# Propagation of Initial Mass Uncertainty in Aircraft Cruise Flight

Rafael Vazquez\* and Damián Rivas<sup>†</sup>

Escuela Superior de Ingenieros, Universidad de Sevilla, Seville, 41092, Spain

The propagation of initial mass uncertainty in cruise flight is studied. Two cruise conditions are analyzed: one with given cruise fuel load, and the other with given cruise range. Two different distributions of initial mass are considered: uniform and of gamma type. The Generalized Polynomial Chaos method is used to study the evolution of mean and variance of the aircraft mass. To compute the mass distribution function as a function of time, two approximate methods are developed. These methods are also applied to study the distribution functions of the flight time (in the case of given fuel load), and of the fuel consumption (in the case of given range). The dynamics of mass evolution in cruise flight is defined by a nonlinear equation, which can be solved analytically; this exact solution is used to assess the accuracy of the proposed methods. Comparison of the numerical results with the exact analytical solutions shows an excellent agreement in all cases, hence verifying the methods developed in this work.

# Nomenclature

- A, B constants of the problem
- $C_D, C_L$  drag and lift coefficients
- $C_{D_0}, C_{D_2}$  coefficients of the drag polar
  - c specific fuel consumption
  - D aerodynamic drag
  - E[.] expectation
  - $f_x$  probability density function of random variable x

<sup>\*</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Aerospace Engineering; rvazquez1@us.es.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Professor, Department of Aerospace Engineering; drivas@us.es.

G(k; 1) gamma distribution with scale parameter equal to one

- g gravity acceleration
- $h_i$  coefficients of the GPC expansion
- k shape parameter of the gamma distribution
- L lift
- $L_n$  Legendre polynomials
- $M_0$  minimum value of  $m_0$  with nonzero probability for the gamma distribution
- m aircraft mass
- $m_0$  initial aircraft mass
- $\bar{m}_0$  mean of the initial mass distribution
- $m_F$  fuel load
  - S wing surface area
  - T thrust
  - t time
- $t_f$  flight time
- V aircraft speed

# Var[.] variance

- x horizontal distance
- $x_f$  range
- $\Delta$   $\,$  standard uniform distribution  $\,$
- $\delta_m$  width of the uniform distribution
- $\Gamma(a)$  Euler gamma function
- $\Gamma(a, b)$  incomplete Euler gamma function
  - $\phi_n^{k-1}$  generalized Laguerre polynomials
  - $\sigma[.]$  typical deviation

# I. Introduction

The Air Traffic Management (ATM) system is a very complex system which contains a large number of heterogeneous components, such as airports, aircraft, navigation systems, flight management systems (FMS), traffic controllers, and weather (see Kim et al. [1]). Correspondingly, its performance is affected by numerous factors. Within the trajectory-based-operations concept of SESAR and NextGen, aircraft trajectories are key to study ATM operations, which are subject to many uncertainties. Sources of uncertainty for aircraft trajectories include wind and severe weather, navigational errors, aircraft performance inaccuracies, or errors in the FMS, among others. The analysis of the impact of uncertainties in aircraft trajectories and its propagation through the flight segments is of great interest, since it might help to understand how sensitive the system is to the lack of precise data and measurement errors, and, therefore, aid in the design of a more robust ATM system, with improved safety levels.

Among those sources, weather uncertainty has perhaps the greatest impact on ATM operations, being responsible for much of the delays. Its analysis has been addressed by many authors, using different methods, for example the following. Nilim et al. [2] consider a trajectory-based air traffic management scenario to minimize delays under weather uncertainty, where the weather processes are modeled as stationary Markov chains. Pepper et al. [3] present a method, based on Bayesian decision networks, of accounting for uncertain weather information in air traffic flow management. Clarke et al. [4] develop a methodology to study airspace capacity in the presence of weather uncertainty and formulates a stochastic dynamic programming algorithm for traffic flow management. Zheng and Zhao [5] develop a statistical model of wind uncertainties and apply it to stochastic trajectory prediction in the case of straight, level aircraft flight trajectories.

The framework for this work is the analysis of uncertainty propagation in aircraft trajectories, and, eventually, its effect on the ATM system. In this paper several tools are presented to analyze uncertainty propagation in a nonlinear problem, and they are applied to study the effect of initial aircraft mass uncertainty and its propagation through the cruise flight phase. The relevance of this problem resides in two facts: first, the initial mass is an important source of uncertainty in trajectory prediction, which determines mass evolution and, therefore, fuel consumption and flight cost, and, second, cruise uncertainties have a large impact on the overall flight since the cruise phase is the largest portion of the flight (at least for long-haul routes). In the applications, two cruise conditions are studied: one with given cruise fuel load, and the other with given cruise range.

Several methods have been proposed to study uncertainty propagation in dynamical systems, beyond the classical Monte-Carlo methods (which can be very expensive computationally). Halder and Bhattacharya [6] classify those methods it two categories: parametric (in which one evolves the statistical moments) and non-parametric (in which the probability density function is evolved). They address the problem of uncertainty propagation in planetary entry, descent, and landing, using a non-parametric method that reduces to solving the stochastic Liouville equation.

In this paper, the evolution in time of the mean and the variance of the aircraft mass is studied using the Generalized Polynomial Chaos (GPC) method (a parametric method according to Ref. [6]). The GPC representation was introduced by Wiener [7] and it is based on the fact that any second-order process (i.e., a process with finite secondorder moments) can be represented as a Fourier-type series, with time-dependent coefficients, and using orthogonal polynomials as GPC basis functions in terms of random variables. A general introduction to GPC can be found in Xiu and Karniadakis [8] and in Schoutens [9], whereas details in numerical computations are studied in Debusschere et al. [10]. The method of polynomial chaos is used in the works of Prabhakar et al. [11] and Dutta and Bhattacharya [12] to study, respectively, uncertainty propagation and trajectory estimation, for hypersonic flight dynamics with uncertain initial data, and by Fisher and Bhattacharya [13] in the problem of optimal trajectory generation in the context of stochastic optimal control.

Also, the distribution function of the aircraft mass is analyzed using two approximate methods developed in this paper (non-parametric methods according to Ref. [6]). One method is based on the resolution of the variational equation for the sensitivity function with respect to the initial condition, and the other is based on the computation of the probability measure of the random variable as a function of time. These two methods are also applied, first, to the analysis of the distribution function of the flight time, in the case of given fuel load, and, second, to the analysis of the distribution function of the fuel consumption, in the case of given range. In this way, the effect of the initial mass uncertainty in flight properties other than mass is studied as well.

In this paper, the case of cruise at constant altitude and constant speed is considered (cruise segments defined by these two flight constraints are commonly flown by commercial aircraft, according to air traffic control procedures). In this case, the evolution of aircraft mass is defined by a nonlinear equation which can be solved analytically. Results are presented for two different distributions of initial mass (uniform and of gamma type). The analytical solutions represent benchmark solutions which are used to assess the accuracy of the proposed methods. Comparison with the exact analytical results is made, showing an excellent agreement in all cases.

This paper is organized as follows. First the problem of mass evolution in cruise flight is solved. Then, in Section III, the two initial mass distributions considered are described. In Section IV mean and variance of the mass distribution are analyzed using the GPC method. In Section V the two non-parametic methods developed to study the evolution of distribution functions are presented, and applied to the mass distribution function. These two methods are used, in Section VI, to study the distribution functions of flight time and fuel consumption. Some numerical results are presented in Section VII, and some conclusions are drawn in Section VIII. Finally, the exact analytical solutions are presented in the Appendix.

# **II.** Mass evolution in cruise flight

The equations of motion for symmetric flight in a vertical plane (constant heading), using a flat Earth model, for constant altitude and constant speed are (see Ref. [14])

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{d}t} = V, \quad \frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -cT$$

$$T = D, \quad L = mg$$
(1)

where x is the horizontal distance, t the time, V the speed, T the thrust, D the aerodynamic drag, L the lift, m the aircraft mass, g the acceleration of gravity, and c the specific fuel consumption, which can be taken as a function of altitude and speed, and it is therefore constant under the given cruise condition.

