

1                   **Physiological responses to soil lime in wild grapevine**

2                                   **(*Vitis vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris*)**

3  
4 J. Cambrollé<sup>a,\*</sup>, J.L. García<sup>a</sup>, M.E. Figueroa<sup>b</sup> and M. Cantos<sup>a</sup>

5  
6 <sup>a</sup> *Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología de Sevilla (C.S.I.C.), P.O. Box 1052,*  
7 *41080 - Sevilla, Spain*

8 <sup>b</sup> *Facultad de Biología, Universidad de Sevilla, P.O. Box 1095, 41080 - Seville, Spain*

9  
10 J.L. García (jlgarcia@irnase.csic.es); M.E. Figueroa (figueroa@us.es); M. Cantos  
11 (cantos@irnase.csic.es)

12  
13 \*Corresponding author.

14 *Postal address: Jesús Cambrollé Silva, Instituto de Recursos Naturales y Agrobiología*  
15 *de Sevilla (C.S.I.C.), Av. Reina Mercedes s/n, P.O. Box 1052, 41080 - Seville, Spain.*

16 *Tel.: +34-95-4624711. E-mail address: cambrolle@us.es*

26 **Abbreviations:** A, net photosynthetic rate; BAP, 6-benzylaminopurine; Chl *a*,  
27 chlorophyll *a*; Chl *b*, chlorophyll *b*; C<sub>i</sub>, intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration; C<sub>x+c</sub>,  
28 carotenoids; F<sub>0</sub>, minimal fluorescence level in the dark-adapted state; F<sub>m</sub>, maximal  
29 fluorescence level in the dark-adapted state; F<sub>s</sub>, steady state fluorescence yield; F<sub>v</sub>,  
30 variable fluorescence level in the dark-adapted state; F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub>, maximum quantum  
31 efficiency of PSII photochemistry; ΦPSII, quantum efficiency of PSII; G<sub>s</sub>, stomatal  
32 conductance; NAA, naphthaleneacetic acid; RGR, relative growth rate.

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51 **Abstract:**

52

53 Lime-induced chlorosis is a widespread nutritional disorder affecting grapevines  
54 cultivated in calcareous soils. A greenhouse experiment was conducted to investigate  
55 the response of *Vitis vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* to soil lime by evaluating the effects of a  
56 range of soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents (0 to 60%) on plant growth, nutrient content (iron,  
57 potassium, nitrogen and phosphorus) and photosynthetic performance (gas exchange,  
58 chlorophyll fluorescence parameters and photosynthetic pigments). The highest soil  
59 CaCO<sub>3</sub> concentration induced nutrient imbalances and significantly inhibited  
60 photosynthetic function, causing a reduction in carbon gain and consequently, a drastic  
61 growth reduction and high mortality. However, all the plants survived external CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
62 contents of up to 40%, and reduction in growth at 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub> was slightly lower than  
63 that recorded in several previously studied lime-tolerant varieties of grapevine. Plants  
64 grown at 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub> maintained net photosynthesis values of around 6 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, a  
65 similar chlorophyll content to that of the control plants and dawn F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> values close to  
66 the optimal values for unstressed plants. Up to the 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment, the study  
67 species was capable of maintaining Fe uptake by the roots and translocation to leaves,  
68 while controlling the nutritional status of N and P. Our study indicates that the studied  
69 population of *V. vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* could provide a source of genetic diversity for  
70 lime tolerance improvement in grapevine.

71

72

73

74

75 **Keywords:** Calcareous soil; *Vitis vinifera*; photosynthesis; tolerance.

## 76 1. Introduction

77

78 Calcareous soils are common in some of the most important European  
79 viticultural areas and grapevines growing on such soils often suffer lime-induced Fe  
80 chlorosis (Bavaresco et al. 2005), although the response varies according to grapevine  
81 variety (Tangolar et al. 2008). The response of susceptible grapevines to lime-stress  
82 includes reduction in shoot and root growth, lower yield and a characteristic leaf  
83 interveinal yellowing. Lower biomass in susceptible plants is related to reduced root  
84 growth due to soil bicarbonate and to a lower photosynthetic rate that also depends on a  
85 decreased leaf chlorophyll under Fe stress conditions (Bavaresco et al. 2003). At low  
86 Fe-availability in soils, the grapevine responds as a typical "Strategy I" plant, increasing  
87 iron uptake, proton extrusion, and reducing capacity (Varanini and Maggioni 1982;  
88 Brancadoro et al. 1995; Nikolic and Kastori 2000). Different grapevine rootstocks show  
89 considerable quantitative differences in terms of the root response reactions involved in  
90 "Strategy I", particularly with regard to rhizosphere acidification, root Fe<sup>III</sup> reducing  
91 capacity and rates of Fe uptake (Brancadoro et al. 1995; Maggioni 1980).

