis A Pity She's A Whore": A Schizoid Text

Leonor Acosi Universidad de Cád

It seems that a great part of postmodern fiction is a rewriting of well-known texts b longing to the bulk of Western tradition, and it also seems that this corresponds to a gener shift in the arts occurred by the nineteen-sixties, related to a thorough questioning of tw modernist premises: the rejection of mimesis as informing the work of art, and the elevatic of formalist ideals to primary aesthetic principles. Literature after the sixties turned back representationality, but it did not produce the kind of mimesis praised and practised by nineteenth-century Realism. Certainly, it was not an innocent return because it possessed revolutionary component, in the sense that now the objects to be represented already e: isted as represented objects and the reader was made aware of that confusion between projection and the real object. Literature, as well as other products meant for cultural col sumption, stopped being concerned with inventing new stories, new characters, new plot and began to pay attention to the way in which the world projected by other narratives wa constructed.1

This shift of interest brought about a tendency to quote, to paraphrase, or to rework provious styles or works, and, as a consequence, writers got into the habit of creating comple texts in which the conventions of different genres collapse, in which the discourses of the ory and practise are juxtaposed, and the opposition between high and popular dissolve The result was the appearance of literary works which were, on the one hand, self-reflexive and metafictional in form, and, historically grounded, on the other, since this revisitation (already written texts was concerned with an ironic, parodic and critical revision of the past

This revision of the past is also markedly political, because the stories and images cre ated in this new light are not neutral, though they might sometimes seem so 'aestheticized as to take the risk of being regarded as mere narcissistic products.2 They are political be cause they become the site for a new preoccupation with power and domination, and prit

² Linda Hutcheon discusses the question of the political goal of these products arguing that the recurrent use irony and parody informs one of the most relevant features of the postmodern age which is its involvement with de-naturalizing critique. Through this de-familiarization of the natural consensus about social, cultural and polit cal relations and systems postmodernism works within an undeniable political ground.

¹ This characteristic of the contemporary world is commented on and defined by Baudrillard with his concept the simulacrum: "The very definition of the real becomes: that of which it is possible to give an equivalent repr duction . . . There used to be, before, a specific class of allegorical and slightly diabolical objects: mirrors, image works of art (concepts?)—simulacra, but transparent and manifest (you didn't confuse the counterfeit with tl original), that had their characteristic style and savoir-faire. And pleasure consisted then rather in discovering the 'natural' in what was artificial and counterfeit. Today, when the real and the imaginary are confused in the san operational totality, the aesthetic fascinations is everywhere" (Waugh 186-188).

Leonor Acos

cipally with the ideological bias of language as forming ourselves. This is the way in whi the arguments of postmodernism are politically useful for feminists: as Craig Owen said, is precisely at the legislative frontier between what can be represented and what cannot the postmodernist operation is being staged—not in order to transcend representation, be in order to expose that system of power that authorises certain representations who blocking, prohibiting or invalidating others. . . . Here, we arrive at an apparent crossing the feminist critique of patriarchy and the postmodernist critique of representation" (Fost 58-59). For postmodernist representation entails certain assumptions about the commo sense naturalness and the transparency of traditional mimesis as constructed by an interested, dominating and mastering subject position.³

Angela Carter's works seem to be clearly inserted in this feminist/postmodernist cros road: the critique of patriarchy was achieved in her novels and short stories by means some postmodernist techniques and strategies which destabilise the ontological status of t literary work, showing the artificiality and the constructedness of every piece of represe tation. Carter linked the cultivation of a marginal view to feminism and socialism and eventhere she avoided integration into the maisntream of these movements. She took nothing face value. Instead, her fiction showed her constantly challenging the boundaries of all received system of belief, and she was always playing the role of a kind of "cultural sab teur, using her writing to blow up comfortable assumptions and habitual patterns thought" (Gamble 4).

In terms of form, her texts proposed a view of fiction as a composite in-between fanta and analysis, allegory and rationalism. In this sense, it is evident that she cultivated an i creasing interest in the defamiliarization of literary discourse by splitting the narrative in different 'texts' belonging to different realms of culture, in order to demonstrate that the is no such thing as 'the real,' but only representations, images and tales about realit Moreover, being primarily a feminist, she was particularly concerned with the way in white the concept of woman had been naturalised by culture as the silenced other. This is why sl shared the view of that trend in postmodernism installed in the critique of representatio since her fundamental goal was to lay bare the fictionality of feminine identity.

In the stories included in the collection American Ghosts and Old World Wonders, pu lished posthumously in 1993, the narrative exploits the strategy of deconstructing legen and myths from the European heritage together with more modern imaginative construtions taken from American culture, emphasizing the fact that such stories, modern or ol

³ When Lyotard defined the concept of postmodernity in 1984 as a stage of disbelief toward metanarratives, here the ground for a series of debates and discussions about the various narrative systems by which human cultivariers and gives meaning to experience. This issue of the role of metanarratives in the discourses of knowledge indispensable for feminist theory and criticism, as trends of resistance, since it is the basis of their critique patriarchy and its interconnection with capitalism, imperialism and liberal humanism.

