Efficient Design of Fault Detection Architectures for Power Networks by using Game Theory
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Abstract: In this paper, a ranking of the best locations to install remote control transformation centers (RCTCs) in distribution power lines is obtained by using the Shapley value of a cooperative game. The characteristic function of the game is based on both supply quality and economic indicators, which are relevant aspects regarding the operation and viability of electricity corporations. An academic example based on real data taken from a Spanish electricity company is considered to illustrate the feasibility of the proposed approach.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Electricity is produced in power generation plants and then transported by transmission networks at high voltages to minimize energy losses. This power is then reduced to a lower voltage in electrical substations, so it can be used in homes and industry, being supplied to the final consumer by distribution power networks (Grainger et al., 2016; Gómez-Expósito et al., 2018). Due to its high impact on the cost of electricity and its direct correlation with customer satisfaction, the reliability of the distribution networks is a relevant topic in the electric power industry (Brown, 2009). While transmission networks are fully interconnected for the sake of reliability, providing redundant paths for the power to flow, distribution networks are operated in a radial fashion so that a fault only affects its own supply. In particular, when there is a fault in the electrical equipment, the recloser located at the beginning of the corresponding line isolates the electrical facility, allowing the rest of the distribution network to continue working normally as it is shown in Fig. 1.

In order to measure the power supply continuity and quality, it is possible to find several supply quality indicators in the literature, mainly based on the number/duration of the interruptions. Typical examples utilized worldwide are the System Average Interruption Duration Index (SAIDI) and the Average Service Availability Index (ASAI) (IEEE, 2012). In this work, we will use the TIEPI (Spanish Ministry of Economy, 2000), which is the Spanish acronym for Interruption Time Equivalent to the Installed Capacity (ITEIC), a well-known legal indicator of quality of service used in Spain for distribution power lines. In particular, Spanish law defines ITEIC as a measurement of the time of supply interruptions in terms of power interrupted. To this end, it normalizes the product of the power affected and the periods of supply disruption by the available power of the distribution network.

Fault detection in power distribution networks is a sequential process, i.e., the power line affected by the fault is sectorized, and each section is sequentially energized to locate the fault, which will be isolated to be finally repaired. Many different fault detection methods have been proposed, e.g., based on: signal processing (Othman and Amari, 2008), electrical methods (Saha et al., 2010), distributed control systems (Shames et al., 2011; Molzahn et al., 2017), artificial neural networks (Baqui et al., 2011; Jamil et al., 2015), and hypotheses testing (Dobakhshari and Ranjar, 2015). Also, a good survey of feature extraction methods can be found in (Chen et al., 2016). Regardless of the method implemented, different switchgears take part to control, protect, and isolate the electrical equipment during the fault location/repair in a power line.

![Fig. 1. Distribution networks in a radial fashion](image-url)
In this work, we will focus on Transformation Centers (TCs), which can be classified as either Local Transformation Centers (LTCs) (with human maintenance teams) or Remote Control Transformation Centers (RCTCs) (remote management and supervision capabilities). The fault finding method with human maintenance teams is time inefficient, and causes an ITEIC increase. Nevertheless, the installation and maintenance of a high number of RCTCs is not cost efficient. This way, it is very important from an economic viewpoint to achieve a tradeoff between LTCs and RCTCs. Note that electricity corporations use in practice a sequential process to locate a fault.

The main contribution of this work is to introduce a planning method to find the best topology of RCTCs in distribution power lines. To this end, a refinement of the ITEIC supply quality indicator, with the aim of considering not only quality of service but also installation and operating costs, is proposed to define the characteristic function of a cooperative game. The Shapley value of this game (Shapley, 1953), which is the most well-known one point solution concept in cooperative game theory, will be used to measure the efficiency of RCTCs to be installed. The Shapley value has been applied in many different fields such as: biology (Lucchetti et al., 2010), finance applications (Tarashev et al., 2016), and system partitioning (Muros et al., 2018). Focusing on ranking purposes, the Shapley value has also been used in (Papapetrou et al., 2011), to rank individuals who collaborate in teams; (Kon- dratev and Mazalov, 2017), to elect candidates for a certain position based on ballots filled by voters; (Narayananam and Narahari, 2011), to discover a small set of influential players in a given social network; and (Zhang et al., 2014), to allocate carbon emission quotas among regions.