The drag can be written as  $D = \frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 SC_D$ , where  $\rho$  is the density, S the wing surface area, and the drag coefficient  $C_D$  is modeled by a parabolic polar  $C_D = C_{D_0} + C_{D_2}C_L^2$ , where  $C_L$  is the lift coefficient given by  $C_L = \frac{2L}{\rho V^2 S}$ , and the coefficients  $C_{D_0}$  and  $C_{D_2}$  are constant under the given cruise condition. Using these definitions and Eqs. (1), an autonomous equation for the mass evolution is obtained:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -c \left(\frac{1}{2}\rho V^2 S C_{D_0} + m^2 \frac{2C_{D_2} g^2}{\rho V^2 S}\right)$$
(2)

Thus, one can write

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}m}{\mathrm{d}t} = -(A + Bm^2) \tag{3}$$

where the constants A and B are defined as  $A = c \frac{1}{2} \rho V^2 S C_{D_0}$  and  $B = c \frac{2C_{D_2}g^2}{\rho V^2 S}$ . Note that A, B > 0. Equation (3) is a nonlinear equation describing the evolution of mass during cruise flight, to be solved with the initial condition

$$m(0) = m_0 \tag{4}$$

To emphasize the dependence of the mass m(t) on the initial condition, the mass is written as  $m(t; m_0)$ , even though often it is just denoted as m for the sake of simplicity. The explicit solution of Eqs. (3) and (4) is

$$m(t;m_0) = \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \frac{m_0 - \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \tan\left(\sqrt{ABt}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + m_0 \tan\left(\sqrt{ABt}\right)}$$
(5)

# A. Cruise with given fuel load

For the case in which the cruise fuel load is given, denoting the given mass of fuel as  $m_F < m_0$ , the solution obtained given by Eq. (5) is valid in the time interval  $t \in [0, t_f(m_0)]$ , where  $t_f(m_0)$  (the flight time) is obtained from  $m(t_f(m_0); m_0) = m_0 - m_F$ . From Eq. (5) one can directly compute this time as

$$t_f(m_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + Bm_0(m_0 - m_F)}\right)$$
(6)

Note that  $t_f$  is a monotonically decreasing function of  $m_0$ . Thus, for a given amount of fuel, the larger  $m_0$ , the smaller  $t_f$ , and, as a consequence, the smaller the distance traveled by the aircraft. The initial mass  $m_0$  is unbounded, and has a lower limit equal to  $m_F$  (although these limits are not physically meaningful). Thus for  $m_0 \in (m_F, \infty)$  one

obtains, from Eq. (6),  $t_f \in \left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\sqrt{\frac{B}{A}}m_F\right)\right)$ . Also, since  $m(t_f; m_0) = m_0 - m_F$  the final value of the aircraft mass satisfies  $m(t_f; m_0) \in (0, \infty)$ .

In the next sections, the evolution of mass and the behavior of the flight time are studied for an uncertain value of the initial mass, while the rest of the parameters (some of them embedded in the constants A and B) have a fixed value.

#### B. Cruise with given range

For the case in which the cruise range is given, taking x as the independent variable, one has

$$\frac{dm}{dx} = -\frac{1}{V}(A + Bm^2) \tag{7}$$

and the same initial condition Eq. (4). The explicit solution of Eqs. (7) and (4) is

$$m(x;m_0) = \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \frac{m_0 - \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + m_0 \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x\right)}$$
(8)

If the given cruise range is  $x_f$ , then the final value of the aircraft mass  $m(x_f; m_0)$  is given by Eq. (8) particularized for  $x = x_f$ , and the fuel consumption during the cruise is

$$m_F(m_0) = m_0 - m(x_f; m_0) = \frac{\left(m_0^2 + \frac{A}{B}\right) \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + m_0 \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)}$$
(9)

Note that  $m_F$  is a monotonically increasing function of  $m_0$ : the larger  $m_0$ , the larger the fuel consumption. As before,  $m_0$  is unbounded, and, in order to have  $m(x_f; m_0) > 0$ , it has a lower limit equal to  $\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)$ . Thus for  $m_0 \in \left(\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right), \infty\right)$  one obtains from Eq. (9) that  $m_F \in \left(\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right), \infty\right)$ . Also, from Eq. (8) the final value of the aircraft mass satisfies  $m(x_f; m_0) \in \left(0, \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} \left(\tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)\right)^{-1}\right)$ .

In the next sections, the behavior of the fuel consumption is studied for an uncertain value of the initial mass, while, as before, the rest of the parameters have a fixed value. In this case the flight time is known, trivially given by  $t_f = \frac{x_f}{V}$ .

## **III.** Initial mass distribution

It is realistic to consider that the initial mass  $m_0$  is not a deterministic variable which is known a priori, but rather a random variable which is not known. Then, the solution given by Eq. (5) is still valid but in a probabilistic sense, i.e.,  $m(t; m_0)$  is a random process. If the distribution of  $m_0$  is known, it is possible to study the time evolution of the distribution of the aircraft mass  $m(t; m_0)$ , as well as its statistical properties (mean, variance, typical deviation).

In this work, to analyze mass evolution, two probabilistic models for  $m_0$  are considered: uniform and gamma distributions, which are described next. Note that a Gaussian distribution representing the initial mass uncertainty would be non-physical, since it would allow (with small but nonzero probability) *negative* initial mass, and, therefore, it is not considered in this paper.

# A. Uniform distribution

First it is considered that  $m_0$  is distributed as a uniform continuous variable whose probability density function is  $f_{m_0}(m_0) = \frac{1}{2\delta_m}$  in the interval  $[\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m, \bar{m}_0 + \delta_m]$ , and zero otherwise, where  $\bar{m}_0$  is the mean and  $\delta_m$  the width of the uniform distribution, as shown in Fig. 1.

Denoting by  $\Delta$  the standardized uniform distribution taking values in the interval [-1,1], one has that  $m_0 = \bar{m}_0 + \delta_m \Delta$ . The mean of  $m_0$  is  $E[m_0] = \int_0^\infty m_0 f_{m_0}(m_0) dm_0 = \bar{m}_0$ , where  $E[\cdot]$  is the mathematical expectation, and the variance of  $m_0$  is  $Var[m_0] = E[m_0^2] - (E[m_0])^2 = \frac{\delta_m^2}{3}$ .



Fig. 1 Shape of the probability density functions of the initial mass.

#### B. Gamma distribution

The gamma distribution (see Ref. [15]) represents a continuous nonnegative random variable, and is denoted by  $G(k, \theta)$ , where k > 0 is the shape parameter and  $\theta > 0$  is the scale parameter. It is known that  $E[G(k, \theta)] = k\theta$  and

Var $[G(k,\theta)] = k\theta^2$ , and that the probability density function of  $G(k,\theta)$  is  $f(x;k,\theta) = x^{k-1} \frac{e^{-x/\theta}}{\theta^k \Gamma(k)}$  for  $x \ge 0$  (and zero otherwise), where  $\Gamma$  is the Euler gamma function. Using the property that for  $\theta > 0$  one has  $G(k,\theta) = \theta G(k,1)$ , the value  $\theta = 1$  is considered in this paper without loss of generality.

To represent the initial mass distribution, let  $m_0 = \bar{m}_0 + \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}} (G(k, 1) - k)$ , where  $\bar{m}_0$  and  $\delta_m$  are the same values chosen for the uniform distribution. Hence, only the values  $m_0 \ge M_0$  have nonzero probability, where  $M_0$  (the minimum possible value of mass for the given values of  $\bar{m}_0$  and  $\delta_m$ ) is obtained making G(k, 1) = 0 and it is given by

$$M_0 = \bar{m}_0 - \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3}}\sqrt{k} \tag{10}$$

Thus, one has the following probability density function

$$f_{m_0}(m_0) = (m_0 - M_0)^{k-1} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(m_0 - M_0)} \frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)}, \quad m_0 \ge M_0$$
(11)

and zero otherwise. In this way, one has  $E[m_0] = \bar{m}_0$  and  $Var[m_0] = \frac{\delta_m^2}{3}$  (independently of k), as for the previously chosen uniform distribution.

Note that for  $k \to \infty$ , one has  $\frac{G(k,1)-k}{\sqrt{k}} \to N(0,1)$  which implies  $m_0 \to N\left(\bar{m}_0, \frac{\delta_m^2}{3}\right)$ , i.e., for large k the gamma distribution resembles a Gaussian distribution. However, the maximum value of k is limited by the fact that  $M_0$  should be greater than zero. Therefore, the value of k must be chosen taking into account Eq. (10).

In Fig. 1 the shape of the probability density function of  $m_0$  is plotted for different values of k, and compared with the uniform distribution.

# IV. Analysis of mass mean and variance

To compute the mean and variance of the mass, the Generalized Polynomial Chaos (GPC) method is used (see Ref. [7]), in which the process is represented as a Fourier-type series, with time-dependent coefficients, and orthogonal polynomials in terms of random variables are used as basis functions. The orthogonal polynomials used in GPC are chosen from the Askey scheme (a way of organizing certain orthogonal polynomials into a hierarchy, see Ref. [16]). If one chooses a family of polynomials which are orthogonal the convergence of the series is exponential. The orthogonality property implies that, when taking expectation with respect to the random variable for two polynomials of the family  $\phi_i$  and  $\phi_j$ , then  $E[\phi_i \phi_j] = \delta_{ij} E[\phi_i^2]$ , where  $\delta_{ij}$  is the Kronecker delta. For the uniform distribution  $\Delta$ , the adequate orthogonal polynomials are the Legendre polynomials  $L_n(\Delta)$ , whereas for the gamma distribution G(k, 1)one must use the generalized Laguerre polynomials  $\phi_n^{k-1}(G)$ . To apply the GPC method, one first write the initial mass distribution  $m_0$  in terms of the orthogonal polynomials. For the uniform distribution, one can write  $m_0 = \bar{m}_0 L_0(\Delta) + \delta_m L_1(\Delta)$ , whereas for the gamma distribution it follows that  $m_0 = \bar{m}_0 \phi_0^{k-1}(G) - \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}} \phi_1^{k-1}(G)$ .

