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#### Abstract

We classify all complex 7- and 8-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras by algebraic and geometric way. Also we find all non-trivial complex 9-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras.
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## InTRODUCTION

There are many results related to the algebraic and geometric classification of low-dimensional algebras in the varieties of Jordan, Lie, Leibniz and Zinbiel algebras; for algebraic classifications see, for example, [1, 9-12, 15, 17, 22, 26, 29]; for geometric classifications and descriptions of degenerations see, for example, [1,4,5,8, 16-19, 24, 26, 28-30, 34]. Here we give the algebraic and geometric classification of dual mock-Lie algebras of small dimensions.

A while ago, a new class of algebras emerged in the literature - the so-called mock-Lie algebras. These are commutative algebras satisfying the Jacobi identity. These algebras are locally nilpotent, so there are no nontrivial simple objects. Nevertheless, they seem to have an interesting structure theory which gives rise to interesting questions. And, after all, it is always curios to play with a classical notion by modifying it here and there and see what will happen - in this case, to replace in Lie algebras anti-commutativity by commutativity.

In [23] (see also [40]) that a finite-dimensional mock-Lie algebra does not admit a finite-dimensional faithful representation. This class of algebras appeared in the literature under different names, reflecting, perhaps, the fact that it was considered from different viewpoints by different communities, sometimes not aware of each other's results. Apparently, for the first time these algebras appeared in [37], where an example of infinite-dimensional solvable but not nilpotent mock-Lie algebra was given (reproduced in [38, §4.1, Example 1]); further examples can be found in [38, §4.1, Example 2 and $\S 5.4$, Exercise 4] and [36, §2.5]. In this and other Jordan-algebraic literature these algebras are called just "Jordan algebras of nil index 3". In [32] they are called "Lie-Jordan algebras" (superalgebras are also considered there), and, finally, in the recent papers [7] and [3] the term "Jacobi-Jordan algebras" was used. The term "mock-Lie"

[^0]comes from [14, §3.9], where the corresponding operad appears in the list of quadratic cyclic operads with one generator. Despite that Getzler and Kapranov downplayed this class of algebras by (unjustly, in our opinion) calling them "pathological" (basing on the fact that the mock-Lie operad is not Koszul), we prefer to stick to the term "mock-Lie". These algebras live a dual life: as members of a very particular class of Jordan algebras, and as strange cousins of Lie algebras

An entertaining fact (though not related to what follows): algebras over the operad Koszul dual to the mock-Lie operad can be characterized in three equivalent ways:

- anticommutative antiassociative algebras;
- anticommutative 2-Engel algebras;
- anticommutative alternative algebras.

Here by antiassociative algebras we mean, following [32] and [31], algebras satisfying the identity $(x y) z=$ $-x(y z)$, and the 2-Engel identity is $(x y) y=0$. Another entertaining fact (noted, for example, in [32]) is that mock-Lie algebras can be produced from antiassociative algebras the same way as they are produced from associative ones.

The algebraic classification of nilpotent algebras will be achieved by the calculation of central extensions of algebras from the same variety which have a smaller dimension. Central extensions of algebras from various varieties were studied, for example, in [2, 35, 39]. Skjelbred and Sund [35] used central extensions of Lie algebras to classify nilpotent Lie algebras. Using the same method, all non-Lie central extensions of all 4-dimensional Malcev algebras [21], all non-associative Jordan central extensions of all 3-dimensional Jordan algebras, all anticommutative central extensions of all 3-dimensional anticommutative algebras, all central extensions of 2-dimensional algebras, and some others were described. One can also look at the classification of 3 -dimensional nilpotent algebras [13], 4-dimensional nilpotent associative algebras [12], 4-dimensional nilpotent Novikov algebras [26], 4-dimensional nilpotent bicommutative algebras, 4dimensional nilpotent commutative algebras in [13], 5 -dimensional nilpotent restricted Lie agebras [10], 5 -dimensional nilpotent Jordan algebras [20], 5-dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebras [13], 6dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras [9, 11], 6-dimensional nilpotent Malcev algebras [22], 6-dimensional nilpotent Tortkara algebras [15, 17], 6-dimensional nilpotent binary Lie algebras [1].

Degenerations of algebras is an interesting subject, which has been studied in various papers. In particular, there are many results concerning degenerations of algebras of small dimensions in a variety defined by a set of identities. One of important problems in this direction is a description of so-called rigid algebras. These algebras are of big interest, since the closures of their orbits under the action of the generalized linear group form irreducible components of the variety under consideration (with respect to the Zariski topology). For example, rigid algebras in the varieties of all 4-dimensional Leibniz algebras [24], all 4-dimensional nilpotent Novikov algebras [26], all 4 -dimensional nilpotent bicommutative algebras, all 4 -dimensional nilpotent assosymmetric algebras, all 6-dimensional nilpotent binary Lie algebras [1], all 6-dimensional nilpotent Tortkara algebras [16], and in some other varieties were classified. There are fewer works in which the full information about degenerations was given for some variety of algebras. This problem was solved for 2-dimensional pre-Lie algebras, for 2-dimensional terminal algebras, for 3-dimensional Novikov algebras, for 3 -dimensional Jordan algebras, for 3 -dimensional Leibniz algebras, for 3 -dimensional anticommutative algebras, for 3-dimensional nilpotent algebras in [13], for 4-dimensional Lie algebras in [8], for 4-dimensional Zinbiel algebras, for 4-dimensional nilpotent Leibniz algebras, for 4-dimensional nilpotent commutative algebras in [13], for 5 -dimensional nilpotent Tortkara algebras in [17], for 5-dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebras in [13], for 6 -dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras in [18, 34], for 6dimensional nilpotent Malcev algebras in [28], for 2-step nilpotent 7-dimensional Lie algebras [5], and for all 2-dimensional algebras in [29].