The remaining of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the problem formulation is introduced. In Section 3, a novel cost index based on the ITEIC index to measure the best topology of RCTCs from both the viewpoint of customer satisfaction and the company budget is proposed. The game theory perspective is presented in Section 4, where the Shapley value is considered to rank the RCTCs in terms of the newly proposed index. In Section 5, an example based on real data taken from a Spanish electricity company is used to test the method. Finally, conclusions and future lines of work are provided in Section 6.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT

Consider a distribution power line, which is composed of a set of switchgear, e.g., reclosers, disconnectors, break switches, transformation centers (TCs), knife switches, etc. These elements can be manipulated either remotely or manually to locate, isolate, and repair a power fault. The goal here is to choose the best elements in this set to be remotely controlled with the aim of improving the quality of service at a reasonable cost. For simplicity, energy costs, maintenance costs, and the consumer prices index (CPI), are assumed to remain constant in this work.

For the sake of simplicity, we will assume that only a subset of the switchgear can be manipulated in the power fault location. We will work with a set of TCs, denoted by $\mathcal{N} = \{1, 2, \ldots, N\}$, which will be located in strategic positions in the power line. The power capacity in the rest of the line is assumed to be uniformly distributed in every section. Notice that the elements inside set $\mathcal{N}$ can be either LTCs or RCTCs, being the subset of RCTCs symbolized by $\mathcal{S}$, with $\mathcal{S} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$. In this context, it can be seen that there are $2^N$ different configurations or topologies for set $\mathcal{S}$, depending on the elements of $\mathcal{N}$ included, and denoted as $\mathcal{S}_0, \mathcal{S}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{S}_{2^N-1}$. For instance, with $N = 2$, there are four different topologies, i.e., $\mathcal{S}_0 = \emptyset$, $\mathcal{S}_1 = \{1\}$, $\mathcal{S}_2 = \{2\}$ and $\mathcal{S}_3 = \mathcal{N} = \{1, 2\}$.

Likewise, in this work it is also assumed that the distribution lines are not able to be energized in case of energy lack or fault by any other power line. Also, we consider here that if there is at least one RCTC, it will be the first position to be maneuvered. Finally, notice that the actuation time, that is, the time spent to locate the fault, will depend on topology $\mathcal{S}$, which is intimately related to the presence of RCTCs and LTCs and therefore, the time it takes to maneuver on those devices. Hence, the actuation time will be influenced by the time spent in remote (manual) maneuvers – denoted by $t_{REM}(t_{MAN})$ – and also by the time used by the maintenance team to move from the industrial unit to the fault area, which is included in the first manual maneuver – $t_{MAN}$, as shown in Fig. 2.

2.1 Supply quality indicators in distribution networks

Efficiency in power networks is directly related to the concept of quality of supply, which implies to distribute the electricity in the best conditions of voltage and frequency, while minimizing the number and duration of electric interruptions, which are directly perceived by customers. Focusing on the Spanish case, there are more restrictions to dealing with the duration rather than with the number of interruptions. In particular, the power interruption time is measured in Spain for distribution networks by means of the supply quality indicator named ITEIC$^1$, defined legally as (Spanish Ministry of Economy, 2000)

$$\text{ITEIC} = \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{I} (P_j \cdot H_j)}{P},$$

$^1$ This index is called TIEPI (Tiempo de Interrupción Equivalente a la Potencia Instalada) in Spanish.
where $P$ is the total power capacity in a distribution power line in kVA, $P_i$ is the power capacity affected by power interruption $j$ in kVA, $H$ is the duration of power interruption $j$ in hours, and $f$ is the number of interruptions in the distribution power line.

3. EFFICIENCY AND ECONOMY INDEX

The ITEIC index is a legal index that only assesses the quality of supply from the viewpoint of customers and the government agencies. Nevertheless, it is not as useful for the company because it is only based on the total power capacity, i.e., no other information regarding the power line is considered. While the goal of any electricity company because it is only based on the total power capacity and also on the time to reach the fault area, as commented in Section 2.