In the following the uniform distribution case is considered (the gamma distribution is handled analogously). It is assumed that  $m(t; m_0)$  can be written as

$$m(t;m_0) = \sum_{i=0}^{P} h_i(t) L_i(\Delta)$$
(12)

where the coefficients  $h_i$  are to be found using the mass equation (3), and P is the order of the approximation, which is to be taken sufficiently large. Substituting Eq. (12) in Eq. (3), the following equation is obtained

$$\sum_{i=0}^{P} \dot{h}_i(t) L_i(\Delta) = -A - B \sum_{i=0}^{P} \sum_{j=0}^{P} h_i(t) h_j(t) L_i(\Delta) L_j(\Delta)$$
(13)

Now, multiplying Eq. (13) by  $L_l(\Delta)$  for l = 0, ..., P, taking expectation with respect to  $\Delta$ , and using the orthogonality property of the  $L_l$  polynomials, one obtains P + 1 equations

$$\dot{h}_{l}(t)E[L_{l}^{2}(\Delta)] = -A\delta_{0l} - B\sum_{i=0}^{P}\sum_{j=0}^{P}h_{i}(t)h_{j}(t)E[L_{i}(\Delta)L_{j}(\Delta)L_{l}(\Delta)], \qquad l = 0, \dots, P$$
(14)

and calling  $C_{ijl} = \frac{E[L_i L_j L_l]}{E[L_l^2]}$  (which is a number that can be exactly computed since the involved expectations are just integrals of polynomials) it follows that

$$\dot{h}_{l} = -A\delta_{0l} - B\sum_{i=0}^{P}\sum_{j=0}^{P}h_{i}h_{j}C_{ijl}, \qquad l = 0, \dots, P$$
(15)

which is a system of P + 1 nonlinear coupled ordinary differential equations. The same result is reached for the gamma distribution case, with the corresponding  $C_{ijl}$  coefficients. The initial conditions of Eqs. (15) depend on the initial mass distribution. For the uniform distribution case they are

$$h_0(0) = \bar{m}_0, \quad h_1(0) = \delta_m, \quad h_l(0) = 0, \text{ for } l = 2, \dots, P$$
 (16)

whereas for the gamma distribution they are given by

$$h_0(0) = \bar{m}_0, \quad h_1(0) = -\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}, \quad h_l(0) = 0, \text{ for } l = 2, \dots, P$$
 (17)

The advantage of the GPC method is that a small or moderate value of P is enough to get good results, thus resulting

in a method that is not very intensive computationally.

Once the coefficients  $h_i$  are found, it is possible to compute from Eq. (12) approximate values for quantities of interest such as mean and variance. For the uniform distribution, taking into account Eq. (12) and  $L_0(\Delta) = 1$ , it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}[m(t;m_0)] = \sum_{i=0}^{P} h_i(t) \mathbf{E}[L_i(\Delta)] = \sum_{i=0}^{P} h_i(t) \mathbf{E}[L_i(\Delta)L_0(\Delta)] = h_0(t) \mathbf{E}[L_0^2(\Delta)] = h_0(t)$$
(18)

To compute the variance

$$\operatorname{Var}[m(t;m_0)] = \operatorname{E}[m^2(t;m_0)] - \operatorname{E}[m(t;m_0)]^2 = \sum_{i=0}^{P} \sum_{j=0}^{P} h_i(t)h_j(t)\operatorname{E}[L_i(\Delta)L_j(\Delta)] - h_0^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{P} h_i^2(t)\operatorname{E}[L_i^2(\Delta)]$$
(19)

For the gamma distribution, similar results hold:

$$E[m(t;m_0)] = h_0(t),$$
(20)

$$\operatorname{Var}[m(t;m_0)] = \sum_{i=1}^{P} h_i^2(t) \operatorname{E}[(\phi_i^{k-1}(G))^2]$$
(21)

# V. Analysis of the evolution of the mass distribution function

In this section, since the GPC method cannot be used to obtain distribution functions (see Ref. [11]), two original approximate methods to obtain the distribution function of the mass (which evolves in time) are developed.

Recall first that, given a random variable x with distribution function  $f_x(x)$ , if one defines another random variable y using a transformation g such that y = g(x), then it is known that the distribution function  $f_y(y)$  of y is given by (see Ref. [15])

$$f_y(y) = \frac{f_x(g^{-1}(y))}{|g'(g^{-1}(y))|}$$
(22)

with expression (22) valid only if the function g(x) is invertible in the domain of x.

Denoting  $m = m(t; m_0) = \phi_t(m_0)$  as the solution of the differential equation (3) with initial condition (4), it follows from standard uniqueness results in differential equations (see Ref. [17]) that the function relating m and  $m_0$ (for a given time t) is always monotonous. Indeed, if it were not monotonous, there would be values of mass (for a given time t) that could be reached from two different initial conditions, which would contradict uniqueness. Since it is monotonous, it is therefore invertible. Thus, it is possible to write

$$f_m(m,t) = \frac{f_{m_0}(\phi_t^{-1}(m))}{|\phi_t'(\phi_t^{-1}(m))|}$$
(23)

where  $f_{m_0}$  is the distribution of the initial mass, and  $f_m(m, t)$  is the the distribution of the mass at time t.

#### A. Approximate method 1

The objective is to numerically approximate Eq. (23). For that, take *n* consecutive points from the domain of  $m_0$ , denoted as  $m_0^i$ , i = 1, ..., n, so that  $m_0^1 < m_0^2 < ... < m_0^n$ . Now, fix a time  $\tau > 0$ ; solving the mass equation (3) for each *i* with  $m_0^i$  as initial condition, one can compute the value of mass at time  $\tau$ ,  $m^i(\tau) = \phi_{\tau}(m_0^i)$ . The numerator of Eq. (23) is computed for each *i* as  $f_{m_0}(m_0^i)$ . To compute the denominator of Eq. (23), the theory of differential equations is used. Noting that  $\phi'_t(m_0) = \frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t)$  is the value of the derivative of the solution *m* with respect to  $m_0$  (also known as sensitivity function with respect to the initial condition), a differential equation can be written for  $\phi'_t(m_0)$ :

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\phi_t'(m_0) = \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}\left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}\right) = -2Bm\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0} = -2Bm\phi_t'(m_0) \tag{24}$$

with initial condition (obtained from Eq. 4)

$$\phi_0'(m_0) = 1 \tag{25}$$

This is the so-called variational equation, which is linear, and its solution is given by

$$\phi_t'(m_0) = \exp\left(-2B\int_0^t m(t;m_0)\mathrm{d}t\right)$$
(26)

Numerically solving Eq. (26) to find  $\phi'_t(m_0^i)$  at time  $t = \tau$ , the denominator of Eq. (23) is computed for each *i*.

Thus, for a fixed time  $\tau$ , one finds the value of  $f_m(m,\tau)$  at the *n* points  $m^i = \phi_\tau(m_0^i), i = 1, \ldots, n$ , as

$$f_m(m^i, \tau) = \frac{f_{m_0}(m_0^i)}{\phi'_{\tau}(m_0^i)}$$
(27)

#### **B.** Approximate method 2

Now, another method that avoids having to solve the differential equation for the sensitivity function (Eq. 24) is formulated. As in the previous method, take *n* consecutive points from the domain of  $m_0$ ,  $m_0^1 < m_0^2 < ... < m_0^n$ , fix a time  $\tau > 0$  and solve the mass equation (3) to compute the value of mass at time  $t = \tau$ ,  $m^i(\tau) = \phi_\tau(m_0^i)$ . To find the value of  $f_m(m, \tau)$  at these points, the intermediate value theorem for integrals is used:

$$\Pr(m^{i} \le m \le m^{i+1}) = \int_{m^{i}}^{m^{i+1}} f_{m}(\mu, \tau) d\mu = (m^{i+1} - m^{i}) f_{m}(\xi^{i}, \tau)$$
(28)

where Pr is the probability measure and  $\xi^i \in [m^i, m^{i+1}]$ , for i = 1, ..., n-1.

Given the uniqueness of the solution, intervals in the initial condition are univocally mapped into intervals in the

solution (as illustrated in Fig. 2), thus the probability of the mass m being in the interval  $(m^i, m^{i+1})$  is the same as the probability of the initial mass  $m_0$  being in the interval  $(m_0^i, m_0^{i+1})$ , that is,  $\Pr(m^i \le m \le m^{i+1}) = \Pr(m_0^i \le m_0 \le m_0^{i+1})$ . These probabilities can be computed (numerically or analytically) from the distribution function of  $m_0$ . Thus, one has

$$f_m(\xi^i, \tau) = \frac{\Pr(m_0^i \le m_0 \le m_0^{i+1})}{m^{i+1} - m^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$
(29)

Taking

$$f_m(m^1, \tau) = f_m(\xi^1, \tau)$$

$$f_m(m^i, \tau) = \frac{f_m(\xi^{i-1}, \tau) + f_m(\xi^i, \tau)}{2}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$f_m(m^n, \tau) = f_m(\xi^{n-1}, \tau)$$
(30)

an approximation of  $f_m$  is obtained at n points.



Fig. 2 Evolution of the initial mass intervals in time.

# VI. Analysis of the distribution function of the flight time and the fuel consumption

In this section, the distribution functions of the flight time  $t_f$  (in the case of given fuel load) and of the fuel consumption  $m_F$  (in the case of given range) are analyzed using the approximate methods developed in Section V.