## 1. The algebraic classification of dual mock-Lie algebras

1.1. The algebraic classification of [nilpotent] dual mock-Lie algebras. Let A and V be a dual mock-Lie algebra and a vector space and $Z_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ denote the space of skew-symmetric bilinear maps $\theta: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}$ satisfying $\theta(x y, z)=-\theta(x, y z)$. For $f \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$, we introduce $\delta f \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$
by the equality $\delta f(x, y)=f(x y)$ and define $\mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})=\{\delta f \mid f \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})\}$. One can easily check that $\mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ is a linear subspace of $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$. Let us define $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{O}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ as the quotient space $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V}) / \mathrm{B}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$. The equivalence class of $\theta \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ is denoted by $[\theta]$.

Suppose now that $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{A}=m<n$ and $\operatorname{dim} \mathbf{V}=n-m$. For any dual mock-Lie bilinear map $\theta$ : $\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}$, one can define on the space $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}:=\mathbf{A} \oplus \mathbf{V}$ the dual mock-Lie bilinear product $[-,-]_{\mathbf{A}_{\theta}}$ by the equality $\left[x+x^{\prime}, y+y^{\prime}\right]_{\mathbf{A}_{\theta}}=x y+\theta(x, y)$ for $x, y \in \mathbf{A}, x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbf{V}$. The algebra $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ is called an $(n-m)$-dimensional central extension of $\mathbf{A}$ by $\mathbf{V}$. It is also clear that $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ is nilpotent if and only if so is $\mathbf{A}$. The algebra $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ is dual mock-Lie if and only if $\mathbf{A}$ is dual mock-Lie and $\theta$ is dual mock-Lie.

For a dual mock-Lie bilinear form $\theta: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbf{V}$, the space $\theta^{\perp}=\{x \in \mathbf{A} \mid \theta(\mathbf{A}, x)=0\}$ is called the annihilator of $\theta$. For a dual mock-Lie algebra $\mathbf{A}$, the ideal $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})=\{x \in \mathbf{A} \mid \mathbf{A} x=0\}$ is called the annihilator of A. One has

$$
\operatorname{Ann}\left(\mathbf{A}_{\theta}\right)=\left(\theta^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})\right) \oplus \mathbf{V}
$$

Any $n$-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebra with non-trivial annihilator can be represented in the form $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ for some $m$-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebra $\mathbf{A}$, an $(n-m)$-dimensional vector space $\mathbf{V}$ and $\theta \in$ $\mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$, where $m<n$ (see [21, Lemma 5]). Moreover, there is a unique such representation with $m=$ $n-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})$. Note also that the last mentioned equality is equivalent to the condition $\theta^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})=0$.

Let us pick some $\phi \in \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$, where $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ is the automorphism group of $\mathbf{A}$. For $\theta \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{\mathcal { D }}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$, let us define $(\phi \theta)(x, y)=\theta(\phi(x), \phi(y))$. Then we get an action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ on $Z_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ that induces an action of the same group on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$.

Definition 1. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an algebra and $I$ be a subspace of $\operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})$. If $\mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A}_{0} \oplus I$ then $I$ is called an annihilator component of $\mathbf{A}$.

For a linear space $\mathbf{U}$, the Grassmannian $G_{s}(\mathbf{U})$ is the set of all $k$-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbf{U}$. For any $s \geq 1$, the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ on $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{C})$ induces an action of the same group on $G_{s}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{C})\right)$. Let us define

$$
\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})=\left\{\left.\mathbf{W} \in G_{s}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{C})\right)\right|_{[\theta] \in W} ^{\cap} \theta^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})=0\right\} .
$$