92 Lime-induced chlorosis has a strong impact on the fruit industry because it  
93 affects both the yield and quality of the fruit, and on the other hand the fertilizers used  
94 for its control and prevention are often expensive, not very efficient in the long term,  
95 and some are considered not environmentally friendly (Abadia et al. 2011; Álvarez-  
96 Fernández et al. 2011). The most useful method in viticulture to overcome this form of  
97 stress is to graft grape varieties onto lime-tolerant rootstocks. Grapevine rootstocks can  
98 be pure *Vitis* species or hybrids, mainly between *Vitis riparia*, *Vitis rupestris* and *Vitis*  
99 *berlandieri*, which differ in response when grown in calcareous soils (Bavaresco et al.  
100 1994). Lime-tolerant grapevine rootstocks such as 140 Ru and 41B are used by

101 viticulturists on calcareous soils worldwide; however, the ideal rootstock has yet to be  
102 obtained.

103 *Vitis vinifera* (L.) ssp. *sylvestris* (Gmelin) Hegi, the wild subspecies of *Vitis*  
104 *vinifera* L., is the only native Eurasian subspecies and constitutes a valuable genetic  
105 resource for cultivated grapevines (Negrul 1938). The distribution of the wild grapevine  
106 has been dramatically reduced in its major sites of diffusion, initially by the spread of  
107 pathogens from North America over the last 150 years and, more recently, as a result of  
108 habitat fragmentation and disbranching by humans (Grassi et al. 2006; Ocete et al.  
109 2011a; 2012). Wild grapevine populations maintain considerable genetic polymorphism  
110 and manifest wide variability (McGovern et al. 1996); the disappearance of these  
111 populations from their natural habitat would constitute an irreversible loss for the  
112 environment and for breeding programs (Grassi et al. 2006; Ocete et al. 2011b). To our  
113 knowledge, the effects of soil lime on the wild subspecies of *Vitis vinifera* have not  
114 been explored to date.

115 The main objective of this research was to analyze the physiological response of  
116 *Vitis vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* to soil lime. Specifically: (1) to analyze the growth response  
117 of plants in a range of soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents, from 0 to 60%; (2) to examine the effects of  
118 CaCO<sub>3</sub> on plant tissue concentrations of Fe, N, P and K; and (3) to ascertain the extent  
119 to which CaCO<sub>3</sub> levels determine plant performance, in terms of influence on the  
120 photosynthetic apparatus (PSII photochemistry), gas exchange characteristics and  
121 photosynthetic pigments.

122

123

124

125

126 **2. Materials and Methods**

127

128 *2.1. Plant material and calcium carbonate treatments*

129

130 Plant material was collected from the wild grapevine population "14/Rute/1",  
131 which is located on the banks of the Anzur river in Córdoba province, in the Subbetic  
132 mountain range of southern Spain (38° 02' 40''N – 05° 07' 17'' W). The soil at the site  
133 is a hypercalcic calcisol (FAO 1999), composed of 41% sand, 34% silt and 25% clay, of  
134 pH 7.6-8.1 and 62-67% calcium carbonate (Ocete et al. 2007).

135 Axillary buds were taken from individuals of *Vitis vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris*  
136 belonging to the population described above and washed with water and household  
137 detergent before gently rinsing with distilled water. The buds were then sterilized by  
138 immersion in absolute ethanol (1 m) immediately followed by immersion in a 20%  
139 solution of sodium hypochlorite (5% active chloride), with a few drops of Tween-20,  
140 for 20 m and finally rinsed three times with sterilized water (5 minutes per rinse). The  
141 buds were then placed individually into sterile test tubes (21 x 150 mm) with 8 ml of the  
142 nutritive medium described by Troncoso et al. (1990), modified to include 0.32 µM of  
143 BAP and 0.13 µM of NAA as growth regulators. The tubes were sealed with  
144 polypropylene caps and parafilm and placed in a culture chamber at 24 °C, with a light  
145 intensity of 30 µEm<sup>-2</sup>s<sup>-1</sup> and a photoperiod of 16 hours of light. Buds from the resulting  
146 plantlets were subcultured for 45 days in the same medium to obtain an extremely  
147 homogeneous group of plants. The resulting plants were adapted according to Cantos et  
148 al. (1993), transferred to individual plastic pots (diameter 11 cm) filled with perlite and  
149 placed in a glasshouse under minimum-maximum temperatures of 21-25°C, at 40-60%  
150 relative humidity and natural daylight (minimum and maximum light flux: 200 and

151 1000  $\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ , respectively). Pots were carefully irrigated with 20% Hoagland's  
152 solution (Hoagland and Arnon 1938), as required.