# A. Distribution function of the flight time

The flight time  $t_f$  is defined explicitly by Eq. (6), where it can be seen that it is a function of the initial mass and hence a random variable itself. Calling  $t_f = \varphi(m_0)$ , one has that

$$m(\varphi(m_0); m_0) = m_0 - m_F \tag{31}$$

The distribution function of  $t_f$  is given, similarly to Eq. (23), by

$$f_{t_f}(t_f) = \frac{f_{m_0}(\varphi^{-1}(t_f))}{|\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t_f))|}$$
(32)

if  $\varphi$  is invertible. To see that this is the case, take the derivative with respect to  $m_0$  in Eq. (31),

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t_f; m_0)\varphi'(m_0) + \frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t_f; m_0) = 1$$
(33)

Note that  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t_f; m_0) = \dot{m}(t_f)$ , thus using the mass equation (3) it is found that

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t}(t_f; m_0) = -(A + Bm^2(t_f)) = -(A + B(m_0 - m_F)^2) < 0$$
(34)

Thus, one has, from Eqs. (33) and (34),

$$\varphi'(m_0) = -\frac{1 - \frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t_f; m_0)}{A + B(m_0 - m_F)^2}$$
(35)

On the other hand,  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}$  satisfies the differential equation (24), hence, one has from Eq. (26) that  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t) < 1$  for t > 0, and in particular  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t_f; m_0) < 1$ . Thus  $\varphi'(m_0) < 0$  and it follows that  $t_f = \varphi(m_0)$  is monotonically decreasing with  $m_0$  and hence invertible. Therefore Eq. (32) is a valid equation to compute  $f_{t_f}(t_f)$ .

#### 1. Approximate method 1

Take *n* consecutive points from the domain of  $m_0$ , denoted as  $m_0^i$ , i = 1, ..., n, so that  $m_0^1 < m_0^2 < ... < m_0^n$ . Each of these points determines a value  $t_f^i$  by solving the mass equation (3) with initial condition  $m_0^i$  and stopping when  $m = m_0^i - m_F$ . Then, combining Eqs. (32) and (35),

$$f_{t_f}(t_f^i) = \frac{f_{m_0}(\varphi^{-1}(t_f^i))}{|\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t_f^i))|} = f_{m_0}(m_0^i) \frac{A + B(m_0^i - m_F)^2}{1 - \frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t_f^i; m_0^i)}$$
(36)

where  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t_f^i; m_0^i)$  is obtained by computing Eq. (26) for  $t = t_f^i$  and  $m_0 = m_0^i$ . Thus, the value of  $f_{t_f}$  at n points is obtained.

#### 2. Approximate method 2

Take *n* consecutive points from the domain of  $m_0$ , as before, each of which determines a value  $t_f^i$ . It has to be noted that since it was found before that  $\varphi'(m_0) < 0$ , increasing values of  $m_0$  produce decreasing values of  $t_f$  and thus  $t_f^{i+1} < t_f^i$ . As it was done for the distribution of the mass, the intermediate value theorem for integrals can be applied to find

$$\Pr(t_f^{i+1} \le t_f \le t_f^{i}) = \int_{t_f^{i+1}}^{t_f^{i}} f_{t_f}(\mu) d\mu = (t_f^{i} - t_f^{i+1}) f_{t_f}(\xi^i)$$
(37)

where  $\xi^{i} \in [t_{f}^{i+1}, t_{f}^{i}]$ , for i = 1, ..., n - 1.

Reasoning as in Section V.B, it can be seen that intervals in the initial condition  $m_0$  are univocally mapped into intervals of  $t_f$ . However, noting that increasing values of  $m_0$  produce decreasing values of  $t_f$ , one has that the interval  $(m_0^i, m_0^{i+1})$  is mapped into the interval,  $(t_f^{i+1}, t_f^i)$ . Thus, it is deduced that  $\Pr(t_f^{i+1} \le t_f \le t_f^i) = \Pr(m_0^i \le m_0 \le m_0^{i+1})$ , hence

$$f_{t_f}(\xi^i) = \frac{\Pr(m_0^i \le m_0 \le m_0^{i+1})}{t_f^i - t_f^{i+1}}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$
(38)

Taking

$$f_{t_f}(t_f^1) = f_{t_f}(\xi^1)$$

$$f_{t_f}(t_f^i) = \frac{f_{t_f}(\xi^{i-1}) + f_{t_f}(\xi^i)}{2}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$f_{t_f}(t_f^n) = f_{t_f}(\xi^{n-1})$$
(39)

an approximation of  $f_{t_f}$  is obtained at n points.

# B. Distribution function of the fuel consumption

The fuel consumption  $m_F$  is defined explicitly by Eq. (9) as a function of the initial mass; thus  $m_F$  is a random variable itself. Calling this function as  $m_F = \psi(m_0)$ , the distribution function of  $m_F$  is given, similarly to Eq. (23), by

$$f_{m_F}(m_F) = \frac{f_{m_0}(\psi^{-1}(m_F))}{|\psi'(\psi^{-1}(m_F))|}$$
(40)

if  $\psi$  is invertible. To prove that this is the case, notice from Eq. (9) that

$$\psi'(m_0) = \frac{\partial m_F}{\partial m_0}(m_0) = 1 - \frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(x_f; m_0)$$
(41)

Similarly to Eq. (24), the variable  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}$  satisfies now a differential equation with respect to distance

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}\right) = -2Bm\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0} \tag{42}$$

with initial condition (from Eq. 4)

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(0) = 1 \tag{43}$$

whose solution is given by

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(x;m_0) = \exp\left(-2B\int_0^x m(x;m_0)\mathrm{d}x\right) \tag{44}$$

Thus, from Eq. (41) one has  $\psi'(m_0) > 0$  for  $x_f > 0$  which implies invertibility of  $\psi(m_0)$ . Hence, Eq. (40) is a valid equation to compute  $f_{m_F}(m_F)$ .

#### 1. Approximate method 1

Take *n* consecutive points from the domain of  $m_0$ , denoted as  $m_0^i$ , i = 1, ..., n, so that  $m_0^1 < m_0^2 < ... < m_0^n$ . Each of these points determines a value  $m_F^i = m_0^i - m(x_f; m_0^i)$  by solving the mass equation (7) with initial condition  $m_0^i$  and stopping when  $x = x_f$ . Then, using Eq. (41),

$$f_{m_F}(m_F^i) = \frac{f_{m_0}(\psi^{-1}(m_F^i))}{|\psi'(\psi^{-1}(m_F^i))|} = \frac{f_{m_0}(m_0^i)}{1 - \frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(x_f; m_0^i)}$$
(45)

where  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(x_f; m_0^i)$  is obtained by computing Eq. (44) for  $x = x_f$  and  $m_0 = m_0^i$ . Thus, the value of  $f_{m_F}$  at n points is obtained.

## 2. Approximate method 2

Take *n* consecutive points from the domain of  $m_0$ , as before, each of which determines a value  $m_F^i$ . Since it was found before that  $\psi'(m_0) > 0$ , increasing values of  $m_0$  produce increasing values of  $m_F$ . As it was done for the distribution of the mass, the intermediate value theorem for integrals can be applied to find

$$\Pr(m_F^{i} \le m_F \le m_F^{i+1}) = \int_{m_F^{i}}^{m_F^{i+1}} f_{t_f}(\mu) d\mu = (m_F^{i+1} - m_F^{i}) f_{m_F}(\xi^i)$$
(46)

where  $\xi^{i} \in [m_{F}{}^{i}, m_{F}{}^{i+1}]$ , for i = 1, ..., n-1.

Reasoning as in Section V.B, it can be seen that intervals in the initial condition  $m_0$  are univocally mapped into

intervals of  $m_F$ . Thus, it is deduced that  $\Pr(m_F^i \le m_F \le m_F^{i+1}) = \Pr(m_0^i \le m_0 \le m_0^{i+1})$ , hence

$$f_{m_F}(\xi^i) = \frac{\Pr(m_0^i \le m_0 \le m_0^{i+1})}{m_F^{i+1} - m_F^i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1$$
(47)

Taking

$$f_{m_F}(m_F^1) = f_{m_F}(\xi^1)$$

$$f_{m_F}(m_F^i) = \frac{f_{m_F}(\xi^{i-1}) + f_{m_F}(\xi^i)}{2}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n-1$$

$$f_{m_F}(m_F^n) = f_{m_F}(\xi^{n-1})$$
(48)

an approximation of  $f_{m_F}$  is obtained at n points.

# VII. Results

Now, the methods presented in previous sections are applied to the two initial mass distributions defined in Section III. The numerical resolution of the different problems is performed using the MATLAB environment. The numerical results are compared with the exact results of the problem, so that their accuracy can be assessed; these exact results are presented in the Appendix.