Note that $\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$ is stable under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$.
Let us fix a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{s}$ of $\mathbf{V}$, and $\theta \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$. Then there are unique $\theta_{i} \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{C})(1 \leq i \leq s)$ such that $\theta(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \theta_{i}(x, y) e_{i}$ for all $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$. Note that $\theta^{\perp}=\theta_{1}^{\perp} \cap \theta_{2}^{\perp} \cdots \cap \theta_{s}^{\perp}$ in this case. If $\theta^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})=0$, then by [21, Lemma 13] the algebra $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ has a nontrivial annihilator component if and only if $\left[\theta_{1}\right],\left[\theta_{2}\right], \ldots,\left[\theta_{s}\right]$ are linearly dependent in $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{O}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbb{C})$. Thus, if $\theta^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})=0$ and the annihilator component of $\mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ is trivial, then $\left\langle\left[\theta_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\theta_{s}\right]\right\rangle$ is an element of $\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$. Now, if $\vartheta \in \mathrm{Z}_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{2}(\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{V})$ is such that $\vartheta^{\perp} \cap \operatorname{Ann}(\mathbf{A})=0$ and the annihilator component of $\mathbf{A}_{\vartheta}$ is trivial, then by [21, Lemma 17] one has $\mathbf{A}_{\vartheta} \cong \mathbf{A}_{\theta}$ if and only if $\left\langle\left[\theta_{1}\right],\left[\theta_{2}\right], \ldots,\left[\theta_{s}\right]\right\rangle,\left\langle\left[\vartheta_{1}\right],\left[\vartheta_{2}\right], \ldots,\left[\vartheta_{s}\right]\right\rangle \in \mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$ belong to the same orbit under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$, where $\vartheta(x, y)=\sum_{i=1}^{s} \vartheta_{i}(x, y) e_{i}$.

Hence, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$-orbits on $\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$ and the set of isomorphism classes of central extensions of $\mathbf{A}$ by $\mathbf{V}$ with $s$-dimensional annihilator and trivial annihilator component. Consequently to construct all $n$-dimensional central extensions with $s$-dimensional annihilator and trivial annihilator component of a given $(n-s)$-dimensional algebra $\mathbf{A}$ one has to describe $\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$, $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ and the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ on $\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$ and then for each orbit under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbf{A})$ on $\mathbf{T}_{s}(\mathbf{A})$ pick a representative and construct the algebra corresponding to it.

We will use the following auxiliary notation during the construction of central extensions. Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an dual mock-Lie algebra with the basis $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{n} . \Delta_{i j}: \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{A} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ denotes the dual mock-Lie bilinear form defined by the equalities $\Delta_{i j}\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right)=-\Delta_{i j}\left(e_{j}, e_{i}\right)=1$ and $\Delta_{i j}\left(e_{l}, e_{m}\right)=0$ for $\{l, m\} \neq\{i, j\}$. In this case $\Delta_{i j}$ with $1 \leq i<j \leq n$ form a basis of the space of dual mock-Lie bilinear forms on $\mathbf{A}$. We also denote by
1.2. The algebraic classification of low dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. Thanks to [27], we have the classification of all 6-dimensional nilpotent anticommutative algebras and choosing only dual mock-Lie algebras from the list of algebras presented in [27] we have the classification of all low dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. By the straightforward verification, it follows that only $\mathbb{M}_{01}, \mathbb{M}_{03}, \mathbb{M}_{04}, \mathbb{M}_{23}, \mathbb{M}_{24}$, and $\mathbb{M}_{26}$ satisfy antiassociativity low. We thus have the following table.

| $\mathfrak{D}_{01}^{6}$ | $:$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{02}^{6}$ | $:$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5} \quad e_{3} e_{4}=e_{5}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{03}^{6}$ | $:$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{04}^{6}$ | $:$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{1} e_{3}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{6}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{05}^{6}$ | $:$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{6}$ | $:$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{6}$ |$\quad e_{3} e_{2}=e_{4}=e_{4} \quad e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5} \quad e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$

1.3. The algebraic classification of 7 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. Thanks to [5] we have the classification of all indecomposible 7-dimensional 2 -step nilpotent dual mock-Lie algebras.

| $\mathfrak{D}_{07}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{5} e_{6}=e_{7}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{08}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{5}=e_{7}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{09}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{6}$ | $=$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{10}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{7}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{11}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{12}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{13}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=$ | $e_{2} e_{4}$ |  |

The key tool in the classification of dual mock-Lie algebras will be the following obvious Lemma.
Lemma 2. If the i-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{j}^{i}$ does not have nontrivial dual mock-Lie central extension, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the $(i+k)$-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{j}^{i+k}$ does not have nontrivial dual mock-Lie central extensions.

Hence, for find non-2-step nilpotent 7 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras we need to calculate all nonsplit 2-dimensional central extensions of all 5 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras and all non-split 1dimensional central extensions of all 6 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. By some easy calculation, we have the cohomology spaces of these algebras.

| $\mathfrak{D}^{5}$ | Multiplication table | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{5}\right)$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{01}^{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{3}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{45}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{02}^{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{5}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{03}^{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5}$ |  |
| $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}^{6}$ | Multiplication table | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{23}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{04}^{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{6}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{05}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{6}\right)$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{6}$ |  |
| $e_{1} e_{3} e_{4}=e_{5}$ | $\left.\left\langle\left[\Delta_{12}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right]\right\rangle\right\rangle$ | $\left.\left\langle\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |

Analizing the cohomology spaces of these algebras, we should conclude that only the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{6}$ has a non-split dual mock-Lie central extension. Now, we have a new 7 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebra

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{14}^{7}: e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4} \quad e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5} \quad e_{1} e_{6}=e_{7} \quad e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6} \quad e_{2} e_{5}=-e_{7} \quad e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}
$$