153         When the plantlets were around 20 cm in height, they were transferred to four  
154 different calcium carbonate soil treatments: 0, 20, 40 and 60%  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (fifteen replicate  
155 pots per treatment). The different soil treatments were prepared by mixing sterilized fine  
156 siliceous sand (Quality Chemicals, Ref. 7631-86-9) with finely divided  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (particle  
157 size  $< 5 \mu\text{m}$  in diameter) (Panreac Ref. 141212.0416) in the appropriate proportion. The  
158 fine, clay-sized fraction of  $\text{CaCO}_3$ , or active lime (Drouineau 1942), is able to generate  
159 and maintain high levels of  $\text{HCO}_3^-$  in the soil solution (Inskeep and Bloom 1986) and is  
160 therefore a reliable indicator to predict the development of lime-induced chlorosis  
161 (Tagliavini and Rombolà 2001).

162

## 163 2.2. *Growth*

164

165         From each treatment, three complete plants (roots and shoots) were harvested at  
166 the beginning, and the remaining twelve at the end of the experiment (i.e. following 30  
167 days of treatment). These plants were dried at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 48 h and then weighed.

168         Relative growth rate (RGR) of whole plants was calculated using the formula:

169

$$170 \text{ RGR} = (\ln \text{Bf} - \ln \text{Bi}) \cdot \text{D}^{-1} \quad (\text{g g}^{-1} \text{day}^{-1})$$

171

172         where Bf = final dry mass, Bi = initial dry mass (average of the three plants from each  
173 treatment dried at the beginning of the experiment) and D = duration of experiment  
174 (days).

175 Leaf area was determined from the projected area by scanning and digitalising  
176 the leaves (Epson V30, Seiko Epson Corp., Nagano, Japan), and using appropriate  
177 software (MideBMP v. 4.2.; Ordiales-Plaza 2000) for processing and analysis.

178

### 179 *2.3. Mineral analysis*

180

181 At the end of the experimental period, leaf and root samples were carefully  
182 washed with distilled water and then dried at 80°C for 48 h and ground. Samples of 0.5  
183 g each were then digested by wet oxidation with concentrated HNO<sub>3</sub>, under pressure in  
184 a microwave oven to obtain the extract. Concentrations of Fe, K, and P in the extracts  
185 were determined by optical spectroscopy inductively coupled plasma (ICP-OES) (ARL-  
186 Fison 3410, USA). Total N concentration was determined by Kjeldahl digestion using  
187 an elemental analyzer (Leco CHNS-932, Spain).

188

### 189 *2.4. Gas exchange*

190

191 Gas exchange measurements were taken from randomly selected, fully expanded  
192 leaves (n = 20, one measurement per plant plus eight extra measurements taken  
193 randomly), following 30 days of treatment, using an infrared gas analyzer in an open  
194 system (LI-6400, LI-COR Inc., Neb., USA). Net photosynthetic rate (A), intercellular  
195 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (C<sub>i</sub>) and stomatal conductance to CO<sub>2</sub> (G<sub>s</sub>) were determined at an  
196 ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 400 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> at 20 - 25°C, 50 ± 5% relative humidity  
197 and a photon flux density of 1600 μmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>. Values of the parameters A, C<sub>i</sub> and G<sub>s</sub>  
198 were calculated using the standard formulae of Von Caemmerer and Farquhar (1981).



199 *2.5. Chlorophyll fluorescence*

200

201 Chlorophyll fluorescence was measured in randomly selected, fully developed  
202 leaves ( $n = 20$ ) using a portable modulated fluorimeter (FMS-2, Hansatech Instruments  
203 Ltd., England), following 30 days of treatment. Light- and dark-adapted fluorescence  
204 parameters were measured at dawn (stable,  $50 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  ambient light) and midday  
205 ( $1600 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$ ) in order to investigate the effect of soil  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content on the  
206 sensitivity of plants to photoinhibition.