For the numerical application, the following values are used:  $C_{d_0} = 0.015$ ,  $C_{d_2} = 0.042$ ,  $\rho = 0.5\rho_0$ ,  $\rho_0 = 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^3$ , V = 200 m/s,  $c = 5 \cdot 10^{-5} \text{ s/m}$ ,  $S = 150 \text{ m}^2$ ,  $g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$ ,  $m_F = 25000 \text{ kg}$  in the case of given fuel load, and  $x_f = 2500 \text{ km}$  in the case of given range. For the initial mass distributions, the nominal values chosen for mean and width are  $\bar{m}_0 = 81633 \text{ kg}$  and  $\delta_m = 5000 \text{ kg}$ , which yields a typical deviation  $\sigma[m_0] = \sqrt{\text{Var}[m_0]} = \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3}} = 2887 \text{ kg}$ ; and for the gamma distribution the nominal value k = 8.5 is considered. A parametric study as function of  $\delta_m$  and k is also presented. For the nominal values, the two initial mass distributions are shown in Fig. 3. For the uniform distribution the values of  $m_0$  with nonzero probability are in the interval  $[m_0 - \delta_m, m_0 + \delta_m] = [76633, 86633]$  in kg, and for the gamma distribution they are in  $[M_0, \infty) = [73217, \infty)$  in kg.

For the GPC method the number of terms used in the expansions is P = 3, which turns out to be enough to obtain a good representation of m. In the computation of the distribution functions, the number of discretization points considered is n = 1000, which has proven to be good enough. All the integrations have been performed using the Matlab environment.

In Section VII.A the GPC method is applied to obtain the evolution of mass mean and variance. The distribution function of the mass and its evolution in time are analyzed in Section VII.B. The distribution function of the flight time in the case of given fuel load is studied in Section VII.C, and that of the fuel consumption in the case of given range in Section VII.D.



Fig. 3 Probability density functions of the initial mass ( $\bar{m}_0 = 81633 \text{ kg}, \delta_m = 5000 \text{ kg}, \text{ and } k = 8.5$ ).

# A. Mass mean and variance

# 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

To find the mean and variance using GPC, the value P = 3 is chosen in the GPC expansion of the mass (Eq. 12), which, as already mentioned, is enough to obtain a good representation of m. The coefficients of the GPC expansion are shown in Fig. 4. Note the fast decrease of their order of magnitude (six orders of magnitude from  $h_0$  to  $h_3$ ).



Fig. 4 GPC coefficients for the uniform distribution case.

The evolution of mean  $E[m(t; m_0)]$  and typical deviation  $\sigma[m(t; m_0)] = \sqrt{Var[m(t; m_0)]}$  is shown in Fig. 5. Selected values of mean and typical deviation are given in Table 1. The difference between the GPC solution and the analytical solution (Eqs. (59) and (60)) of mean and variance is negligible; the absolute error is less than  $10^{-4}$  for the mean and less than  $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$  for the typical deviation. Thus a low-order GPC expansion, which is very fast to compute, is enough to capture well the mean and variance evolution.

While the fact that the mean mass decreases with time is to be expected (since fuel mass in consumed), it is remarkable that the standard deviation of the mass also decreases with time. Thus, the dispersion of the distribution function and, therefore, the uncertainty decreases with time. This result can be explained by noting that the larger the aircraft mass, the larger its rate of decrease (which is given at each instant by  $A + Bm^2$ ). Thus, if one computes the solution m(t) given by Eq. (5) for  $m_0 = \bar{m}_0 \pm \delta_m$ , say,  $m_+(t) = m(t; \bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)$  and  $m_-(t) = m(t; \bar{m}_0 - \delta_m)$ , the distance  $\Delta m(t) = m_+(t) - m_-(t)$  decreases with time: for example, at t = 0 one has  $\Delta m = 2\delta_m = 10000$  kg, and at  $t = 1.2 \ 10^4$  s,  $\Delta m = 8321$  kg.



Fig. 5 Evolution of mass mean and typical deviation for the uniform distribution case.

# 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

As in the uniform distribution case, to find the mean and variance using GPC, choosing P = 3 in the GPC expansion of the mass (Eq. 12) is good enough. The coefficients of the GPC expansion are shown in Fig. 6. Note again the fast

Time (s)	$\mathrm{E}[m(t;m_0)]$ (kg)	$\sigma[m(t;m_0)]$ (kg)
$2 \cdot 10^3$	77485	2787
$4\cdot 10^3$	73477	2696
$6 \cdot 10^3$	69596	2613
$8\cdot 10^3$	65831	2536
$10^{4}$	62175	2467
$1.2\cdot 10^4$	58616	2402

Table 1 Values of mass mean and typical deviation at selected times for the uniform distribution case

decrease of their order of magnitude (seven orders of magnitude from  $h_0$  to  $h_3$ ).



Fig. 6 GPC coefficients for the gamma distribution case (k = 8.5).

The evolution of mean and typical deviation is shown in Fig. 7. Selected values of mean and typical deviation are given in Table 2. As before, the difference between the GPC solution and the analytical solution (Eqs. (63) and (64)) of mean and variance is negligible; the absolute error is less than  $4 \cdot 10^{-5}$  for the mean and less than  $2 \cdot 10^{-3}$  for the typical deviation. Again, both the mean and the standard deviation decrease with time.

Note that the plots and values are very similar to the ones obtained with the uniform distribution. Thus, the results show that the evolution of mean and standard deviation is very weakly affected by the specific distribution function chosen for the initial mass (at least for the two cases studied).



Fig. 7 Evolution of mass mean and typical deviation for the gamma distribution case (k = 8.5).

Time (s)	$\mathrm{E}[m(t;m_0)]$ (kg)	$\sigma[m(t;m_0)]$ (kg)
$2 \cdot 10^3$	77485	2786
$4\cdot 10^3$	73477	2695
$6\cdot 10^3$	69596	2610
$8\cdot 10^3$	65831	2533
$10^{4}$	62175	2462
$1.2\cdot 10^4$	58616	2397

Table 2 Values of mass mean and typical deviation at selected times for the gamma distribution case (k = 8.5)

## B. Distribution function of the mass

#### 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

The mass distribution is represented at several time instants in Fig. 8. Both approximate methods developed in Section V to approximate Eq. (23) show excellent agreement with the exact analytical results (Eq. 70) and are indistinguishable from them. The results in Fig. 8 show that as time increases (and *m* decreases), the width of the distribution function decreases, while the probability density increases. Thus, uncertainty decreases with time (as it was seen in Fig. 5). Note also that the uniform shape is approximately maintained.



Fig. 8 Mass distribution at several time instants for the uniform distribution case.

# 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

In this case, the mass distribution is represented at several time instants in Fig. 9. Again, both numerical methods developed in Section V to approximate Eq. (23) show excellent agreement with the exact analytical results (Eq. 72) and are indistinguishable from them. As in Fig. 8, Fig. 9 shows that uncertainty decreases as time increases. Also, the shape of the distribution function is approximately of gamma type at all times.



Fig. 9 Mass distribution at several time instants for the gamma distribution case (k = 8.5).

# C. Distribution function of the flight time

## 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

The distribution function of the flight time is represented in Fig. 10. Note that it looks approximately uniform, similarly to the initial mass distribution. As in the computation of the mass distribution function, both approximate methods developed in Section V to approximate Eq. (32) show excellent agreement with the exact analytical result (Eq. 77).

The values of  $t_f$  with nonzero probability are those in the interval  $[T_1, T_2] = [12625, 13664]$  in seconds, for values of  $m_0$  with nonzero probability in  $[m_0 - \delta_m, m_0 + \delta_m] = [76633, 86633]$  in kilograms, where, as shown in the Appendix,

$$T_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + B(\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)(\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m - m_F)}\right)$$
(49)

$$T_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + B(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m)(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m - m_F)}\right)$$
(50)



Fig. 10 Distribution functions of the flight time: a) uniform distribution case; b) gamma distribution case (k = 8.5).

The mean and the typical deviation of the flight time are obtained using the distribution function, computed numerically from

$$E[t_f] = \int_0^\infty t_f f_{t_f}(t_f) dt_f$$
(51)

$$(\sigma[t_f])^2 = \int_0^\infty t_f^2 f_{t_f}(t_f) dt_f - (E[t_f])^2$$
(52)

The results are given in Table 3.

Table 3 Computed values of  $\mathrm{E}[t_f]$  and  $\sigma[t_f]$  for the uniform distribution case

	Exact	Method 1	Method 2
$\mathrm{E}[t_f]$ (s)	13144	13144	13146
$\sigma[t_f]$ (s)	299.8	296.9	290.1

Now the effect of  $\delta_m$  on the results is analyzed. Values of  $\sigma[t_f]$  for different values of  $\delta_m$  (obtained using the exact solution) are given in Fig. 11, where it is seen that there is a proportionality between the two parameters. The values of  $E[t_f]$  are not significantly affected by changing  $\delta_m$ .



Fig. 11 Typical deviation of the flight time vs.  $\delta_m$  in the uniform distribution case.

## 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

The distribution function in this case is represented in Fig. 10. Note that this distribution function is somewhat different from a gamma distribution, because the values of  $t_f$  with nonzero probability are those in the finite interval (0,T] = (0, 14019] in seconds, for values of  $m_0$  with nonzero probability in  $[M_0, \infty) = [73217, \infty)$  in kilograms, where, as shown in the Appendix,

$$T = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + BM_0(M_0 - m_F)}\right)$$
(53)

Moreover, since  $t_f$  decreases when  $m_0$  increases, the bell of the distribution is sort of inverted (with respect to the bell of the initial mass distribution).