1.4. The algebraic classification of 8 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. It is easy to see that the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{14}^{7}$ has no non-trivial dual-mock-Lie central extentions. Hence, we will consider the cohomology space only for the following algebras.

| $\mathfrak{D}^{7}$ | Multiplication table |  |  |  | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{7}\right)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ |  | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{16}\right]-\left[\Delta_{25}\right]+\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{17}\right],\left[\Delta_{27}\right],\left[\Delta_{37}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}^{7} 7$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{5} e_{6}=e_{7}$ |  | $\left\langle\begin{array}{l}{\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right]} \\ {\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right]}\end{array}\right.$ | ], $\left.\Delta_{15}\right]$ | , $\left[\Delta_{16}\right]$ | $\left[\Delta_{23}\right.$ | , $\left[\Delta_{24}\right.$ |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{08}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{5}=e_{7}$ |  | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right]\right.$, | $\left[\Delta_{15}\right]$, | [ $\Delta_{23}$ ], | [ $\Delta_{24}$ ], | $\left.\Delta_{25}\right]$ | [ $\Delta_{34}$ ] | $\left.\left[\Delta_{45}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}^{7}{ }^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right]\right.$, | [ $\Delta_{24}$ ] | [ $\Delta_{25}$ ] | $\Delta_{35}$ ], | $\left.\Delta_{45}\right]$ |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{10}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{7}$ |  | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right]\right.$, | $\left.\left[\Delta_{34}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{11}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ |  | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right]\right.$, | $\left.\left[\Delta_{24}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{12}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{5}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{13}^{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{5}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right]\right\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

From here, only the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}$ maybe have a non-trivial dual mock-Lie central extension. We will find it. The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}\right)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$
\varphi=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & e & f & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
g & h & k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
l & m & n & a e-d b & a f-d c & b f-e c & p \\
q & r & s & a h-g b & a k-g c & b k-h c & i \\
j & t & u & d h-g e & d k-g f & e k-h f & v \\
w & x & y & 0 & 0 & 0 & z
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let us the notations

$$
\nabla_{1}:=\left[\Delta_{16}\right]-\left[\Delta_{25}\right]+\left[\Delta_{34}\right], \quad \nabla_{2}:=\left[\Delta_{17}\right], \quad \nabla_{3}:=\left[\Delta_{27}\right], \quad \nabla_{4}:=\left[\Delta_{37}\right] .
$$

Take $\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i} \nabla_{i} \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi^{T}\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_{1} & 0 & \alpha_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{4} \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{2} & -\alpha_{3} & -\alpha_{4} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \varphi=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \beta_{1}^{*} & \beta_{2}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1}^{*} & \alpha_{2}^{*} \\
-\beta_{1}^{*} & 0 & \beta_{3}^{*} & 0 & -\alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & \alpha_{3}^{*} \\
-\beta_{2}^{*} & -\beta_{3}^{*} & 0 & \alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{4}^{*} \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{2}^{*} & -\alpha_{3}^{*} & -\alpha_{4}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \\
& \alpha_{1}^{*}=-(c e g-b f g-c d h+a f h+b d k-a e k) \alpha_{1}, \\
& \alpha_{2}^{*}=(-d i+g p+a v) \alpha_{1}+a z \alpha_{2}+d z \alpha_{3}+g z \alpha_{4}, \\
& \alpha_{3}^{*}=(-e i+h p+b v) \alpha_{1}+b z \alpha_{2}+e z \alpha_{3}+h z \alpha_{4}, \\
& \alpha_{4}^{*}=(-f i+k p+c v) \alpha_{1}+c z \alpha_{2}+f z \alpha_{3}+k z \alpha_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\phi\langle\theta\rangle=\left\langle\theta^{*}\right\rangle$, where $\theta^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{4} \alpha_{i}^{*} \nabla_{i}$. We are interesting in elements with $\alpha_{1} \neq 0$ and $\left(\alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \alpha_{4}\right) \neq(0,0,0)$. Without loss of generality, we can suppose that $\alpha_{4} \neq 0$. So, by choosing the following non-zero elements $d=h=a=e=k=1$ and

$$
v=1-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{4}}, i=1+\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{4}}, z=\frac{\alpha_{1}}{\alpha_{4}}, c=-1+\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}
$$

we get the representative $\left\langle\nabla_{1}+\nabla_{4}\right\rangle$. Now we have the new 8 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{36}^{8}$ constructed from $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{36}^{8} \quad: \quad e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4} \quad e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5} \quad e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6} \quad e_{1} e_{6}=e_{8} \quad e_{2} e_{5}=-e_{8} \quad e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8} \quad e_{3} e_{7}=e_{8}
$$