⁴ "I can date to that time and to some of those debates and to that sense of heightened awareness of the social around me in the summer of 1968, my own questioning of the nature of my reality as a woman. How that soc fiction of my 'femininity' was created, by means outside my control, and palmed off on me as the real thing. The investigation of the social fictions that regulate our lives . . . is what I've concerned myself with consciously sin that time' (Carter 70).

are equally grounded in the naturalisation of patriarchy through literary texts as well a through other cultural products as, for instance, cinema.

Among them, the story "John Ford's 'Tis A Pity She's A Whore" makes itself significal in this ideological uncovering through a clever linguistic juxtaposition of two different tex written (one actually, the other potentially) by two different John Fords, in two different periods of history and within the conventional frames of two different genres: one being the Jacobean dramatist whose play 'Tis A Pity She's A Whore was published in 1633, and the other, the famous American director of westerns. These two series of broken texts enriched the external narrative which tells the story of a widower living in the American prairie with a son and a daughter who grow up and awaken to sexuality together ending with the melectromatic deaths of both after the girl's pregnancy and undesired marriage. The story line is the same for the three texts—the tale, the script and the Jacobean play—but the change of discourse corresponding to a broken structure of alien paragraphs makes the tale an actual exemplification of Roland Barthes's definition of a 'text' (as different from a 'work') in his essay "The Death of the Author":

We know that a text is not a line of words releasing a single 'theological' meaning (the message of the author-God) but a multidimensional space in which a variety of writings, none of them original, blend and clash. The text is a tissue of quotations drawn from innumerable centres of culture. (Quoted in Marshall 122)

The literary work as a 'tissue of quotations' would be a good definition for Angel Carter's tale, but this complexity is made much more complex, if possible, due to the introduction of an obtrusive narrator in first person singular whose metafictional voice comments on some aspects of the characterization and the plot, in a way which makes it the sit of the ideological and feminist critique.

Intertextuality, metafiction, fragmentation and splitting are, in a way, the things that at tract the reader's attention at first sight, and the effect is to stress the materiality of ever paragraph of the tale, deconstructing the very essence of literature as projection of worlds. The different texts which are juxtaposed without any other transitional element take different forms and produce an absolute break of the logical hierarchy organizing the narrative Starting from the fact that even the authorial voice is here confuse because of the coexistence of the implicit author of the tale together with the explicit appearance of the two Joh Fords, to the more striking technique of introducing different names for the character (Giovanni and Annabella, Johnny and Annie-Belle), and the addition of footnotes explaining the author's distinct strategies when representing the same action, the traditional suspension of disbelief required of the reader is here absolutely impossible, because he/she i trapped by the clash of discourses and does not know if he/she is reading a tale, a piece o criticism, a Jacobean play or a script for a western.

The transgression in the coherence of the narrative elements derives from the narrator' status in metafictional texts, which in no moment behaves as a cohesive element, but rathe seems to change from one status to the other, reinforcing the idea of alien texts united with out formal connectors. From the apparent absence of narrator within the discourses of the

play and the script, the reader has to move to the narrator of the tale proper, which is alwa shifting:

(a) taking the role typical of the fairy tales:

There was a rancher had two children, a son and then a daughter. A while after that his w died and was buried under two sticks nailed together to make a cross because there was time, yet, to carve a stone. (20)

(b) commenting on questions outside the world of fiction:

America begins and ends in the cold and solitude. Up here, she pillows her head upon t Arctic snow. Down there, she dips her feet in the chilly waters of the South Atlantic, hor of the perpetually restless albatross. America, with her torso of a woman at the time of the story, a woman with an hour-glass waist, a waist laced so tightly it snapped in two. And the put a belt of water there. America, with your child-bearing hips and your crotch of jung your swelling bosom of a nursing mother and your cold head, your cold head. . . . Where say the two children of the prairie . . . were the pure children of the continent, you know once that they were norteamericanos, or I would not speak of them in the English language which was their language, the language that silences the babble of this continent's multitut of tongues. (21)

(c) taking the traditional omniscient perspective:

Since his wife died, the rancher spoke rarely. They lived far out of town. He had no time is barn-raisings and church suppers. If she had lived, everything would have been different, the occupied his spare moments in chiselling her gravestone. They did not celebra Thanksgiving for he had nothing for which to give thanks. It was a hard life. (22)

(d) making striking questions which have no answers, implying the narrator's loss control over the narrative:

What did the girl think? In summer, of the heat, and how to keep flies out of the butter; winter, of the cold. I do not know what else she thought. (23)

(e) inserting a metatext commenting on the tale:

It is the boy—or young man, rather—who is the most mysterious to me. The eagerness w which he embraces his fate. I imagine him mute or well nigh mute; he is the silent type, I voice creaks with disuse. He turns the soil, he breaks the wills of the beautiful horses, milks the cows, he works the land, he toils and sweats. His work consists of the vague, the distinguished 'work' of such folks in the movies. . . . And I imagine him with an intelligen nourished only by the black book of the father, and hence cruelly circumscribed, yet der with allusion, seeing himself as a kind of Adam and she his unavoidable and irreplaceat Eve, the unique companion of the wilderness. (25-26)

(f) discrediting and negating what has happened before:

EXTERIOR, PRAIRIE, DAY

(Close up) Johnny and Annie-Belle kiss.