As in the computation of the mass distribution function, both numerical methods developed in Section V to approximate Eq. (32) show excellent agreement with the exact analytical result (Eq. 79).

Again, the mean and the typical deviation are computed numerically from Eqs. (51) and (52) using the distribution function. The results are given in Table 4.

Table 4 Computed values of  $E[t_f]$  and  $\sigma[t_f]$  for the gamma distribution case (k = 8.5)

	Exact	Method 1	Method 2
$\mathrm{E}[t_f]$ (s)	13144	13144	13143
$\sigma[t_f]$ (s)	299.3	301.6	303.9

Note that these results of mean and standard deviation are very close to the ones obtained before for the uniform distribution (especially for the exact distribution functions), showing again that the initial mass distribution chosen affects the results very weakly.

Now the effect of k on the results is analyzed. Values of  $\sigma[t_f]$  for different values of k and  $\delta_m$  (obtained using the exact solution) are given in Fig. 12, where it is seen that there is no significant effect from changing k and, as in the uniform distribution case, there is a proportionality between the values of  $\sigma[t_f]$  and  $\delta_m$ . The values of  $E[t_f]$  are not significantly affected by changing k or  $\delta_m$ .



Fig. 12 Typical deviation of the flight time vs. k, for different values of  $\delta_m$ , in the gamma distribution case.

# D. Distribution function of the fuel consumption

## 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

The distribution function of the fuel consumption is represented in Fig. 13. Note that it looks approximately uniform, similarly to the initial mass distribution, although smaller values of  $m_F$  show a slightly higher probability. As before, both approximate methods developed in Section V to approximate Eq. (40) show excellent agreement with the exact analytical results (Eq. 83).



Fig. 13 Distribution functions of the fuel consumption: a) uniform distribution case; b) gamma distribution case (k = 8.5).

The values of  $m_F$  with nonzero probability are those in the interval  $[M_1, M_2] = [23043, 24775]$  in kilograms, for values of  $m_0$  with nonzero probability in  $[m_0 - \delta_m, m_0 + \delta_m] = [76633, 86633]$  in kilograms, where, as shown in the Appendix,

$$M_{1} = \frac{\left((\bar{m}_{0} - \delta_{m})^{2} + \frac{A}{B}\right) \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_{f}\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + (\bar{m}_{0} - \delta_{m}) \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_{f}\right)}$$
(54)

$$M_2 = \frac{\left((\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)^2 + \frac{A}{B}\right) \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + (\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m) \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)}$$
(55)

As for the flight time, the mean and the typical deviation of the fuel consumption are obtained using the distribution function, computed numerically from

$$E[m_F] = \int_0^\infty m_F f_{m_F}(m_F) dm_F \tag{56}$$

$$(\sigma[m_F])^2 = \int_0^\infty m_F^2 f_{m_F}(m_F) dm_F - (E[m_F])^2$$
(57)

The results are given in Table 5.

Table 5 Computed values of  ${\rm E}[m_F]$  and  $\sigma[m_F]$  for the uniform distribution case

	Exact	Method 1	Method 2
$\mathrm{E}[m_F]$ (kg)	23892	23892	23892
$\sigma[m_F]$ (kg)	499.96	499.81	500.04

Now the effect of  $\delta_m$  on the results is analyzed. Values of  $\sigma[m_F]$  for different values of  $\delta_m$  (obtained using the exact solution) are given in Fig. 14, where one can see that there is a proportionality between both parameters. The values of  $E[m_F]$  are not significantly affected by changing  $\delta_m$ .



Fig. 14 Typical deviation of fuel consumption vs.  $\delta_m$  in the uniform distribution case.

#### 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

The distribution function in this case is represented in Fig. 13 for k = 8.5. Note that its shape is approximately of gamma type, as the initial mass distribution. The values of  $m_F$  with nonzero probability are those in the interval  $[M, \infty) = [22499, \infty)$  in kilograms, for values of  $m_0$  with nonzero probability in  $[M_0, \infty) = [73217, \infty)$  in kilograms, where, as shown in the Appendix

$$M = \frac{\left(M_0^2 + \frac{A}{B}\right) \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)}{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + M_0 \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{AB}x_f\right)}$$
(58)

As before, the approximate methods developed in Section V to approximate Eq. (40) show excellent agreement with the exact analytical result (Eq. 85).

Again, the mean and the typical deviation are computed numerically from Eqs. (56) and (57) using the distribution function. The results are given in Table 6. Note that these results of mean and standard deviation are very close to the ones obtained before for the uniform distribution, showing again that the initial mass distribution chosen affects very weakly the results.

	Exact	Method 1	Method 2
$\mathrm{E}[m_F]$ (kg)	23891	23891	23894
$\sigma[m_F]$ (kg)	506.46	506.37	506.46

Table 6 Computed values of  $E[m_F]$  and  $\sigma[m_F]$  for the gamma distribution case (k = 8.5)

Now the effect of k on the results is analyzed. Values of  $\sigma[m_F]$  for different values of k and  $\delta_m$  (obtained using the exact solution) are given in Fig. 15, where one can see that the influence of k in  $\sigma[m_F]$  is negligible. Also, as in the uniform distribution case, there is a proportionality between the values of  $\sigma[m_F]$  and  $\delta_m$ . The values of  $E[m_F]$ are not significantly affected by changing k or  $\delta_m$ .



Fig. 15 Typical deviation of the fuel consumption vs. k, for different values of  $\delta_m$ , in the gamma distribution case.

# VIII. Conclusions

The problem of propagation of initial mass uncertainty in cruise flight has been studied, using a nonlinear model which has known analytical solution. To study the evolution of mean and variance of the aircraft mass, the generalized polynomial chaos (GPC) method has been used, where an expansion with just four terms has proven to be accurate enough. The study of the evolution of the mass distribution function has also been considered and two approximate methods have been developed. These two methods are applicable to problems in which there is just one random variable and for the analysis of distribution functions of functions of the random variable which are invertible. Using these methods, the distribution functions of the flight time in the case of given fuel load, and of the fuel consumption in the case of given range have been also studied. The results obtained with these methods have been compared with the exact analytical results, showing an excellent agreement in all cases; thus, the accuracy of the methods has been assessed, and therefore they are proposed as accurate and computationally efficient candidates to study uncertainty

propagation.

The results presented in this work show that both mass mean and standard deviation decrease with time, with the distribution function getting narrower and more concentrated around the mean; thus, an important conclusion of this analysis is that uncertainty (represented by the dispersion of the distribution function) decreases with time. On the other hand, the shape of the distribution function of the mass is fundamentally unchanged from its initial shape. The results also show that the values of both mean and standard deviation is very weakly affected by the specific distribution function chosen for the initial mass (at least in the uniform and gamma cases).

The distribution functions of other flight properties different from mass (flight time and fuel consumption) have been analyzed as well, and their main statistical properties have been computed. Again, it has been shown that the results are affected very weakly by the choice of the initial mass distribution. The influence of the parameters of the initial mass distributions ( $\delta_m$  and k) has been studied: the mean is not significantly affected by changing  $\delta_m$  or k; and the typical deviation varies almost linearly with  $\delta_m$ , and is not affected by k. In these cases, the mean and variance have been obtained directly using the known distribution functions (and not the GPC method, as in the case of the mass distribution).

The approximate methods developed in this paper can be applied to other flight phases defined by more complicated flight conditions, and they can be extended to consider other sources of uncertainty, not only in the initial conditions but, for example, persistently affecting the system, such as wind. The analysis of these problems is left for future work.

# References

- Kim, J., Tandale, M., and Menon, P.K., "Air-Traffic Uncertainty Models for Queuing Analysis", AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration and Operations Conference (ATIO), paper AIAA 2009-7053, 2009, pp. 1–23.
- [2] Nilim, A., El Ghaoui, L., Hansen, M., and Duong, V., "Trajectory-Based Air Traffic Management (TB-ATM) under Weather Uncertainty", *Proceedings of the 5th USA-Europe ATM Seminar ATM2003*, 2003, pp. 1–10.
- [3] Pepper, J.W., Mills, K.R., and Wojcik, L.A., "Predictability and Uncertainty in Air Traffic Flow Management", Proceedings of the 4th USA-Europe ATM Seminar ATM2001, 2001, pp. 1–11.
- [4] Clarke, J., Solak, S., Chang, Y., Ren, L., and Vela, A., "Air Traffic Flow Management in the Presence of Uncertainty," *Proceedings of the 8th USA-Europe ATM Seminar ATM2009*, 2009, pp. 1–10.
- [5] Zheng, Q. M. and Zhao, Y. J., "Modeling Wind Uncertainties for Stochastic Trajectory Synthesis," AIAA Aviation Technology, Integration and Operations Conference (ATIO), paper AIAA 2011-6858, 2011, pp. 1–22.