Thanks to [4] we have the list of all 8-dimensional 2 -step nilpotent indecomposible Lie algebras:

| $\mathfrak{D}_{15}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4}$ | $e_{3} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{6} e_{7}=e_{8}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{16}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{5}$ | $e_{6} e_{7}=e_{8}$ |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{17}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{5} e_{6}=e_{7}$ |  |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{18}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{8}$ | $e_{4} e_{6}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{19}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{5} e_{6}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{20}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{5} e_{6}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{21}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{5} e_{6}=e_{7}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{8}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{8}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{22}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{23}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{24}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{25}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{5} e_{1}=e_{8}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{26}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{2} e_{5}=e_{7}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{27}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{8}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{7}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{28}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{5}=e_{8}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{6}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{29}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{2} e_{5}=e_{7}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{30}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{2} e_{5}=e_{7}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{31}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{32}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8}$ | $e_{4} e_{5}=e_{7}$ | $e_{5} e_{1}=e_{7}$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{33}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{3} e_{4}=e_{7}$ | $e_{4} e_{1}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{34}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{8}$ |  |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{35}^{8}$ | $e_{1} e_{2}=e_{5}$ | $e_{1} e_{3}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{4}=e_{6}$ | $e_{2} e_{3}=e_{7}$ | $e_{1} e_{4}=e_{8}$ |

1.5. The algebraic classification of 9 -dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. The description of 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebras is not finished now. There is only some particular classification of these algebras [33]. Here, we give the classification of all complex 9-dimensional non-Lie dual mock-Lie algebras. Analyzing the dimension of cohomology spaces of $i$-dimensional 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebras ( $i=3,4,5,6,7$ ), we conclude that only $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}$ maybe give some non-trivial $(9-i)$-dimensional dual mock-Lie cenrtal extensions. Hence, we will calculate 2-dimensional dual mock-Lie central extensions of $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}$ and 1-dimensional dual mock-Lie extensions of 8-dimensional 2 -step nilpotent Lie algebras.
1.5.1. 2-dimensional dual mock-Lie central extensions of 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. Here we are considering 2 -dimensional dual mock-Lie central extensions of $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{7}$. Consider the vector space generated by the following two cocycles

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{1}=\alpha_{1} \nabla_{1}+\alpha_{2} \nabla_{2}+\alpha_{3} \nabla_{3}+\alpha_{4} \nabla_{4} \\
& \theta_{2}=\beta_{2} \nabla_{2}+\beta_{3} \nabla_{3}+\beta_{4} \nabla_{4} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see, that we can suppose that $\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} \neq 0$. Then by choosing the following nonzero elements

$$
a=-\frac{\beta_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}, b=-\frac{\beta_{4}}{\beta_{2}}, c=\frac{1}{\beta_{2}}, d=\frac{\beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}, h=1, i=\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}, p=-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{1}}, v=-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}, z=1,
$$

we have the representative $\left\langle\nabla_{1}, \nabla_{4}\right\rangle$ which gives the following 9-dimensional algebra:

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{37}^{9} \quad: \quad e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4} \quad e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5} \quad e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6} \quad e_{1} e_{6}=e_{8} \quad e_{2} e_{5}=-e_{8} \quad e_{3} e_{4}=e_{8} \quad e_{3} e_{7}=-e_{9}
$$

1.5.2. 1-dimensional dual mock-Lie central extensions of 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. By Lemma 2 and [4, Theorem 3.8, 3.9], we have the following dual mock-Lie algebras have nontrivial dual mock-Lie extensions.

| $\mathfrak{D}^{8}$ | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}^{8}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{16}\right]-\left[\Delta_{25}\right]+\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{17}\right],\left[\Delta_{18}\right],\left[\Delta_{27}\right],\left[\Delta_{28}\right],\left[\Delta_{37}\right],\left[\Delta_{38}\right],\left[\Delta_{78}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{15}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{17}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{27}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{37}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{16}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{17}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{27}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{37}\right],\left[\Delta_{46}\right],\left[\Delta_{47}\right]\right\rangle$ |


| $\mathfrak{D}_{17}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{45}\right],\left[\Delta_{46}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{18}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{56}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{19}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{46}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{20}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{46}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{21}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{16}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{26}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{36}\right],\left[\Delta_{46}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{22}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{23}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{24}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{25}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{26}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{45}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{27}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{28}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{23}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{45}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{29}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{45}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{30}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{31}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{32}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{14}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{33}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{13}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{34}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{15}\right],\left[\Delta_{24}\right],\left[\Delta_{25}\right],\left[\Delta_{34}\right],\left[\Delta_{35}\right],\left[\Delta_{45}\right]\right\rangle$ |
| $\mathfrak{D}_{35}^{8}$ | $\left\langle\left[\Delta_{34}\right]\right\rangle$ |

From here, only the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{8}$ maybe have a non-trivial dual mock-Lie central extension. We will find it. The automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{8}\right)$ consists of invertible matrices of the form

