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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the Mycenaean representatives of three IE verbal roots: *terku'twist', "trep- 'turn' and *streguh_ 'turn, rotate'. Mycenaean data show that the derivatives of *terku- were still differentiated before the loss of labiovelar stops in post-Mycenaean times and that the verb $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ 'turn, twist, rotate' stems from *streguh.. Semantic interference among these roots is already underway in the Mycenaean period, anticipating the convergence of *erk ${ }^{u}$ - with *trep- and ${ }^{*}$ streg $^{u h}$ - in the first millennium.
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## Introduction

The Mycenaean lexicon has been a very important source for Greek etymology. Some conspicuous cases are e-ne-ka हैvex< 'on account of', which invalidated the traditional etymology supposing a wāw after the nasal on the basis of Hom. हivex $\alpha$; qa-si-re-u 'foreman', which imposes the existence of an initial


[^0]millennium); or a-to-po-qo 'baker', which confirms the etymological relation of
 the metathesis of $\pi \ldots$..

The same can be said of $\tau \rho \bar{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ 'turn' and $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ 'turn, twist, rotate', whose Mycenaean representatives exhibit a labiovelar stop that imposes a relation
 will be seen below, the situation is a bit complex, and the first problem is posited by the indeterminacy of Linear B, which does not differentiate voiced, voiceless, and aspirated stops ( $q a=g^{u} \breve{a}, k^{u} \breve{a}$ or $k^{u h} \breve{a}$ ). The second issue is the ascription of $\tau p \grave{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ to *trep- without a final labiovelar. Thirdly, the vocalisation of Mycenaean representatives can conceal an $o$-grade or / ro or even a zero grader.

The purpose of this paper is to show that the affinities between three verbal roots, *trep-, *terk ${ }^{u}$ - and *streguh-, gave rise to a conflation and reduction into two Greek verbs, $\tau р \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$. This process was on-going in the Mycenaean period and was completed after the loss of labiovelar stops.

## Etymological proposals regarding $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$

The verbs $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ have similar meanings, yet their roots are clearly different.

Tр $\varepsilon$ ' $\pi \omega$ 'turn' is a verb with a certain IE origin. Etymological dictionaries connect it to *trep- 'turn', see GEW, DELG and EDG, and include Mycenaean to-ro-qe-jo-me-no in the discussion (see below), although the Mycenaean participle contains a labiovelar stop. This labiovelar precludes its relation to *trep-, even though it may have evolved into labial in post-Mycenaean Greek ( $k^{u}>p, \mathrm{cf}$. है $\pi 0-$ $\mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$ 'follow' < *sek ${ }^{u-}$-).

Another possibility is to derive $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ from *terk ${ }^{u}$ - 'twist'. In spite of the fact that *trep- has adequate parallels for $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ in other languages, cf. Hitt.
 $\pi о \mu \alpha 1$ 'turn about, feel shame'), Lat. trepit $=$ vertit 'he/she turns' (only in Fest. p. 367 Müll.), this option would solve the problem posed by Myc. to-ro-qe-jo-me-no. However, this derivation supposes an alternative vocalisation after the resonant. ${ }^{1}$ In this respect, the words derived from * terk $^{u}$ - found in other lan-

[^1]guages only attest to vocalisation before the resonant; cf. Alb. tjerr, aor. torra 'turn', Hitt. tarukzi, tarkuanzi 'dance', Lat. torqueō 'turn, twist', Skt. niṣ-ṭarkyà'that can be unscrewed', tarku- 'spindle', tarkayati 'consider', Toch. в tärk- 'twist around, work (wood)'. Even if Schwebeablaut cannot be completely discarded, i.e., *terk ${ }^{u}-/{ }^{*}$ trek $^{u}$-, this pattern can mostly be explained through phonological processes (Ozoliņš 2015) , as this paper attempts to do while incorporating a semantic analysis.

The case of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ 'turn, twist, rotate' is even more complicated, since there is no other IE language which exhibits derivatives from the same root. Two possibilities can be envisaged: *streb ${ }^{h}$ - or *streg ${ }^{u h}$-. The first one, accepted by $L I V^{2}$, is based on the comparison with forms like $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \beta o ́ s ~ ‘ s q u i n t i n g ', ~ \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \beta \lambda o ́ s$ 'twisted, crooked' and $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \mu \beta \circ \varsigma ~ ' t o p, ~ w h i r l w i n d, ~ t r u m p e t-s h e l l, ~ s n a i l ', ~ w h i c h ~$ may represent a vulgar expressive variant without aspiration (GEW II 8o6807), although these forms point, rather, to a Prehellenic origin (EGD 1412-1414). Nevertheless, Mycenaean forms like su-to-ro-qa=Att.-Ion. $\sigma \cup \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi$ n' 'collection, gathering' evince a final labiovelar stop ( $D E L G$ 1064). In this regard, to-ro-qe-jo-me-no could also belong here, and so the problem posed by its labiovelar would be solved. As argued below, the semantics of the Mycenaean participle do not fit this root, yet it must be highlighted that $L I V^{3}$ has corrected *streb ${ }^{h_{-}}$ into *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - including the Mycenaean participle and the forms of the $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\rho} \mu \beta \circ \varsigma$ type, whose nasalisation is explained as a remnant of a nasal infix present stem *str-né- $g^{u h_{-}} / s t t_{o}-n-g^{u h_{-}}$.

## Mycenaean representatives of $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$

There are quite a number of Mycenaean words belonging to the roots being studied, *trep-, *terk ${ }^{u}-$, *streg ${ }^{u h}$-. Some are easily ascribable, but most of them can be related to more than one of the roots at issue.