207 Plants were dark-adapted for 30 minutes, using purpose-designed leaf-clips.  
208 The minimal fluorescence level in the dark-adapted state ( $F_0$ ) was measured using a  
209 modulated pulse ( $<0.05 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for  $1.8 \mu\text{s}$ ) which was too small to induce  
210 significant physiological changes in the plant. The data recorded represented an average  
211 taken over a 1.6 second period. Maximal fluorescence in this state ( $F_m$ ) was measured  
212 after applying a saturating actinic light pulse of  $15,000 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for  $0.7\text{s}$ . The value  
213 of  $F_m$  was recorded as the highest average of two consecutive points. Values of variable  
214 fluorescence ( $F_v = F_m - F_0$ ) and maximum quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry  
215 ( $F_v/F_m$ ) were calculated from  $F_0$  and  $F_m$ . This ratio of variable to maximal fluorescence  
216 is related to the potential photochemical efficiency of PSII, and dark-adapted values of  
217  $F_v/F_m$  can be used to quantify photoinhibition (Krivosheeva et al. 1996).

218 The same leaf section of each plant was used to measure light-adapted  
219 parameters. Steady state fluorescence yield ( $F_s$ ) was recorded following adaptation of  
220 the plants to ambient light conditions for 30 minutes. A saturating actinic light pulse of  
221  $15,000 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  for  $0.7 \text{s}$  was then used to produce the maximum fluorescence yield  
222 ( $F_m'$ ) by temporarily inhibiting PSII photochemistry.

223 Using fluorescence parameters determined in both light- and dark-adapted states,

224 the following were calculated: quantum efficiency of PSII ( $\Phi_{\text{PSII}} = (F_m' - F_s) / F_m'$ ),  
225 which measures the proportion of light absorbed by the chlorophyll associated with PSII  
226 that is used in photochemistry (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000); and non-photochemical  
227 quenching ( $\text{NPQ} = (F_m - F_m') / F_m'$ ), which is linearly related to heat dissipation  
228 (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000).

229

## 230 *2.6. Photosynthetic pigments*

231

232 At the end of the experimental period, photosynthetic pigments were extracted  
233 from fully expanded leaves of plants grown under each treatment, using 0.05 g of fresh  
234 plant material in 10 ml of 80% aqueous acetone ( $n = 12$ ). After filtering, 1 ml of the  
235 suspension was diluted with a further 2 ml of acetone, and chlorophyll a (Chl *a*),  
236 chlorophyll b (Chl *b*) and carotenoid (Cx+c) contents were determined with a Hitachi  
237 U-2001 spectrophotometer (Hitachi Ltd, Japan), using three wavelengths (663.2, 646.8  
238 and 470.0 nm). Pigment concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  fwt) were calculated following the  
239 method of Lichtenthaler (1987).

240

## 241 *2.7. Statistical analysis*

242

243 Statistical analysis was carried out using Statistica v. 6.0 (Statsoft Inc.). Pearson  
244 coefficients were calculated to assess the correlation between different variables. Data  
245 were analyzed using one- and two-way analyses of variance (*F*-test). Data were tested  
246 for normality with the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and for homogeneity of variance with  
247 the Brown-Forsythe test. Tukey tests were applied to significant test results for  
248 identification of important contrasts. Measured differences between fluorescence at  
249 dawn and midday were compared using the Student test (*t*-test).

## 250 **3. Results**

251

### 252 *3.1. Growth*

253 All the plants survived up to the 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment, while fifty percent of the  
254 plants treated with 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub> did not survive until the end of the study period.

255 Relative growth rate (RGR) showed a significant reduction with increasing  
256 external CaCO<sub>3</sub> content ( $r = -0.71$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 1A). Similarly, total leaf area was  
257 inversely correlated with external CaCO<sub>3</sub> ( $r = -0.75$ ,  $p < 0.0005$ ; Fig. 1B). RGR and total  
258 leaf area maintained similar values in plants exposed to CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents of 20 and 40%  
259 (ANOVA, Tukey test,  $p > 0.05$ ). Relative to the control, the reduction in both the 20 and  
260 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatments was around 28% for RGR, and 39% for total leaf area. Plants  
261 grown in the 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment presented marked chlorosis from around the second  
262 week of the experiment.

263

### 264 *3.2. Chemical analysis of plant samples*

265 Leaf Fe concentration showed little variation until the 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment,  
266 and then decreased, reaching its lowest value at 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub>. There were no significant  
267 differences in root Fe up to 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> (ANOVA, Tukey test,  $p > 0.05$ ), but a sharp  
268 increase was recorded at 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub> (Fig. 2A). Leaf K showed similar values in the  
269 control and 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment (ANOVA, Tukey test,  $p > 0.05$ ) but decreased under  
270 exposure to 40 and 60% external CaCO<sub>3</sub>. Root K showed no clear trend in relation to  
271 CaCO<sub>3</sub> content (Fig. 2B).