$$
\varphi=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
a & b & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
d & e & f & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
g & h & k & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
l & m & n & a e-d b & a f-d c & b f-e c & p_{1} & p_{2} \\
q & r & s & a h-g b & a k-g c & b k-h c & i_{1} & i_{2} \\
j & t & u & d h-g e & d k-g f & e k-h f & v_{1} & v_{2} \\
w_{1} & x_{1} & y_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_{1} & z_{2} \\
w_{2} & x_{2} & y_{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & z_{3} & z_{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let us use the notations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{1}:=\left[\Delta_{16}\right]-\left[\Delta_{25}\right]+\left[\Delta_{34}\right], \nabla_{2}:=\left[\Delta_{17}\right], \nabla_{3}:=\left[\Delta_{18}\right], \\
\nabla_{4}:=\left[\Delta_{27}\right], \nabla_{5}:=\left[\Delta_{28}\right], \nabla_{6}:=\left[\Delta_{37}\right], \nabla_{7}:=\left[\Delta_{38}\right], \nabla_{8}:=\left[\Delta_{78}\right] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Take $\theta=\sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_{i} \nabla_{i} \in \mathrm{H}_{\mathfrak{D}}^{2}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{8}, \mathbb{C}\right)$. If $\varphi \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathfrak{D}_{06}^{8}\right)$, then

$$
\varphi^{T}\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} & \alpha_{3} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_{1} & 0 & \alpha_{4} & \alpha_{5} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{6} & \alpha_{7} \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{2} & -\alpha_{4} & -\alpha_{6} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{8} \\
-\alpha_{3} & -\alpha_{5} & -\alpha_{7} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_{8} & 0
\end{array}\right) \varphi=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \beta_{1}^{*} & \beta_{2}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{1}^{*} & \alpha_{2}^{*} & \alpha_{3}^{*} \\
-\beta_{1}^{*} & 0 & \beta_{3}^{*} & 0 & -\alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & \alpha_{4}^{*} & \alpha_{5}^{*} \\
-\beta_{2}^{*} & -\beta_{3}^{*} & 0 & \alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{6}^{*} & \alpha_{7} * \\
0 & 0 & -\alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{1}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-\alpha_{2}^{*} & -\alpha_{4}^{*} & -\alpha_{6}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \alpha_{8}^{*} \\
-\alpha_{3}^{*} & -\alpha_{5}^{*} & -\alpha_{7}^{*} & 0 & 0 & 0 & -\alpha_{8}^{*} & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{1}^{*}=-(c e g-b f g-c d h+a f h+b d k-a e k) \alpha_{1}, \\
& \alpha_{2}^{*}=\left(-d i_{1}+g p_{1}+a v_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(a \alpha_{2}+d \alpha_{4}+g \alpha_{6}-w_{2} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{1}+\left(a \alpha_{3}+d \alpha_{5}+g \alpha_{7}+w_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{3}, \\
& \alpha_{3}^{*}=\left(-d i_{2}+g p_{2}+a v_{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(a \alpha_{2}+d \alpha_{4}+g \alpha_{6}-w_{2} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{2}+\left(a \alpha_{3}+d \alpha_{5}+g \alpha_{7}+w_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{4} \\
& \alpha_{4}^{*}=\left(-e i_{1}+h p_{1}+b v_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(b \alpha_{2}+e \alpha_{4}+h \alpha_{6}-x_{2} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{1}+\left(b \alpha_{3}+e \alpha_{5}+h \alpha_{7}+x_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{3}, \\
& \alpha_{5}^{*}=\left(e i_{2}+h p_{2}+b v_{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(b \alpha_{2}+e \alpha_{4}+h \alpha_{6}-x_{2} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{2}+\left(b \alpha_{3}+e \alpha_{5}+h \alpha_{7}+x_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{4}, \\
& \alpha_{6}^{*}=\left(-f i_{1}+k p_{1}+c v_{1}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(c \alpha_{2}+f \alpha_{4}+k \alpha_{6}-y_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{1}+\left(c \alpha_{3}+f \alpha_{5}+k \alpha_{7}+y_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{3}, \\
& \alpha_{7}^{*}=\left(-f i_{2}+k p_{2}+c v_{2}\right) \alpha_{1}+\left(c \alpha_{2}+f \alpha_{4}+k \alpha_{6}-y_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{2}+\left(c \alpha_{3}+f \alpha_{5}+k \alpha_{7}+y_{1} \alpha_{8}\right) z_{4}, \\
& \alpha_{8}^{*}=\left(-z_{2} z_{3}+z_{1} z_{4}\right) \alpha_{8} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\phi\langle\theta\rangle=\left\langle\theta^{*}\right\rangle$, where $\theta^{*}=\sum_{i=1}^{8} \alpha_{i}^{*} \nabla_{i}$. Here, we have the following situations:
(1) $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{8} \neq 0$, then by choosing the following nonzero elements

$$
\begin{gathered}
c=1, e=1, h=1, k=1, g=-\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}, z_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha_{8}}, z_{2}=2, z_{4}=1, \\
y_{1}=\frac{-\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{8}}, x_{2}=\frac{-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{3}+\alpha_{4}+\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{8}}, p_{1}=-\frac{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}+\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{8}}, \\
p_{2}=-\frac{2 \alpha_{2}+2 \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}+2 \alpha_{6}+\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{1}}, w_{1}=-\frac{\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{8}}, w_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{8}},
\end{gathered}
$$

we have the representative $\left\langle\nabla_{1}+\nabla_{8}\right\rangle$. Now we have the new 9-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebra:

$$
\mathfrak{D}_{38}^{9}: e_{1} e_{2}=e_{4}, e_{1} e_{3}=e_{5}, e_{2} e_{3}=e_{6}, e_{1} e_{6}=e_{9}, e_{2} e_{5}=-e_{9}, e_{3} e_{4}=e_{9}, e_{7} e_{8}=e_{9}
$$