The clearest representative of *trep- might be te-re-pa-to (кn Fp 14.1b). This word is fairly controversial; see DMic. I 302 on jo-te-re-pa-to. However, the context and the fact that it is preceded by the proclitic particle jo- assure its verbal nature: ${ }^{2}$

[^2]a-ma-ko-to , 'me-no' / jo-te-re-pa-to , // e-ke-se-si v 1 OLE

The line is introduced by a temporal specification, a-ma-ko-to me-no 'in the month of Amaktos, ${ }^{3}$ and ends with a dative plural, $e$-ke-se-si, ${ }^{4}$ who receive an amount of oil. The verb form has a middle ending -to and the $a$ of the penultimate syllable might indicate that it is an alpha-thematic aorist. Its interpretation must remain obscure, but the relation to *trep- is supported by the general context in which the tablet stands, as well as by the impossibility to connect the Mycenaean form with other verbal roots attested in Greek.

First of all, proposals that connect te-re-pa-to with $\theta \varepsilon p \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega \nu$ 'attendant, servant' (Milani 1965: 426), $\tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \pi о \mu \alpha ı ~ ' d e l i g h t ~ o n e s e l f ' ~(D o c s . ~ 307), ~ \tau р \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \epsilon ~ ' t r e a d ~$ (olives)' (Taillardat 1984: 368-370) or $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ 'feed' (Kamerbeek 1956: 338) must be rejected. The first word, $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega \nu$ 'attendant, servant', has an opaque origin and is possibly an Anatolian loanword (EDG 541;Jiménez 2008: 11). There is only one possible verb form related to this term in Ancient Greek, Myc. te-ra-pi-ke (py Eb 842.B, Ep 613.8), an iterative 3. sg. present. Note that the primary verb should be ${ }^{*} \theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \omega$ (te-ra-p) rather than ${ }^{*} \theta \varepsilon \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \pi \omega$ (te-re-p); compare $\theta \varepsilon \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$ 'serve, care for', a denominative in $-\varepsilon \dot{v} \omega$. A connection with $\tau \varepsilon ́ \rho \pi \sigma \mu \alpha l$ 'delight oneself' does not befit an oil offering and would contradict the Linear в spelling rules, according to which resonants are graphically omitted before a following consonant (te-p). A connection with $\tau p \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ 'tread' supposes a different ablaut (ta-ra-p) and a Mycenaean alpha-thematic aorist related to a contract present (ta-ra-pe-) instead of the expected sigmatic aorist (ta-ra-pe-sa-), while $\tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ 'feed' does not fit in the general context of the Fp series.

The connection of te-re-pa-to with $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ is not without problems either. From a morphological perspective, this verb only has a root aorist $\check{\varepsilon} \tau \rho \alpha \pi \circ \nu$ and a sigmatic aorist $\varepsilon$ है $\tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \alpha$. Alpha-thematic aorists are very rare, ${ }^{5}$ so it is tempting
which the event takes place as developed in the following lines. There are very few exceptions to the pattern jo/o-+ verb form; cf. jo-a-mi-ni-so-de (KN Og 4467.1; jo- + place name) and o-a-po-te (KN Le 641.1; o- + man's name). On this particle, see Thompson (2002-2003) and Jiménez (2016: 132-135).
3 The interpretation of a-ma-ko-to is controversial (DMic. I 53) but the fact that it is followed
 syntax of temporal expressions involving month names in Mycenaean, see Jiménez (2013). Note that this type of temporal specification is frequent in the Fp series, while the absence of toponymic specifications indicates that the oil deliveries recorded were made in Knossos itself.
4 The interpretation of this word is highly controversial (DMic. I 212), the only clear point being its athematic dative plural desinence $-s i$.
5 Cf. Chantraine (1961: 165); Sihler (1995: 562-563).
to reconstruct a form te-re-pa<-sa〉-to $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \psi \alpha(v) \tau 0$ without augment supposing a scribal error. Nevertheless, scribal errors must be called upon only when other possibilities can be discarded, and in this case nothing precludes that te-re-pato stands for a Mycenaean form unknown in the first millennium. Furthermore, a passive meaning is expected given that the sentence has no explicit subject. ${ }^{6}$ A passive meaning is possible with the thematic aorist $\varepsilon$ ह่ $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \dot{\mu} \mu \eta \nu$, yet it is not with the sigmatic aorist $\varepsilon \tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu .{ }^{7}$ It is true that passive meaning is known with an alpha-thematic aorist like $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \kappa \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \nu^{\text {‘I I was killed' (Hom. Il.15.437, etc.), }}$ though an alpha-thematic aorist * $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \alpha(\nu) \tau 0$ would be a Mycenaean hapax and its passive meaning cannot be assured at all.