272 Tissue N concentrations were similar in the roots and in the leaves (two-way  
273 ANOVA,  $p > 0.05$ ). Leaf N slightly decreased on exposure to 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, but showed  
274 no clear response to further increases in external CaCO<sub>3</sub> content; root N showed a

275 similar trend, with the decrease found at 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub> sharper than that recorded in  
276 leaves (Fig. 2C). In contrast, leaf P concentration did not show a clear relationship with  
277 increasing lime content, with a marked increase occurring at 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, whereas root  
278 P showed a slightly increasing trend with increased soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> content (Fig. 2D).

279

### 280 3.3. Gas exchange

281 Net photosynthesis rate (A) decreased significantly with increasing external  
282 CaCO<sub>3</sub> level ( $r = -0.87$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 3A), with the most drastic decline occurring  
283 from 40 to 60% soil CaCO<sub>3</sub>. A was directly correlated with RGR ( $r = 0.65$ ,  $p < 0.0005$ ).  
284 Stomatal conductance (Gs) showed a similar trend to A (Fig. 3B). In contrast,  
285 intercellular CO<sub>2</sub> concentration (Ci) showed a slight increase up to 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, and  
286 then increased markedly at the highest external CaCO<sub>3</sub> content (Fig. 3C).

287

### 288 3.4. Chlorophyll fluorescence

289 Maximum quantum efficiency of PSII ( $F_v/F_m$ ), measured at both dawn and  
290 midday, decreased slightly with increasing soil lime content up to the 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
291 treatment, with a sharp decline observed at the highest lime content, reaching  
292 significantly lower values than those of the control (ANOVA, Tukey test,  $p < 0.005$ , in  
293 both cases). Values of  $F_v/F_m$  measured at dawn remained at around 0.80 up to the soil  
294 lime content of 40% but declined substantially on exposure to the highest CaCO<sub>3</sub> level,  
295 reaching values of around 0.50. These reductions were mainly the result of higher  $F_0$   
296 values (data not presented). Values of  $F_v/F_m$  were always lower at midday than at dawn  
297 ( $t$ -test,  $p < 0.05$ ; Fig. 4A).

298 Quantum efficiency of PSII ( $\Phi$ PSII), measured at dawn, showed a similar  
299 pattern to that of  $F_v/F_m$ , reaching minimum values in the 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment; midday

300 values decreased significantly on exposure to 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub> (ANOVA, Tukey test,  $p <$   
301 0.005) but showed no response to further increases in external CaCO<sub>3</sub> content.  $\Phi$ PSII  
302 values were significantly lower at midday than at dawn ( $t$ -test,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Fig. 4B).  
303 Finally, non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) did not show a clear relationship with  
304 CaCO<sub>3</sub> content at dawn, whereas it increased significantly on exposure to 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
305 at midday (Fig. 4C).

306

### 307 *3.5. Photosynthetic pigments*

308 Pigment concentrations decreased significantly with the increase in CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
309 content (Chl *a*:  $r = -0.81$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Chl *b*:  $r = -0.77$ ,  $p < 0.0001$ ; Cx+c:  $r = -0.81$ ,  $p <$   
310 0.0001); however, pigment concentrations in control plants and those exposed to 20%  
311 CaCO<sub>3</sub> showed no significant differences (ANOVA, Tukey test,  $p > 0.05$ , in all cases;  
312 Fig. 5).

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325 **4. Discussion**

326

327 To rigorously evaluate the degree of lime tolerance/susceptibility of *Vitis*  
328 *vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris*, the physiological response of the experimental plants was  
329 compared primarily with the existing information about lime-tolerant rootstocks and  
330 species of the genus *Vitis* that are used worldwide by viticulturists on calcareous soils.  
331 *V. vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* proved to be highly tolerant to high lime conditions, since all  
332 experimental plants survived exposure to levels of up to 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub>. In our study, the  
333 reduction in relative growth rate of plants exposed to 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub> was around 28%.  
334 Bavaresco et al. (1995) reported that shoot biomass reduction of the lime-tolerant  
335 species *V. cinerea* and *V. berlandieri* was around 41% and 35%, respectively, under  
336 exposure to 19% active lime. Moreover, calcareous soil (19.3% active lime) reduced the  
337 total dry mass of *V. vinifera* L. cv. "Pinot blanc" grafted on the lime-tolerant "41B"  
338 rootstock to around 44% of the control value (Bavaresco et al. 2003). To our  
339 knowledge, there are no studies to date evaluating the effects of lime soil contents above  
340 20% in the genus *Vitis*. In this regard, it must be emphasized that relative growth rate  
341 and total leaf area in our study maintained similar values in the 20% and 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
342 treatments, thus reinforcing the argument regarding the tolerance of the study species.