4. GAME THEORY PERSPECTIVE

Index $J(S)$ assesses a specific topology $S$ in a given power line by means of its quality of service and economic efficiency. In this section, we use game theory tools in the line of previous works (Maestre et al., 2014; Muros et al., 2017) to find out which TCs are more relevant/dispensable regarding $J(S)$. In this context, let us consider pair $(N, J)$ to define a cooperative game with transferable utility, with $J = [J(S_0), J(S_1), \ldots, J(S_{2^N-1})]^T$, and where $N$ is the set of TCs inside the power line under study, and $J(S)$ corresponds to the characteristic function of the game, which assigns a value to each topology $S \subseteq N$.

Once the game is defined, it is possible to use a payoff rule to find a vector that specifies the benefit or cost that each player – TC – can reasonably expect from the game. In this context, it corresponds to the average value that the improvement of a certain location provides. In general, the best locations to become RCTCs will be associated with lower values in the payoff rule. In this work, we will use the Shapley value (Shapley, 1953), which assigns to $(N, J)$ payoff vector $\phi(N, J)$, defined for all $i \in N$ as

$$
\phi_i(N, J) = \sum_{s \subseteq N, i \notin s} \frac{|s|! (|N| - |s| - 1)!}{|N|!} [J(N \cup \{i\}) - J(S)],
$$

that is, the marginal contribution of each player in $N$ is averaged for all the possible permutations it can be part of. The Shapley value is characterized by the properties of Linearity, Efficiency, Dummy player, and Symmetric players (Shapley, 1953).

4.1 Probability distributions

As commented before, the Shapley value of each TC assumes that all parameters involved in $J(S)$ are fixed, so that the characteristic function only depends on the topology. These results can be generalized if parameters can vary. For example, let us consider that the average rate of faults $t_i$ can change in a pre-specified interval, i.e.,

$$
t_i \in [t_i^{\text{min}}, t_i^{\text{max}}].
$$

This way, we compute index $J(S, t_i)$ by using (2), and then the corresponding Shapley value for a large number $L$ of $t_i$ evaluations, so that more general results are obtained. By this randomized approach, which in particular belongs to the family of Monte Carlo methods (Zio, 2013), probability distributions for the Shapley value evaluated by (9) are obtained, being the respective mean values

$$
\mu_{\phi_i} = \frac{1}{L} \sum_{s=1}^{L} \phi_i(N, J),
$$

which represent an interesting indicator of each TC's efficiency in a more general context.
5. AN ILLUSTRATIVE EXAMPLE

In this section, we will test the method introduced in Section 3 by means of an overhead distribution power line, for it is the most unfavorable case from the viewpoint of fault location and repair duration.

We will consider that the line has three strategic TCs, namely TC1, TC2, and TC3, susceptible to be remotely controlled, resulting in eight possible topologies $S$ for RCTCs, which are represented in Fig. 4. Note that these three locations divide the line into four different sections where the fault can be located, namely A1, A2, A3 and A4. Note that the size of the problem is small to be illustrative, allowing us to provide the computation of all topologies and the corresponding results in the limited space of the paper.

For the computation of index $J(S)$ for each topology $S$, we use a period of study of $y = 10$ years and the following real average data obtained from a Spanish electricity company: $c_{\text{ins}} = 3500\,€$, $c_{\text{man}} = 200\,€$, $c_{\text{kv}} = 0.7€/\text{kVA-h}$ and $t_{\text{i}}(S)$, which has been calculated using verified data from maneuvers with different duration depending on whether it is a remote maneuver ($t_{\text{REM}} = 3\,\text{min}$) or a manual one ($t_{\text{MAN}} = 26\,\text{min}$). Regarding the latter, we also account for the time needed by the maintenance team to move from the industrial unit to the fault area, i.e., $t_{\text{MAN}} = 62\,\text{min}$, as commented in Section 2. Likewise, we assume that $t_{\text{i}}$, i.e., the annual average rate of faults in each section, is normally distributed with mean 1.85 and standard deviation 0.40, and we will implement the Monte Carlo method explained in Section 4.1, considering $L = 10000$ samples. These simulations have been performed using Crystal Ball® (EPM Information Development Team, 2017), in a 3.20 GHz Intel® Core i3-6100T, 8 GB RAM computer. The resulting Shapley value probability distributions are depicted in Fig. 3.