Despite the morphological difficulties posed by the connection of te-re-pa-to with $\tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$, it still remains the best option from an etymological and a semantic perspective. Fp tablets record oil offerings with a religious background. In this context, the use of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$ might be expected with the sense of directing the oil to the divinities mentioned, the $e$-ke-se-si and the recipients which appear in the second line of the tablet, qe-ra-si-ja '(for) Therasia' and $p a-s i-t e-o-i$ '(for) all the gods'. Note that $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \tau \omega$ is not construed with the dative case and that this construction is proper to $\varepsilon ่ \pi \iota \tau \rho \varepsilon ่ \pi \omega$ with the sense of entrusting something to someone; regardless, the construction of the verb with a dative of interest is always possible. Finally, middle inflection is difficult to account for, since $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi o \mu \alpha \iota$ basically means 'turn oneself', i.e., the verb is intransitive when used in the middle voice. To keep the transitive construction (the quantities of oil would be the object of te-re-pa-to), one can attribute the middle inflection to the religious context: this middle might imply that the subject is making an oil offering in his own interest; cf. $\theta$ 'sıv 'offer sacrifice (in someone else's interest)': $\theta$ v́ $\sigma \theta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ 'offer sacrifice (in one's own interest)' (Wackernagel 1920: 126; Ruijgh 2004: 25-26). An intransitive middle would also be possible if the quantities of oil were syntactically unrelated to te-re-pa-to. In any event, there is no explicit subject on the tablet, although the use of a third person verb form without subject is known in Mycenaean texts; see Jiménez (2016: 149-152). The main difficulty with this interpretation is that this employment of $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi o \mu \alpha l$ with a religious nuance, whether transitive or intransitive, would be a Mycenaean specialisation unknown in the first millennium.

[^3]All in all, the only thing that can be said is that the best way of interpreting te-re-pa-to is in connection with *trep-, even though we cannot be certain about this etymology.

Two derivatives from *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - are ku-su-to-ro-qa (KN Bg 817, py Ed 411.1, Er 880.8, тн Av 101.6a, Fq 187.4, 214.14, 229.14, 252.6, 254.15, 269.7, 276.10, 277.4, $306.4,359.3,362.1,394.1$ ) and to-ro-qo (KN $\operatorname{Od} 563.1)$. The former is used to introduce totals and is the equivalent of Att.-Ion. $\sigma v \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ ' 'collection, gathering'. ${ }^{8}$ The latter appears in a tablet with an uncertain interpretation:

```
.1 ri-jo-ni-jo , / e-ze-to , to-ro-qo
.2 a-to-mo-na , / su-mo-no-qe LANA 14
```

The tablet records a consignment of wool. The first word is an adjective derived from the place name ri-jo-no (KN Ap 629.1, 5876.2, C 902.7, D- passim). Apparently, it qualifies to-ro-qo as a toponymic specification. The last two words are coordinated by $-q e(=\tau \varepsilon)$ and most probably are two women's names. The most controversial word is e-ze-to, which could be a verb form related to o-ze-to (PY Vn 130.1); o-ze-to can be interpreted as containing the particle $o$ - (a variant of $j o-$, see above) and a verb form that has been equated with $\gamma$ ₹́v $\tau 0$, a 3. sg. aorist meaning 'he/she grasped, took. ${ }^{9}$ If this equation is correct, e-ze-to would be the same form with augment, although an augmented * ${ }^{*} \gamma \varepsilon \nu \tau 0$ is unattested in the first millennium. In any case, the context calls for the interpretation of to-ro$q o$ as $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varphi} \varphi o s$ 'twisted band, cord'. The attempts to connect this word to *terk ${ }^{u}$ (see DMic. II 367) are much less felicitous, first, because there is no semantic match in the first millennium pointing to this root, and second, because the ro vocalisation is more compatible with *streg ${ }^{u h}$.

8 The possible relation of $k u$-su-to-ro-qa with $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ is discarded by Duhoux (2013: 66) on semantic grounds. He also stresses the fact that a compound *$\sigma u v-\tau \rho \circ \pi \dot{\eta}$ remains unattested in Greek.
9 This form is only found in the Iliad and can be explained as an athematic aorist of the IE root ${ }^{\text {Gem- }}$ (LIV $V^{2} 186$ ). Note that this interpretation supposes the palatalisation of ge into $z e$, which is possible in Mycenaean (Risch \& Hajnal 2006: 255-256; Bernabé \& Luján 2006: 118-120), although Méndez (1991-1993) attributes it to a preceding sibilant rather than to the $e$. Méndez's hypothesis implies $e-z e-t o=\varepsilon ँ \sigma \chi \varepsilon \tau 0$, which is less felicitous from a semantic perspective ('hold for him/herself', 'was held'). Ultimately, the interpretation of -ze-to in PY
 by Melena (2014b: 218) poses insurmountable morphological problems, since there are no parallels either in Greek or in other IE languages for such athematic formations within the paradigm of this verbal root (see $L I V^{2} 312-313$ on *ies-).

The rest of Mycenaean words that may be related to the roots being analysed are even more difficult to ascribe. All of them exhibit an o-grade but can be divided into two groups depending on the vocalisation before or after the resonant.

Those vocalised in or are to-qi-de 'spiral' and its derivatives to-qi-de-ja, to-qi-de-we-sa, ${ }^{10}$ as well as -to-qo '(wine) press' vel sim. in the sequence jo-e-ke-to-qo. The first noteworthy detail is that this type of vocalisation is proper to *terku-. Forms belonging to ${ }^{*}$ streg $^{u h}$ - only exhibit vocalisation after the sonorant, at least in the first millennium. It is also feasible to see in these forms a zero grade with a typical Mycenaean vocalisation in the vicinity of a labial stop (Risch \& Hajnal 2006: 204; Bernabé \& Luján 2006: 129); cf. to-pe-za тóp $\pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha=$ Att.-Ion. $\tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon \zeta \alpha$. However, this last possibility is less felicitous from a morphological perspective, since the words in question are best explained as $o$-grade formations.