- [6] Halder, A. and Bhattacharya, R., "Dispersion Analysis in Hypersonic Flight During planetary Entry Using Stochastic Liouville Equation," *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, Vol. 34, No. 2, 2011, pp. 459– 474.
- [7] Wiener, N., "The Homogeneous Chaos," American Journal of Mathematics, Vol. 60, No. 4, 1938, pp. 897–936.
- [8] Xiu, D. and Karniadakis, G., "The Wiener-Askey Polynomial Chaos for Stochastic Differential Equations," SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing, Vol. 24, 2002, pp. 619–644.
- [9] Schoutens, W., Stochastic Processes and Orthogonal Polynomials, Springer, New York, 2000, p. 31.
- [10] Debusschere, B., Najm, H., Pebay, P., Knio, O., Ghanem, R., and Le Maitre, O., "Numerical Challenges in the Use of Polynomial Chaos Representation for Stochastic Processes," *SIAM Journal on Scientific Computing*, Vol. 26, No. 2, 2004, pp. 698–719.
- [11] Prabhakar, A., Fisher, J., and Bhattacharya, R., "Polynomial Chaos-Based Analysis of Probabilistic Uncertainty in Hypersonic Flight Dynamics," *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, Vol. 33, No. 1, 2010, pp. 222–234.
- [12] Dutta, P. and Bhattacharya, R., "Nonlinear Estimation of Hypersonic State Trajectories in Bayesian Framework with Polynomial Chaos," *Journal of Guidance, Control and Dynamics*, Vol. 33, No. 6, 2010, pp. 1765–1778.
- [13] Fisher, J. and Bhattacharya, R., "Optimal Trajectory Generation with Probabilistic System Uncertainty Using Polynomial Chaos," *Journal of Dynamic Systems, Measurement, and Control*, Vol. 133, 2011, pp. 014501–1–6.
- [14] Vinh, N., Flight Mechanics of High-Performance Aircraft, Cambridge University Press, New York, 1993, p. 112.
- [15] Canavos, G., Applied Probability and Statistical Methods, Little, Brown, Boston, 1984, p. 142.
- [16] Askey, R. and Wilson, J., Some Basic Hypergeometric Orthogonal Polynomials that Generalize Jacobi Polynomials, Vol. 54 of Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, AMS, 1985, p. 46.
- [17] Hale, J., Ordinary Differential Equations, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969, p. 18.
- [18] Chaudhry, M. and Zubair, S., On a Class of Incomplete Gamma Functions with Applications, Chapman & Hall / CRC, Florida, 2002, p. 18.

# **Appendix: Exact results**

In this Appendix, the different analytic expressions used for comparison purposes throughout the paper are presented, and their derivation is briefly explained. To simplify the notation, the following parameters are defined:  $c_1(t) = \tan\left(\sqrt{ABt}\right) \ge 0, c_2 = \sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} > 0$  and  $c_3 = \tan\left(\frac{1}{V}\sqrt{ABx_f}\right) > 0$ .

# A. Mean and typical deviation of the mass

# 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

The analytical value of the mean is computed directly from Eq. (5), obtaining

$$E[m(t;m_0)] = \frac{1}{2\delta_m} \int_{\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m}^{\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m} m(t;m_0) dm_0$$
  
$$= \frac{c_2}{2\delta_m} \int_{\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m}^{\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m} \frac{m_0 - c_1 c_2}{c_2 + c_1 m_0} dm_0$$
  
$$= \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)} \left( 1 - \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)} \frac{c_1^2(t) + 1}{2\delta_m} \log \left[ \frac{c_2 + (\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m) c_1(t)}{c_2 + (\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m) c_1(t)} \right] \right)$$
(59)

Similarly, the computation of the variance of m(t) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[m(t;m_0)] &= E[m^2(t;m_0)] - (E[m(t;m_0)])^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2\delta_m} \int_{\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m}^{\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m} m^2(t;m_0) dm_0 - (E[m(t;m_0)])^2 \\ &= \frac{c_2^2}{2\delta_m} \int_{\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m}^{\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m} \frac{(m_0 - c_1 c_2)^2}{(c_2 + c_1 m_0)^2} dm_0 - (E[m(t;m_0)])^2 \\ &= \frac{c_2^4}{c_1^4(t)} \frac{(c_1^2(t) + 1)^2}{2\delta_m} \left[ \frac{2\delta_m}{\left(\bar{m}_0 + \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)}\right)^2 - \delta_m^2} - \frac{1}{2\delta_m} \left( \log \left[ \frac{c_2 + (\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m) c_1(t)}{c_2 + (\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m) c_1(t)} \right] \right)^2 \right] (60) \end{aligned}$$

Expressions (59) and (60) are both indeterminate for t = 0 (which implies  $c_1 = 0$ ). For numerical purposes, it is convenient to develop both expressions as a second-order Taylor series for small t (i.e. small values of  $c_1$ ) as follows:

$$E[m(t;m_0)] \approx \bar{m}_0 - \frac{c_1}{c_2} \left( \bar{m}_0^2 + c_2^2 + \frac{\delta_m^2}{3} \right) + \frac{c_1^2}{c_2^2} \bar{m}_0 \left( c_2^2 + \delta_m^2 + \bar{m}_0^2 \right)$$
(61)

$$Var[m(t;m_0)] \approx \frac{\delta_m^2}{3} - \frac{4c_1}{3c_2} \left( \bar{m}_0 \delta_m^2 \right) + \frac{2c_1^2}{45c_2^2} \delta_m^2 \left( 15c_2^2 + 11\delta_m^2 + 75\bar{m}_0^2 \right)$$
(62)

# 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

For the gamma distribution, the exact value of the mean obtained from Eq. (5) is

$$\begin{split} \mathbf{E}[m(t;m_0)] &= c_2 \int_{M_0}^{\infty} \frac{m_0 - c_1 c_2}{c_2 + c_1 m_0} (m_0 - M_0)^{k-1} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(m_0 - M_0)} \frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)} dm_0 \\ &= \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)} - \frac{c_2^2 \left(c_1^2(t) + 1\right)}{c_1^2(t) \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m} \left(M_0 + \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)}\right)} \left(\frac{M_0 + \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)}}{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}\right)^{k-1} \Gamma\left(1 - k, \frac{M_0 + \frac{c_2}{c_1(t)}}{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}\right) (63) \end{split}$$

where  $M_0$  is defined by Eq. (10) and  $\Gamma(s, x)$  is the upper incomplete Euler gamma function defined as  $\Gamma(s, x) = \int_x^\infty t^{s-1} e^{-t} dt$  (see Ref. [18]).

The variance of m(t) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[m(t;m_{0})] &= E[m^{2}(t;m_{0})] - (E[m(t;m_{0})])^{2} \\ &= c_{2}^{2} \int_{M_{0}}^{\infty} \frac{(m_{0} - c_{1}c_{2})^{2}}{(c_{2} + c_{1}m_{0})^{2}} (m_{0} - M_{0})^{k-1} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-(m_{0} - M_{0})} \frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_{m}}}{\left(\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^{k} \Gamma(k)} dm_{0} - (E[m(t;m_{0})])^{2} \\ &= \left[ \left( 1 - k - \frac{M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)}}{\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}} \right) \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_{m}}} \left( M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)} \right) \left( \frac{M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)}}{\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}} \right)^{k} \Gamma\left( 1 - k, \frac{M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)}}{\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}} \right) + \frac{M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)}}{\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}} \\ &- \mathrm{e}^{\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_{m}}} \left( M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)} \right) \left( \frac{M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)}}{\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}} \right)^{2k} \left( \Gamma\left( 1 - k, \frac{M_{0} + \frac{c_{2}}{c_{1}(t)}}{\frac{\delta_{m}}{\sqrt{3k}}} \right) \right)^{2} \right] \frac{c_{2}^{4}}{c_{1}^{2}(t)} \left( \frac{c_{1}^{2}(t) + 1}{c_{1}(t)M_{0} + c_{2}} \right)^{2} \tag{64}$$

Expressions (63) and (64) are both indeterminate for t = 0 (which implies  $c_1 = 0$ ). For numerical purposes, it is convenient to approximate both expressions up to order 3 in  $1/c_1$  using the asymptotic series  $\Gamma(s, x) =$ 

$$x^{s-1}e^{-x}\left(1+(s-1)\frac{1}{x}+(s-1)(s-2)\frac{1}{x^2}+...\right)$$
, valid for  $x \to \infty$  (see Ref. [18]). It follows that

$$E[m(t;m_0)] \approx \frac{c_2 M_0 - c_2^2 c_1}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} + k \frac{c_2^2 \left(c_1^2 + 1\right)}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \left[ \left( \frac{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right) - (k+1) c_1 \left( \frac{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^2 + (k+1)(k+2) c_1^2 \left( \frac{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^3 - (k+1)(k+2)(k+3) c_1^3 \left( \frac{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^4 + (k+1)(k+2)(k+3)(k+4) c_1^4 \left( \frac{\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^5 \right]$$
(65)

$$Var[m(t;m_0)] \approx \frac{\delta_m^2}{3} \frac{(c_1^2+1)^2 c_2^4}{(c_1 M_0 + c_2)^4} \left[ 1 - 4(k+1) \left( \frac{c_1 \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right) + 2(k+1)(5k+9) \left( \frac{c_1 \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^2 - 4(1+k)(2+k)(12+5k) \left( \frac{c_1 \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^3 + (1+k)(2+k)(300+7k(29+5k)) \left( \frac{c_1 \frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}}{c_1 M_0 + c_2} \right)^4 \right]$$
(66)

# **B.** Distribution function of the mass

To compute the distribution function of the mass, note that  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}$  can be exactly computed from Eq. (5) as