(2) $\alpha_{1} \neq 0, \alpha_{8}=0$, then by choosing the following nonzero elements

$$
a=\frac{1}{\alpha_{1}}, e=1, k=1, v_{1}=-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}}, v_{2}=-\frac{\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{1}}, p_{1}=-\frac{\alpha_{6}}{\alpha_{1}}, p_{2}=-\frac{\alpha_{7}}{\alpha_{1}}, i_{1}=\frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{1}}, i_{2}=\frac{\alpha_{5}}{\alpha_{1}},
$$

we have the representative $\left\langle\nabla_{1}\right\rangle$ and it is a split algebra.
(3) if $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{8}=0$, then we can suppose that $\alpha_{7} \neq 0$ and by choosing the following nonzero elements

$$
a=1, e=1, k=1, f=1, z_{1}=1, z_{4}=1, g=-\frac{\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{6}}, h=-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{6}}, k=-\frac{\alpha_{4}}{\alpha_{6}},
$$

then we have a representative from $\left\langle\nabla_{2}, \nabla_{4}, \nabla_{6}\right\rangle$, which gives a split algebra.
Summarizing, we have the following theorem
Theorem 3. Let $\mathfrak{D}$ be a complex 9-dimensional indecomposible non-Lie dual mock-Lie algebra, then $\mathfrak{D}$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{D}_{37}^{9}$ or $\mathfrak{D}_{38}^{9}$.

## 2. Degenerations of dual mock-Lie algebras

2.1. Degenerations of algebras. Given an $n$-dimensional vector space $\mathbf{V}$, the set $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V}) \cong$ $\mathbf{V}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{V}^{*} \otimes \mathbf{V}$ is a vector space of dimension $n^{3}$. This space has a structure of the affine variety $\mathbb{C}^{n^{3}}$. Indeed, let us fix a basis $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ of $\mathbf{V}$. Then any $\mu \in \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$ is determined by $n^{3}$ structure constants $c_{i, j}^{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\mu\left(e_{i} \otimes e_{j}\right)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{i, j}^{k} e_{k}$. A subset of $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$ is Zariski-closed if it can be defined by a set of polynomial equations in the variables $c_{i, j}^{k}(1 \leq i, j, k \leq n)$.

Let $T$ be a set of polynomial identities. All algebra structures on $\mathbf{V}$ satisfying polynomial identities from $T$ form a Zariski-closed subset of the variety $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$. We denote this subset by $\mathbb{L}(T)$. The general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{V})$ acts on $\mathbb{L}(T)$ by conjugation:

$$
(g * \mu)(x \otimes y)=g \mu\left(g^{-1} x \otimes g^{-1} y\right)
$$

for $x, y \in \mathbf{V}, \mu \in \mathbb{L}(T) \subset \operatorname{Hom}(\mathbf{V} \otimes \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{V})$ and $g \in \operatorname{GL}(\mathbf{V})$. Thus, $\mathbb{L}(T)$ is decomposed into $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{V})$-orbits that correspond to the isomorphism classes of algebras. Let $O(\mu)$ denote the $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{V})$-orbit of $\mu \in \mathbb{L}(T)$ and $\overline{O(\mu)}$ its Zariski closure.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be two $n$-dimensional algebras satisfying identities from $T$ and $\mu, \lambda \in \mathbb{L}(T)$ represent $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ respectively. We say that $\mathbf{A}$ degenerates to $\mathbf{B}$ and write $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ if $\lambda \in \overline{O(\mu)}$. Note that in this case we have $\overline{O(\lambda)} \subset \overline{O(\mu)}$. Hence, the definition of a degeneration does not depend on the choice of $\mu$ and $\lambda$. If $\mathbf{A} \not \neq \mathbf{B}$, then the assertion $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is called a proper degeneration. We write $\mathbf{A} \nrightarrow \mathbf{B}$ if $\lambda \notin \overline{O(\mu)}$.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be represented by $\mu \in \mathbb{L}(T)$. Then $\mathbf{A}$ is rigid in $\mathbb{L}(T)$ if $O(\mu)$ is an open subset of $\mathbb{L}(T)$. Recall that a subset of a variety is called irreducible if it cannot be represented as a union of two non-trivial closed subsets. A maximal irreducible closed subset of a variety is called an irreducible component. It is well known that any affine variety can be represented as a finite union of its irreducible components in a unique way. The algebra $\mathbf{A}$ is rigid in $\mathbb{L}(T)$ if and only if $\overline{O(\mu)}$ is an irreducible component of $\mathbb{L}(T)$.