The noun to-qi-de refers to a decorative motif on tables and stools recorded in the Pylian Ta series, which always depends on a verbal adjective or participle: a-ja-me-no (Ta 721.1.2), qe-qi-no-me-na (Та 713.1.2) and qe-qi-no-to (Та 642.3). ${ }^{11}$ It is inflected in the instrumental dative singular (Waanders 2008: 805). The adjectives to-qi-de-ja (Ta 709.1, 715.3) and to-qi-de-we-sa (Ta 711.3) are derivatives of this noun with the suffixes *-eio/eh $2_{2}$ and *-uent- respectively. They appear in the same series qualifying feminine nouns: $p i-j e-r a_{3}$ 'boiling pans', to-pe-zo '(two) tables', qe-ra-na 'pitcher, ewer'. The group formed by to-qi-de and its derivatives is generally ascribed to *terk ${ }^{\mu}$ - (DMic. II 364). As explained by Docs. 336, these words refer to spirals, a typical motif in Mycenaean decoration. In the first millennium, the word meaning spiral is $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \xi$, 火o૬, from a very different root, while similar derivatives of *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - and *trep- have different meanings; cf. $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi i \varsigma$ 'band' and $\tau \rho o ́ \pi ı \varsigma ~ ‘ s h i p ’ s ~ k e e l ' . ~ N o t e ~ t h a t ~ t h e s e ~ d e r i v a t i v e s ~ m a k e ~ a n ~ o-~$ grade more plausible than a zero grade for the Mycenaean term, even though $\tau \rho o ́ \pi ı \varsigma$ has a different suffix -i- (Chantraine 1979: 112). In this regard, the suffix -id- of to-qi-de is not incompatible with an o-grade (Balles \& Lühr 2008: 215216) and both suffixes tend to be confounded (Chantraine 1979: 336).

10 The man's name to-qi-da-so (PY Fn 324.23) is most probably a Prehellenic name in -( $\sigma$ ) $\sigma 0 \varsigma$ unrelated to to-qi-de.
11 On the interpretation and meaning of the perfect participle qe-qi-no-me-na and the verbal adjective qe-qi-no-to, see García-Ramón (1999a). On the meaning of the perfect participle a-ja-me-na, see García-Ramón (1995), whose etymological proposal entails psilosis (< *seh ${ }_{2}$ i-'bind, attach') despite the aspirate of o-pi-i-ja-lopihiā/ (KN Sd passim and Sf 4428.a), another Mycenaean representative of the root *seh $2_{2} i$. The underlying verb * $\alpha \hat{i} \mu$ ı 'inlay' might be an Anatolian loanword (Jiménez 2008: 8-9).

The sequence jo-e-ke-to-qo contains three words: the particle jo- (see n. 2), the verb form e-ke equivalent to $\varepsilon$ é $\varepsilon ા ~ ' h e / s h e / i t ~ h a s ', ~ a n d ~ t o-q o, ~ a ~ n o u n . ~ I t ~ a p p e a r s ~$ in KN Gv 863, a 'palm-leaf' tablet lacking right and left edges and related to grape vines:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& .1 \text { ] qạ-ra , / jo-e-ke-to-qo , wo-na-si , ṣi [ } \\
& .2 \text { ] we-je-we }{ }^{*} 174420 \text { su ARB } 104[
\end{aligned}
$$

The first line begins with a place name qa-ra well known in the Knossian tablets (KN Am 819.B, D- passim, L 473.B, Og 1804.b, Pp 495, Uf passim, v 865.35, X 44.B, 5722). Two of the preserved words refer to grape vines: wo-na-si 'in the vineyards' (cf. Hsch. oivád $\left.\varepsilon \varsigma^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\delta} \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \tau o ́ \pi o t\right)$ and we-je-we 'grape vine
 vine plants trained to climb fig trees referred to by su arb (Ruipérez \& Melena 1990: 155-156; Palmer 1995: 277). This led Milani (1965: 427) to interpret to-qo as an action noun meaning '(wine) pressing', 12 an appropriate meaning for *terk ${ }^{u}$-; cf. Lat. torcular, torculum '(wine, oil) press'. This interpretation entails a paroxytone noun $\tau o \chi^{\mathrm{w}}$ os, but it is also possible to set forth an oxytone agent noun $\tau 0 \rho \chi^{\mathrm{w}}$ 's meaning 'press'. There is some evidence for the Mycenaean word in the first millennium: $̇$ ह̀ $\alpha \iota \circ \tau \rho \circ$ ó $\tau \circ \nu$ 'olive-press' (Gp. 6.1.6; всн 26: 182,

 'wine-blenders' (Gal. 8.768), oivoтpó $\pi 01$ 'turning water into wine' (Lyc. 580), an epithet attributed to the daughters of Anius, grandson of Staphylus (son of Dionysus and Ariadne), $\tau \rho 0 \pi$ ท̇̈̈ov 'press' (Hippon. 57). Other proposals are more remote, e.g., that of Chadwick (1996: 280) equating -to-qo with $\tau$ ó $\pi \circ$ s 'place, region, space' ( $\tau$ ' $\pi \circ \varsigma$ < *tep- 'to hit, stick, smear', see EGD 1494), or that of Melena (2014a: 39): /hō(s) hek ${ }^{\mathrm{h}} \mathrm{ei} \mathrm{tork}^{\mathrm{w}}$ OS/ 'the "circuit" (?) is as follows in vineyards', which implies -to-qo = трóтоऽ 'turn, direction, way'. Note that Lejeune (1976: 200 n .29 ) has suggested that -to-qo might be a man's name and the subject of $e-k e$. Such a name could be related to ${ }^{*}$ terk ${ }^{u}$-, but it must be stressed that și! $[$ might also be the beginning of a man's name and the subject of the verb.