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t;m_0) = \frac{A}{B} \frac{1 + \tan^2\left(\sqrt{ABt}\right)}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}} + m_0 \tan\left(\sqrt{ABt}\right)\right)^2} = c_2^2 \frac{1 + c_1^2(t)}{\left(c_2 + m_0 c_1(t)\right)^2}$$
(67)

Also from Eq. (5),  $m_0$  can be written in terms of m(t) as follows

$$m_0 = c_2 \frac{m(t) + c_2 c_1(t)}{c_2 - c_1(t)m(t)}$$
(68)

Thus  $\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}$  in terms of m is written as

$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial m_0}(t;m) = \frac{(c_2 - c_1(t)m)^2}{c_2^2(1 + c_1^2(t))} \tag{69}$$

# 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

Since  $f_{m_0} = \frac{1}{2\delta_m}$ , using Eqs. (23) and (69), the exact distribution function of the mass as a function of time is

$$f_m(m,t) = \frac{c_2^2(1+c_1^2(t))}{2\delta_m(c_2-c_1(t)m)^2}$$
(70)

 $\text{if } m \in \left[\frac{c_2(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m) - c_2^2 c_1(t)}{c_2 + c_1(t)(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m)}, \frac{c_2(\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m) - c_2^2 c_1(t)}{c_2 + c_1(t)(\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)}\right], \text{ and zero otherwise. The limit points in the interval have been found from Eq. (5) evaluated at the limit points in the initial mass distribution ($\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m$ and $\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m$). }$ 

# 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

In this case the distribution function  $f_{m_0}$  given by Eq. (11) has to be written in terms of m(t) using Eq. (68), as follows

$$f_{m_0}(m,t) = \left(\frac{c_2m + c_2^2c_1(t)}{c_2 - c_1(t)m} - M_0\right)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}} \left(\frac{c_2m + c_2^2c_1(t)}{c_2 - c_1(t)m} - M_0\right)}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)}$$
(71)

Then, using Eqs. (23), (69), and (71), the exact distribution function of the mass as a function of time is

$$f_m(m,t) = \left(\frac{c_2m + c_2^2c_1(t)}{c_2 - c_1(t)m} - M_0\right)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m} \left(\frac{c_2m + c_2^2c_1(t)}{c_2 - c_1(t)m} - M_0\right)}}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)} \frac{c_2^2(1 + c_1^2(t))}{(c_2 - c_1(t)m)^2}$$
(72)

if  $m \ge c_2 \frac{M_0 - c_2 c_1(t)}{c_2 + M_0 c_1(t)}$ , and zero otherwise. The lower limit is found evaluating Eq. (5) at  $m_0 = M_0$ .

## C. Distribution function of the flight time

To compute Eq. (32), using Eq. (6), the values of  $\varphi$  and its inverse can be explicitly obtained as

$$t_f = \varphi(m_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + Bm_0(m_0 - m_F)}\right)$$
(73)

$$m_0 = \varphi^{-1}(t_f) = \frac{m_F}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{m_F^2}{4} - \frac{A}{B} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}}m_F}{\tan\left(\sqrt{AB}t_f\right)}} = \frac{m_F}{2} + \Phi(t_f)$$
(74)

where  $\Phi(t_f) = \sqrt{\frac{m_F^2}{4} - \frac{A}{B} + \frac{\sqrt{\frac{A}{B}}m_F}{\tan\left(\sqrt{AB}t_f\right)}}$  is defined to simplify the expressions. Also  $\varphi'(m_0)$  is given by

$$\varphi'(m_0) = \frac{-Bm_F(2m_0 - m_F)}{\left(A + Bm_0(m_0 - m_F)\right)^2 + ABm_F^2}$$
(75)

Hence

$$|\varphi'(\varphi^{-1}(t_f))| = \frac{2\sin^2\left(\sqrt{AB}t_f\right)}{Am_F}\Phi(t_f)$$
(76)

These results are now used to derive an explicit expression for  $f_{t_f}$ , for the two initial mass distributions under consideration.

## 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

From Eq. (32), using  $f_{m_0} = \frac{1}{2\delta_m}$  and Eq. (76), the resulting expression for the exact distribution function of the flight time is

$$f_{t_f}(t_f) = \frac{Am_F}{4\delta_m \sin^2\left(\sqrt{AB}t_f\right)\Phi(t_f)}$$
(77)

if  $t_f \in [T_1, T_2]$ , and zero otherwise, where the endpoints of this interval are found evaluating Eq. (73) at the endpoints of the uniform distribution of  $m_0$  (namely,  $\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m$  and  $\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m$ ), and are given by  $T_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + B(\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)(\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m - m_F)}\right)$  and  $T_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + B(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m)(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m - m_F)}\right)$ .

# 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

To find  $f_{t_f}$  now, the distribution function  $f_{m_0}$  for the gamma case (Eq. 11) has to be written in terms of  $t_f$  using Eq. (74), as follows

$$f_{m_0}(t_f) = \left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Phi(t_f) - M_0\right)^{k-1} \frac{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}} \left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Phi(t_f) - M_0\right)}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)}$$
(78)

Then, from Eq. (32), using Eqs. (78) and (76), the resulting expression for the exact distribution function of the flight time is

$$f_{t_f}(t_f) = \left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Phi(t_f) - M_0\right)^{k-1} \frac{Am_F}{2\sin^2\left(\sqrt{AB}t_f\right)} \frac{e^{-\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}}\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Phi(t_f) - M_0\right)}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)\Phi(t_f)}$$
(79)

for  $t_f \in \left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{AB}} \arctan\left(\frac{\sqrt{AB}m_F}{A + BM_0(M_0 - m_F)}\right)\right]$ , and zero otherwise. The upper limit value is found evaluating Eq. (73) at  $m_0 = M_0$ .

#### D. Distribution function of the fuel consumption

To compute Eq. (40), the inverse of  $\psi(m_0)$  is necessary. For that, one has to solve for  $m_0$  in Eq. (9), finding

$$m_0 = \psi^{-1}(m_F) = \frac{m_F}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{m_F^2}{4} + \frac{m_F c_2}{c_3} - c_2^2} = \frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)$$
(80)

where  $\Psi(m_F) = \sqrt{\frac{m_F^2}{4} + \frac{m_F c_2}{c_3} - c_2^2}$  has been defined.

Also, taking the derivative with respect to  $m_0$  in Eq. (9), the value of  $\psi'(m_0)$  is found as

$$\psi'(m_0) = c_3 \frac{2c_2m_0 + (m_0^2 - c_2^2)c_3}{(c_2 + m_0c_3)^2}$$
(81)

For Eq. (40), it is necessary to explicitly compute  $|\psi'(\psi^{-1}(m_F))|$  using Eqs. (80) and (81), finding

$$|\psi'(\psi^{-1}(m_F))| = c_3 \frac{\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)^2 c_3 + 2\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right) c_2 - c_3 c_2^2}{\left[c_2 + c_3\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)\right]^2}$$
(82)

This result is now used to derive an explicit expression for  $f_{m_F}$ , for the two initial mass distributions under consideration.

# 1. Uniform distribution of the initial mass

From Eq. (40), using  $f_{m_0} = \frac{1}{2\delta_m}$  and Eq. (76), the resulting expression for the exact distribution function of the flight time is

$$f_{m_F}(m_F) = \frac{1}{2\delta_m c_3} \frac{\left[c_2 + c_3\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)\right]^2}{\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)^2 c_3 + 2\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right) c_2 - c_3 c_2^2}$$
(83)

 $\text{if } m_F \in \left[\frac{\left((\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m)^2 + c_2^2\right)c_3}{c_2 + (\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m)c_3}, \frac{\left((\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)^2 + c_2^2\right)c_3}{c_2 + (\bar{m}_0 + \delta_m)c_3}\right], \text{ and zero otherwise. The endpoints of this interval are found evaluating Eq. (9) at the endpoints of the uniform distribution of <math>m_0$   $(\bar{m}_0 - \delta_m \text{ and } \bar{m}_0 + \delta_m).$ 

# 2. Gamma distribution of the initial mass

To find  $f_{m_F}$  now, the distribution function  $f_{m_0}$  for the gamma case (Eq. 11) has to be written in terms of  $m_F$  using Eq. (80), as follows

$$f_{m_0}(m_F) = \left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F) - M_0\right)^{k-1} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F) - M_0\right)\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}}}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)}$$
(84)

Then, from Eq. (40), using Eqs. (84) and (82), the resulting expression for the exact distribution function of the fuel consumption is

$$f_{m_F}(m_F) = \frac{e^{-\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F) - M_0\right)\frac{\sqrt{3k}}{\delta_m}}}{\left(\frac{\delta_m}{\sqrt{3k}}\right)^k \Gamma(k)c_3} \frac{\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F) - M_0\right)^{k-1} \left[c_2 + c_3\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)\right]^2}{\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)^2 c_3 + 2\left(\frac{m_F}{2} + \Psi(m_F)\right)c_2 - c_3c_2^2}$$
(85)

for  $m_F \ge \frac{\left(M_0^2 + c_2^2\right)c_3}{c_2 + M_0c_3}$ , and zero otherwise. The lower limit is found evaluating Eq. (9) at  $m_0 = M_0$ .