"Love Theme" up.

Dissolve.

No. It wasn't like that! Not in the least like that. (24)

(g) correcting some sentences:

The light, the unexhausted light of North America that, filtered through celluloid, will become the light by which we see America looking at itself. Correction: will become the light by which we see *North* America looking at itself. (29)

This way of managing the narrative, constructing what Brian McHale calls a schizoi text as regards the impossibility of reading it in a linear and traditional way, shows the materiality of texts, the materials which are not to be confounded with the reality they hel to represent. So the reader is forced to manipulate these materials in order to grasp som meaning, and with this effort he/she also realizes the absence of a unique subject position above all, because the reader can easily feel a clash of perspectives in the act of reading, clash corresponding to an opposition of gender. Thus, the narrative is split into at least tw types of representation: the one naturalizing the patriarcal myths about what means to be woman, significantly embodied in the two texts written by male authors, and the other practising a feminist critique of representation, achieved by means of the narrator of the tal proper which assumes the role of demythologizing the identities created by the other text: when the script presents the reality of the prairie as some ideal pastoral scene with short showing the beauty of the landscape, the narrator introduces the other side of the scene b telling how hard this girl works to attend her father and son; when the piece of music calle 'Love Theme' is said to start, the narrator inserts the issue of sexuality as invalidating this ideal representation of the couple's relationship. This corresponds to an evolution in Car ter's career related to the creation of an emphatically female narrator, presenting the worl of the text from a female subject position. The irony achieved through the male and femal perspective clashing one against the other shows the gap open by patriarchy between mal constructs about 'The Woman' and the silenced voice of women's experience.

It seems to be evident, in the light of this idea, that Angela Carter's selection of texts t be revisited is not at all innocent, and the two share a visible ideological background. O the one hand, Westerns belong to a highly formal code with a strong patriarchal bias, ir vented as a kind of male epic where women are even less than secondary characters, an John Ford's approach to the formula produced the same celebration of the male hero plus visible touch of sentimentalized domesticity; on the other, the Jacobean play is also give to sensationalism and can be considered as leading towards the melodramatic effects s typical in the films meant for women in the history of the movies (melodramas, for example). Both products naturalize women as suffering characters, secondary, of course, an objects of male desire.

It is, to sum up, by means of the rewriting of these cultural products with the aid c some postmodernist techniques and strategies that this author is putting into practise he feminist agenda. So I can argue that as theories of resistence, postmodernism and feminist share a key project: the reinterpretation and critical reworking of history, the rejection c the past as a set of facts which we can be innocently recovered through texts and the questioning of the cultural products that have manipulated the way in which we apprehend our selves. By juxtaposing old and new products of our culture, as the seventeenth-century pla and the twentieth-century western, Angela Carter highlights that things have not changed s

16 Leonor Acos

much, that the same stories are at the core of pieces taken from high and low culture as was from literature and other discursive products, and that these same stories are told a retold again throughout the history of Western culture so that, as Carter says, they habeen fictionalizing our identities for long. As different as they might seem at first sight, to Jacobean drama and the western are here displayed in a way which one interacts with tother giving the impression of a unique pattern of story line, showing the workings 'metanarratives' which feed one another and which permeates literary and film genral Though the main stress here is put on the deconstruction of concepts of gender and sexuality, Carter does not stop there, and there are some clues to see a criticism of capitalism a imperialism too, as it can be implied by the selection of the American western as one of the sources of her critique. Intertextuality, fragmentation and the production of a schize text—all of them forming part of the postmodern aesthetics—are, here, tools for the deconstruction and the dismantling of these ideological implications which have been silence and obstructing the voice of the marginal, in a way which demonstrates the efficiency a success of the feminist/postmodernist crossroad.

WORKS CITED

Carter, A. American Ghosts and Old World Wonders. London: Vintage, 1994

———. "Notes from the Front Line." *Gender and Writing*. Ed. M. Wandor. London: Padora Press, 1983. 69-77.

Currie, M., ed. Metafiction. London and New York: Longman, 1995.

Day, A. Angela Carter. The Rational Glass. Manchester: Manchester UP, 1998.

Ferguson, M. & Wicke, J., eds. Feminism and Postmodernism. Durham and London: Du UP, 1994.

Foster, H., ed. Postmodern Culture. London: Pluto Press, 1983.

Gaggi, S. Modern /Postmodern. A Study in Twentieth-Century Arts and Ideas. Philadelphi U of Pennsylvania P, 1989.

Gamble, S. Angela Carter. Writing from the Front Line. Edinburgh: Edinburgh UP, 1997.

Hutcheon, L. The Politics of Postmodernism. London and New York: Routledge, 1989.

Marchall, B.K. Teaching the Postmodern. Fiction and Theory. London: Routledge, 1992.

McHale, B. Postmodernist Fiction. London and New York: Routledge, 1991 [1987].

Waugh, P., ed. Postmodernism: A Reader. London: Edward Arnold, 1992.