The forms vocalised in ro can also be explained as o-grade formations. There are two examples: to-ro-qe-jo-me-no 'making a tour of inspection' and e-to-ro-qa-ta 'twisted leather thongs (with which the oar was fastened to the thole)'. Their vocalisation is not consistent with *terku-, although ascription to *streg ${ }^{u h}{ }_{-}$ is less felicitous from a semantic perspective and they cannot be ascribed to *trep- due to their labiovelar.


The middle participle to-ro-qe-jo-me-no appears in the heading of PY Eq 213, a tablet recording arable lands from different districts:

$$
.1 \text { o-wi-de , a-ko-so-ta , to-ro-qe-jo-me-no , a-ro-u-ra , a } \mathrm{a}_{2} \text {-ri-sa , }
$$

The line is introduced by the particle $o-$, a lenited form of $j o-$ with initial aspiration (see n. 2), followed by the aorist wi-de '(he) saw' (= Hom. (F)'ì ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ ), whose subject, $a$-ko-so-ta, is an important officer of the Pylian administration. The object of wi-de is a-ro-u-ra 'arable lands' (= acc. pl. व̈poupas), the lands inspected by $a$-ko-so-ta. The interpretation of $a_{2}-r i$-sa is highly controversial, but unnecessary in order to understand the line. The participle to-ro-qe-jo-meno is a circumstantial participle agreeing with $a$-ko-so-ta. It has been related both to $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ (Docs. 269; etc.) and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ (Palmer 1963: $218 \& 459$ ), as well as distinguished from those two verbs (Meissner 2001: 35). From a morphological perspective, it can be considered an iterative-causative formation with $o$-grade and *-eie $/ o$ - suffix or a denominative with *-ie/o- suffix. A final possibility is to observe in to-ro-qe-jo-me-no a frequentative in $\bar{o}$-grade (Palmer 1963: 218), despite the fact that these frequentatives are typically contract verbs in $-\alpha \omega$ (Hock 1971: 309-310). What we find in the first millennium is a Homeric
 'the horses turned their cars backward'. Apart from that, we find compound denominatives like $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda 010 \tau \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ 'change colour', $\alpha \cup ๋ \tau 0 \tau \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ 'be like oneself, i.e., unique', $\kappa \alpha \varkappa о \tau \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ 'become malignant', and, in fact, Tucker (1991:131) considers $\tau \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$ a denominative, as well as $\pi \alpha \rho \alpha \tau \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon ́ \omega ~ ‘ l e a d ~ a s t r a y, ~ m i s l e a d ’ ~ a n d ~$ $\pi \varepsilon \rho ı \tau \rho 0 \pi \varepsilon$ ' $\omega$ 'turn from all sides to a centre'. In the case of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$, there are also compound denominatives in o-grade, as well as a simple verb $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ 'cause the colic' (Ar. Pax 175), a derivative of $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \rho \circ \rho$ 'twisting of the bowels', which makes no sense in the Mycenaean context. Finally, there are frequentatives from both roots, $\tau \rho \omega \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ 'turn, change', $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \varphi$ ' $\omega$ 'turn constantly, keep turning', and even $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \varphi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \rho \mu \mathrm{l}$, a secondary form in - $\varepsilon \omega$ attested in Aret. $C D$ 1.4. All these verbs are attested in the active voice, but the frequentatives in $\bar{o}$-grade are also attested in the middle voice, $\tau \rho \omega \pi \dot{\alpha} \circ \mu \alpha$ । 'turn about', $\sigma \tau \rho \omega \varphi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ 'roam about, wander'. From a semantic standpoint, the Mycenaean participle is most probably an iterative-causative equivalent to $\tau \rho 0 \pi \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$. The middle voice can be explained as a reflexive middle indicating that causer and causee are coreferential (Jiménez 2006: 137): ${ }^{13}$

13 The Mycenaean iterative-causative implies that the causer makes the causee turn (himself), i.e., $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha l$, which is a body motion middle (Allan 2003: 76-77).

I cause you to turn $\rightarrow$ I cause myself to turn

On the other hand, the participle is probably related to *erk ${ }^{u}$ - in view of its labiovelar stop and despite the vocalisation after the resonant. Its meaning is very specific and is related to the activity of inspection, so that it is usually translated as 'making a tour of inspection'. This specialised meaning was lost in the first millennium, though it is somehow consistent with some meanings of $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ in the middle and passive voices like 'turn in a certain direction' (Hom. Od.15.8o тр $\alpha \varphi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota \alpha \nu^{\prime}$ 'E $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha$ 'roam up and down Greece'; Hdt. 2.3.1 $\varepsilon \varsigma \Theta \eta ่ \beta \alpha \varsigma . .$.
 'they turned to their tasks'; Th. 1.5.1 غ่ $\tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \circ \nu \tau \circ \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha \nu$ 'they turned to
 $\tau \varepsilon \tau \rho \alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon$ vov '(the side of a city) which faces towards Tmolus').