Taking these data into account, the energy not supplied $E_{\text{loc}}(S) + E_{\text{rep}}(S)$ is depicted for each topology $S$ and each section A1, A2, A3 and A4, in Figs. 5a, 5b, 5c and 5d, respectively. Notice that this energy corresponds to the area under the different curves and it is equal to the power not supplied times the interruption time.

At this point, it is possible to use the information regarding the energy not supplied shown in Fig. 5, and the real data mentioned before to calculate $J_{\text{man}}(S)$, $J_{\text{ins}}(S)$, $J_{\text{loc}}(S)$ and $J_{\text{rep}}(S)$ for each topology $S$, which are drawn in Fig. 6 and specifically detailed in Table 1 for the particular case of $t_{\text{i}} = 1.85$. Finally, with the aim of ranking the TCs for their remote management (to become RCTCs) in terms of economy and quality of supply, the mean values for the probability distributions in Fig. 3 have been taken, being the results for the case study of $y = 10$ years detailed in bold letters in Table 2. Notice that the most suitable TC for remote management (RCTC) from the viewpoint of both the company and customers is TC2. Likewise, the second TC suggested is TC1, with TC3 being the last choice to be remotely controlled. These results stem from the average marginal contribution of each TC when it joins a random coalition.

Since this is a simple example with $N = 3$ and eight topologies, it is possible to compare the eight values of $J(S)$ to find out which TC should became RCTC. Indeed, it can be seen in Table 1 that TC2 is the best choice if only one TC is going to be updated. Interestingly, TC3 seems to be a better option than TC1 when only one TC is upgraded, but...
TC1 becomes a better complement for TC2 when two TCs are improved. As can be seen, the ranking of TCs based on the Shapley value captures this information. Also, note that when $N$ rises, the Shapley value becomes a valuable tool because it can be approximated by randomized methods (Castro et al., 2009), whereas it may not be possible to perform the explicit evaluation of all the topologies to find the optimal combination.

These results have been compared with those of considering different test durations, ranging from $y = 1$ to 20 years, obtaining the evolution of the Shapley values detailed in Table 2 and depicted in Fig. 7. It can be seen that when the number of years rises, the difference between the Shapley values of the TCs increases significantly. Consequently, the benefit of remote-controlling any TC increases with time, given that electricity corporations balance the losses due to maintenance and installation costs with the profits of the energy sold for the fast recovery of electricity supply, which in turn causes the economic revenue to improve, as can be seen in Fig. 7.

### Table 2. Shapley value mean results for different periods of study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years</th>
<th>TC1</th>
<th>TC2</th>
<th>TC3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$-1.1871 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$-3.4535 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>$0.2130 \times 10^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>$-0.56790 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$-1.02114 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$-0.28774 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>$-1.9236 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$-3.0617 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$-1.2206 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>$-4.1750 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$-6.4501 \times 10^4$</td>
<td>$-2.7696 \times 10^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>$-0.86442 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$-1.3177 \times 10^5$</td>
<td>$-0.58440 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, a refinement of a legal Spanish supply quality indicator has been presented. This new index considers an economic tradeoff between final customers and electric companies interests. To this end, some strategic transformation centers (TCs) are assessed regarding the implementation of remote control capabilities.

The aforementioned problem has been analyzed from a game theory perspective, considering a game over the set of TCs, being its characteristic function associated with the proposed index. Then, the Shapley value of the game is taken into account to classify the TCs regarding their economic viability to become remote control TCs (RCTCs). The proposed index and the related Shapley value of the game have been computed in a simulation example where real data from a Spanish electricity company have been used. As our results show, the proposed method allows obtaining a relevance indicator to detect which TCs should become RCTCs, ranking them according to their impact regarding quality of supply, which is essential for customer satisfaction, and economic viability, which is also important for electricity corporations.

Future research should consider more complex and realistic distribution power networks with more TCs, by using randomized methods as that of Castro et al. (2009) to estimate the Shapley value. Likewise, the use of other lines to feed the line under study during power outages will also be taken into account. Finally, new methods to find the most interesting line to be improved in a given area, e.g., a substation, will be addressed.