In the present work we use the methods applied to Lie algebras in [8, 18, 19, 34]. First of all, if $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ and $\mathbf{A} \not \approx \mathbf{B}$, then $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{D e r}(\mathbf{A})<\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{D e r}(\mathbf{B})$, where $\mathfrak{D e r}(\mathbf{A})$ is the Lie algebra of derivations of $\mathbf{A}$. We will compute the dimensions of algebras of derivations and will check the assertion $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ only for such $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{D e r}(\mathbf{A})<\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{D e r}(\mathbf{B})$. Secondly, if $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ then $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. If there is no $\mathbf{C}$ such that $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ are proper degenerations, then the assertion $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$ is called a primary degeneration. It is easy to see that any algebra degenerates to the algebra with zero multiplication. From now on we use this fact without mentioning it.

To prove primary degenerations, we will construct families of matrices parametrized by $t$. Namely, let $\mathbf{A}$ and $\mathbf{B}$ be two algebras represented by the structures $\mu$ and $\lambda$ from $\mathbb{L}(T)$ respectively. Let $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$ be a basis of $\mathbf{V}$ and $c_{i, j}^{k}(1 \leq i, j, k \leq n)$ be the structure constants of $\lambda$ in this basis. If there exist $a_{i}^{j}(t) \in \mathbb{C}$ $\left(1 \leq i, j \leq n, t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}\right)$ such that $E_{i}^{t}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{i}^{j}(t) e_{j}(1 \leq i \leq n)$ form a basis of $\mathbf{V}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{C}^{*}$, and the structure constants $c_{i, j}^{k}(t)$ of $\mu$ in the basis $E_{1}^{t}, \ldots, E_{n}^{t}$ satisfy $\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} c_{i, j}^{k}(t)=c_{i, j}^{k}$, then $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$. In this case $E_{1}^{t}, \ldots, E_{n}^{t}$ is called a parametric basis for $\mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}$.

If the number of orbits under the action of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbf{V})$ on $\mathbb{L}(T)$ is finite, then the graph of primary degenerations gives the whole picture. In particular, the description of rigid algebras and irreducible components can be easily obtained.

### 2.2. The geometric classification of dual mock-Lie algebras.

### 2.2.1. Degenerations of 7-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras.

Theorem 4. The variety of complex 7-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras has three irreducible component defined by rigid algebras $\mathfrak{D}_{09}^{7}, \mathfrak{D}_{13}^{7}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{14}^{7}$. The complete graph of degenerations in the given variety presented below


Proof. Thanks to [5] we have all degenerations in the variety of all 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. By some easy calculation, we have that the dimension of the algebra of derivation of the algebra $\mathfrak{D}$ is 21 . Hence, it can not degenerates to $\mathfrak{D}_{08}^{7}, \mathfrak{D}_{09}^{7}, \mathfrak{D}_{11}^{7}, \mathfrak{D}_{13}^{7}$.

The degeneration $\mathfrak{D}_{14}^{7} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{12}^{7}$ is obtained by the following parametric basis

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E_{1}^{t}=t e_{4} & E_{2}^{t}=t^{2} e_{2}-e_{3} & E_{3}^{t}=t e_{3}+t e_{5}+t^{3} e_{6} \\
E_{4}^{t}=e_{1}+e_{2}+t^{2} e_{4}-e_{5} & E_{5}^{t}=t e_{7} & E_{6}^{t}=t^{3} e_{6} \quad E_{7}^{t}=e_{5}+e_{6} .
\end{array}
$$

The degeneration $\mathfrak{D}_{14}^{7} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{07}^{7}$ is obtained by the following parametric basis

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
E_{1}^{t}=t e_{1} & E_{2}^{t}=e_{6} & E_{3}^{t}=e_{2}
\end{array} \quad E_{4}^{t}=-t e_{5}
$$

Remark 5. Note that, the graph of primary degenerations of 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras from [5] is not correct. We gave the corrected graph of degenerations of 7-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras from [5].
2.2.2. The geometric classification of 8-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras. Thanks to [4] we have that the variety of 8 -dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras has three rigid algebras: $\mathfrak{D}_{17}^{8}, \mathfrak{D}_{30}^{8}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{33}^{8}$. It is easy to see, that the algebra $\mathfrak{D}_{36}^{8}$ is satisfying the following invariant conditions $A_{4} A_{5}=0$ and $A_{1} A_{4} \subseteq A_{8}$, but the cited algebras are not satisfy it. It is follow that there are no degenerations $\mathfrak{D}_{36}^{8} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{17}^{8}, \mathfrak{D}_{30}^{8}, \mathfrak{D}_{33}^{8}$.

The degeneration $\mathfrak{D}_{36}^{8} \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_{14}^{8}$ is obtained by the following parametric basis

$$
E_{1}^{t}=e_{1} \quad E_{2}^{t}=e_{2} \quad E_{3}^{t}=e_{3} \quad E_{4}^{t}=e_{4} \quad E_{5}^{t}=e_{5} \quad E_{6}^{t}=e_{6} \quad E_{7}^{t}=e_{8} \quad E_{8}^{t}=t e_{7}
$$

Hence, we have the following theorem
Theorem 6. The variety of complex 8-dimensional dual mock-Lie algebras has four irreducible component defined by rigid algebras $\mathfrak{D}_{17}^{8}, \mathfrak{D}_{30}^{8}, \mathfrak{D}_{33}^{8}$ and $\mathfrak{D}_{36}^{8}$.
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