The word e-to-ro-qa-ta (KN Oa 878.2, U 736.2) has been interpreted as |entrok ${ }^{w} t a \mid$, /entrokwātai/ or even /entrokwtai/ (Leukart 1994: 92), with the meaning 'twisted leather thongs (with which the oar was fastened to the thole)'. It would be a derivative of *erk ${ }^{u}$ - in zero grade, either a nominalised verbal adjective in *-tó-, an agent noun in *-tās, or a noun formed of the verbal adjective with an ${ }^{*}-\bar{a} s$ suffix found in other tool names. Nevertheless, the first millennium evidence points to an $o$-grade formation; cf. $\tau \rho \circ \pi o ́ \varsigma ~(H o m . ~ O d . ~ 4.782, ~, ~, ~$ 8.53) and $\tau \rho 0 \pi \omega \tau \eta \dot{\eta}$ (Ar. Ach. 549, Th. 2.93), which have the same meaning as the Mycenaean term, as well as the corresponding verbs $̇$ ह̀v $\rho \circ \pi \dot{\prime} \omega$ (Hsch., Agath. $5 \cdot 22.2$ ) and $\tau \rho 0 \pi \delta$ ' (Aesch. Pers. 376), which mean 'fasten the oars with thongs'. The Mycenaean term might be a denominative from $\varepsilon v \tau \rho \circ \pi \dot{\eta}$, i.e., either an agent noun /entrokwátai/ or even a nominalised verbal adjective /entrokwātál with a secondary extension of the $o$-grade (Chantraine 1979:305), and the vocalisation after the resonant would, indeed, be the result of an interference of *terk ${ }^{u}$ - with *trep-. Be that as it may, García-Ramón (2000: 166-167) interprets e-to-ro-qa-ta as a man's name /Esthlo-(k) $k^{w} \bar{a}(s) t a \bar{a} /$, a compound of $\varepsilon$ ह̀ $\sigma \lambda o ́ s ~ a n d ~$ $\pi \dot{\alpha} 0 \mu \alpha$; see also Ruijgh (1967: 123 n .259 ). In fact, it is possible to interpret $e$-to-ro-qa-ta as two different words in Oa 878 , a tablet recording cloth, and in U 736 , where na-u-do-mo vavסópoi 'ship builders' are mentioned. It should be noted that the logogram * 181 that follows e-to-ro-qa-ta in U 736.2 has the form of a loop.

Finally, there is one term exhibiting both possibilities, vocalisation in or and ro: to-qa / to-ro-qa (KN Fh 339, 391, 8299 / 358, 376, 5446.2, 5497). The fact that all the tablets in which it appears are written by the same scribe (identified as scribal hand 141) has led some scholars to propose that they represent two different words, as accepted in DMic. II 363 \& 366 . However, both forms seem to be variants of a single word that alternates with another term, $z o-a$, to indicate two different ways of processing oil, twisting or to-qa / to-ro-qa and boiling or
$z o-a .{ }^{14}$ If this interpretation is correct, both to-qa / to-ro-qa and zo-a represent $o$-grade action nouns (Chantraine 1979: 18-26). The alternation of the former might be explained as the result of a zero grade (Risch \& Hajnal 2006: 204205; Bernabé \& Luján 2006: 129), which is also known in this type of action noun as a secondary extension of the present stem vocalism. However, the first millennium bearers of this term are $o$-grade derivatives; cf. $\tau \rho \circ \pi$ ' 'turn, turning' and $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta^{\prime}$ 'turning, revolving, circling'. The first of the words at issue, zo-a, derives from $\zeta^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, while to-qa and to-ro-qa are derivatives of *terku -, or, less likely, derivatives of *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - in view of the or vocalisation of to-qa, which is unknown in the derivatives of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$. On the etymology of this term, see Ruijgh (1968: 705-707). Nevertheless, the exact meaning of these nouns is uncertain. They probably refer to the industrial use of oil in perfume manufacturing, zo-a to boiling the oil in the process called hot enfleurage or maceration; cf. PY Un 267.3-4 a-re-pa-te ze-so-me-no $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \varphi \alpha ́ \tau \varepsilon ા ~ \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \sigma о \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega l ~ ' f o r ~ t h e ~ o i n t m e n t ~ t h a t ~ i s ~$ going to be boiled', to-qa / to-ro-qa to stirring the oil in cold enfleurage ${ }^{15}$ (cf.
 кiveı $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega \nu$ (underlining mine; note the semantic proximity of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ to *terk ${ }^{u_{-}}$) 'after drying the aromatic inflorescence of the grape vine, place it into oil of unripe olives and stir turning it upside down' (translation by Beck 2005)). On the techniques used to make perfume in antiquity, see Brun (2000: 278-282), Riddle (1985: 91), and Shelmerdine (1985: 11-16). ${ }^{16}$

## A case of conflation

The meaning of the roots at issue is very similar: *trep- means 'turn (in a certain direction)', *terk ${ }^{u}$ - means 'twist' and, by specialization, 'spin' (Mallory \&

14 There are two other processes, po-ro-ko-wa and e-pi-ko-wa, which apply to small secondary quantities of oil; see Melena (1983: 111-112) and DMic. I 225 \& II 148. Both po-ro-ko-wa and


16 Ruijgh (1968) was the first to identify to-qa / to-ro-qa with the production of perfume by stirring the mix of cold oil and fragrance. Nevertheless, stirring is not necessary in cold enfleurage; cf. Erard-Cerceau (1990), who prefers to understand to-qa / to-ro-qa as equivalent to $\tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$, thus referring to oil produced for cooking. The problem is that $\tau \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi \omega$ belongs to a verbal root * $d^{h} r e b^{h}$ - 'condense, curdle' without labiovelar stop (LIV $V^{2} 153-154$ ). Finally, Melena (1983: 107 and 111 n. 78) mentions the possible connection of to-qa / to-ro$q a$ with to-ro-qo, though both terms probably belong to different roots (see above).

Adams 1997:572;Mallory \& Adams 2006: 234, 237), * streg $^{u h}$ - means 'turn around, rotate. ${ }^{17}$ Phonetically, they are also similar, especially after the elimination of labiovelar stops in post-Mycenaean times. ${ }^{18}$ As the result of this elimination, *trep- and *terk ${ }^{u}$ - converged in $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ and streg ${ }^{u h}$ - evolved into $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \varphi$. Only one

 ié/ó- according to Egetmeyer 2010: 464 and means 'turn to / towards'). Given that labiovelar stops were still distinguished in Mycenaean, one can wonder at what stage of their evolution these roots were in Mycenaean times. In my opinion, Mycenaean data show that *trep- and *terk ${ }^{u}$ - were still differentiated, though interference between them and with *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - were already at play.

Conflation is a term mainly used in Cognitive Linguistics to define the convergence of two different meanings in the same word (Talmy 1985 \& 1991). Furthermore, it is employed to describe the coinage of new lexical entries in creole languages from merging two words belonging to the super- and substrate languages (Kihm 1989). It can also be found in historical linguistics, especially with reference to morphological processes in which two originally distinct morphs merge into one (Tanaka 2011). In Indo-European studies, morphological conflation is usually called syncretism (Hock 1991: 183-187; Baerman et al. 2005).

What I am calling conflation in this paper is a mix of phonological convergence and semantic interference. Phonological convergence or phonological merger (Sihler 2000: 44) is a relatively frequent phenomenon, for instance, in the evolution from PIE to the daughter languages. The PIE roots * $h_{1} n e \hat{k}$ - 'to reach' and * $h_{2} n e \hat{k}$ - 'to take' are an example of this. The outcome of $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ is the same in many cases, so both roots were traditionally referred to as a single one *Hne $\hat{k}$-, since they are difficult to distinguish in the daughter languages; see Ozoliņš (2015:30-39). In fact, both roots apparently merged in some languages; cf. Ved. naś- 'reach, obtain', Av. n $\check{\bar{a} s-}$ 'reach, attain' ( $n \bar{a} s$ - is also used as the suppletive aorist of bar- 'bear, carry'), Lat. nancīscor 'get, obtain' (García-Ramón 1999b). In the case being studied, one can speak of contamination (Hock 1991:

17 According to Ruijgh (1968: 706-707), $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ designates a change of direction, while $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ designates a circular motion. Furthermore, he considers that $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ stems from *terku-l *trek ${ }^{u}$-, rather than from *trep-, and that, in Mycenaean, it preserved the meaning of turning around which is customary of $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$ in the first millennium. As one reviewer points out, *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - has an initial sibilant that makes it fairly distinguishable from *trep- and *erk ${ }^{\mu}$-. However, the initial sibilant is not so distinctive in Ancient Greek, since roots beginning with a consonant can alternate forms with and without it, the so-called $s$-mobile or $s$-movable (Giannakis 2015); cf. $\sigma x i \delta v \alpha \mu \alpha l, ~ x i \delta \delta \alpha \mu \alpha l ~ ' s p r e a d ~ o v e r ', ~$ $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ่ \gamma \circ \varsigma, \tau \varepsilon$ ' $\gamma \circ \varsigma$ 'roof, house', $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ p \varphi \circ \varsigma, \tau \varepsilon$ ' $\rho \varphi \circ \varsigma$ ‘skin', etc.

197-199; Sihler 2000: 83), i.e., the influence that words belonging to the same semantic group may have on each other. Examples can be easily multiplied; see, for instance, Sp. sin en cambio, an adversative connector used in informal Spanish and resulting from the contamination of sin embargo with en cambio, the normative forms, or Engl. irregardless, resulting from irrespective and regardless. Another example is Ved. nom. pl. dvấras, acc. pl. dúras from PIE * $d^{\text {huor- 'door', where the initial dental stop should have been aspirated, namely }}$ * $d h v \bar{a} r-$ - $d h u r$-; the absence of aspiration is attributed to the analogy with $d v a$ 'two', which is supported by the fact that the Vedic word is a plurale tantum (the doors referred to by this word had two leaves); cf. EWAia i: 764-765. In the case discussed here, the most striking fact is the semantic contamination of *terk ${ }^{u}$ - by two other roots, namely *trep- and *streg ${ }^{u h}$-. The three roots at issue had similar meanings, so semantic interference is easy to understand, and, in Classical Greek, $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ still have a partial semantic overlap; cf. Hom. Il. $8.432 \pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \nu \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon$ "i $\pi \pi 0 \cup \varsigma ~ ‘ s h e ~ t u r n e d ~ b a c k ~ h e r ~ h o r s e s ' ~ v s . ~ 8.168 ~$ $\mu \varepsilon \rho \mu \eta \dot{\rho} ı \xi \varepsilon v$ I' $\pi \pi 0 \cup \varsigma \varsigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \psi \alpha \iota$ 'he was in doubt whether he should wheel his horses'
 of them from turning and resisting' vs. E. Andr. $1149 \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \tau \grave{v} \sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \psi \alpha \varsigma \pi \rho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \kappa \dot{\eta} \nu$ 'turning the army toward resistance'. Contamination and phonological merger of $k^{u}$ with $p$ brought about the elimination of *terk ${ }^{u}$-, since it became a 'clashing' homonym (Hock 1991: 297-298) with *trep- and *terp-; cf. $\tau \varepsilon$ ' $\pi \tau \omega$ 'delight, gladden'. The meanings corresponding to * terk $^{u}$ - were then reassigned either to $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ or to $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ depending on the type of twist denoted. The Mycenaean situation was intermediate between the contamination of *terku- with *trepand *streg ${ }^{u h}{ }_{-}$and its eventual elimination after the loss of labiovelar stops.

Some of the Mycenaean forms discussed above are easily ascribed to the roots at issue: te-re-pa-to to *trep-, even if the interpretation of this verb form is far from certain; su-to-ro-qa 'sum, total' and to-ro-qo 'cord' to *streg ${ }^{u h}$ _; to-qi-de 'spiral', to-qi-de-ja, to-qi-de-we-sa, adjectives related to to-qi-de, and to-qo '(wine) press' to *terk ${ }^{u}$-. Other forms belonging to *terk ${ }^{u}$ - exhibit an unexpected vocalisation. This could be attributed either to Schwebeablaut or to metathesis (Lejeune 1972: 142); however, I believe it is better accounted for as part of the convergence process that affected the roots under consideration. This is the case of $o$-grade derivatives from * terk $^{u}$ - but with vocalisation after the resonant, like to-ro-qe-jo-me-no, a participle of an iterative-causative verb continued by Hom. $\tau \rho \circ \pi \varepsilon$ ' $\omega$ '(make) turn', and e-to-ro-qa-ta, if the Mycenaean antecedent of Hom. $\tau \rho 0 \pi$ 's and Att. $\tau \rho \circ \pi \omega \tau \eta$ 'p 'twisted leather thong, with which the oar was fastened to the thole' is a denominative formation from $\varepsilon \quad v \tau \rho o \pi \eta$ ' This vocalisation can be easily explained by contamination with *trep- and *streg ${ }^{\text {uh_ }}$. This interference can also account for the reason why to-qi-de is semantically
continued by forms of $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ in the first millennium; cf. $\sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \alpha ́ \alpha, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta 0 \varsigma$ 'turning
 to-qo is comparable to derivatives of $\tau \rho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega$.

Finally, the beginnings of the conflation of *terk ${ }^{u}$ - with $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ would be best seen in alternating forms like to-qa / to-ro-qa. The first one, to-qa, an $o$-grade action noun in ${ }^{*}-e h_{2}$, is expected, while the vocalisation of to-ro-qa is proper to *trep- and *streg ${ }^{u h}$. The alternation or / ro is known in Mycenaean in the vocalisation of zero grade r; cf. to-no 'seat, throne' / to-ro-no-wo-ko 'makers of seats / thrones' (PY Ta 707.1.2, 708.1.2, 714.1 / KN As 1517.11), Mycenaean representatives of $\theta$ póvos ( < * $d^{h} r$ rnó-). However, to-q a / to-ro-qa can hardly be a zero-grade action noun; cf. $\tau \rho \circ \pi \dot{\eta}, \sigma \tau \rho \circ \varphi \eta$ as well as zo-a, po-ro-ko$w a$, and e-pi-ko-wa in the same series. Again, contamination with *trep- and *streg ${ }^{u h}{ }_{-}$is a nice explanation for the confusion of the two vocalisations by the same Knossian scribe 141. As a matter of fact, cold enfleurage, the process alluded by the Mycenaean term, was described in the first millennium by resorting to the verb $\sigma \tau \rho \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \omega$.

## Conclusions

Mycenaean Greek preserves a series of forms deriving from the root *terkuwhich remain differentiated: to-qi-de, to-qi-de-ja, to-qi-de-we-sa and to-qo. After the loss of labiovelar stops in post-Mycenaean Greek, these forms were confused with derivatives of $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \pi \omega$ and $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$. Furthermore, Mycenaean demonstrates that $\sigma \tau \rho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ stems from a root with labiovelar *streguh_; cf. su-to-ro$q a$ and to-ro-qo. The semantic proximity of *terku- to *streg ${ }^{u h}$ - and *trep- can explain o-grade forms such as to-ro-qe-jo-me-no, possibly also e-to-ro-qa-ta, with a ro vocalisation that is unknown in the derivatives of *terk ${ }^{u}$ - documented in other languages. This suggests that the process of conflation of *erk ${ }^{u}$ - with the other two roots had begun before the loss of labiovelars, as alternating to-qa | to-ro-qa seems to indicate.
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[^1]:    1 The same is applicable to $\dot{\alpha} \tau \rho \varepsilon \kappa \eta$ 's 'exact, precise', which has been considered a compound of privative $\dot{\alpha}-+s$-stem $\tau \rho \varepsilon ́ x o \varsigma ~<~ * t e r k u r$-. Furthermore, the velar is incompatible with this root ( $k^{u}$ $>k$ ?), as it is in the case of $\nsim \tau \rho \alpha \varkappa \tau \circ$ s 'spindle, arrow', another word that has been connected to

[^2]:    *terk ${ }^{u}$-. For the etymological problems posed by these two words, see $E D G 164 \& 165$. In turn, Nikolaev (2015) has proposed interpreting the compound adjective $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \rho \pi v o{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ 'sleepless' as a derivative of *terku- (plus either " $\alpha$-copulativum" or " $\alpha$-intensivum") whose original meaning would be 'with much turning around'.
    2 This particle is the instrumental case of the relative pronoun and is used to introduce headings that constitute relative clauses without antecedent. The particle refers to the manner in

[^3]:    6 Meriggi (1954: 25) proposed reading ( $(\dot{\xi}) \tau \rho \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \tau 0$ 'zugewandt wurde'.
     $\mu \eta \nu$ can be passive. The former aorist is probably older than the latter (Allan 2003: 153 n .277 ), which is only attested twice in Homer; cf. Od. 1.422, 18.305 ( $\tau \rho \varepsilon \psi \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon v o l)$.