343 Evaluation of iron-efficiency in grapes is of great importance in order to  
344 successfully select lime-tolerant plant material, since the bioavailability of iron for plant  
345 requirement is strongly impaired under calcareous soil conditions (Bert et al. 2013;  
346 Zancan et al. 2008). While our results reflect the fact that Fe uptake and translocation  
347 mechanisms were disrupted at 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, leaf and root Fe concentrations were  
348 maintained up to the 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment, indicating that *V. vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* is  
349 capable of maintaining Fe uptake by the roots and translocation to leaves, even under

350 extremely high lime conditions. Bavaresco et al. (2003) reported that *V. vinifera* L. cv.  
351 "Pinot blanc" grafted on the lime-tolerant "41B" rootstock experienced a reduction in  
352 leaf Fe concentration of around 23% when growing on a calcareous soil (19.3% active  
353 lime). It is well known that lime-tolerant grapevine rootstocks feature certain specific  
354 physiological mechanisms to overcome lime-induced iron chlorosis, including improved  
355 root Fe uptake and reducing capacity (Nikolic et al. 2000).

356 Besides the previously described disturbance of Fe metabolism, lime-stress is  
357 often associated with other nutritional disorders. For example, calcareous soil  
358 conditions (17% active lime) affect the P and K plant tissue concentrations of  
359 *V. vinifera* L. cv Aurora grafted on the medium lime-tolerant rootstock "S.O.4"  
360 (Bavaresco and Poni 2003). Similarly, Bavaresco et al. (2003) reported a reduction in  
361 leaf P and K in *V. vinifera* grafted on the lime-tolerant "41B" rootstock, when grown on  
362 a calcareous soil, as well as an important decrease in the total N content of the plants (of  
363 around 50% relative to the non-calcareous control). In our study, plants exposed to 20%  
364 soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> presented no reduction in P and K concentrations, and leaf N content only  
365 showed a slight reduction of around 12% relative to the control. Our results indicate that  
366 calcareous soil conditions do not severely alter the nutritional status of the study  
367 species.

368 The study of growth and mineral status may not be sufficient to evaluate the  
369 degree of lime tolerance/susceptibility of a certain species. Evaluation of the  
370 photosynthetic performance of *V. vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* could therefore provide  
371 valuable information by which to rank this species according to its tolerance to high  
372 lime conditions. In our study, increasing external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents reduced net  
373 photosynthesis rate (A) and stomatal conductance (Gs). More specifically, A was  
374 reduced by 25% and 40% relative to the control in plants exposed to 20% and 40%

375 CaCO<sub>3</sub>, respectively. Bavaresco et al. (2006) reported an approximate 50% reduction in  
376 A in three-year-old *V. vinifera* L. cv “Pinot Blanc” vines grafted on the lime-susceptible  
377 rootstock "3309 C", under exposure to 16% lime, whereas the reduction in A found in  
378 *V. vinifera* grafted on the medium lime-tolerant rootstock "S.O.4" growing in a  
379 calcareous soil (17% active lime) was around 20%, relative to the control (Bavaresco  
380 and Poni 2003). Our data reflect that there was no direct relationship between the effects  
381 on A and Gs, since there was no reduction in C<sub>i</sub>. Covarrubias and Rombolà (2013)  
382 recorded an important decrease in Phosphoenolpyruvate carboxylase (PEPC) activity in  
383 the Fe-chlorosis tolerant "140 Ruggeri" grapevine rootstock, caused by the presence of  
384 bicarbonate in the nutrient solution. In this regard, the impairment of photosynthetic  
385 function detected in our study could be partly related to a decrease in PEPC activity.  
386 Additionally, the reported decline of Gs in the absence of a decrease in C<sub>i</sub> indicates that  
387 the reduction in photosynthetic activity of *V. vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* could be partially  
388 due to the effects of high external lime conditions on the photosynthetic apparatus.

389 In our study, the maximum quantum efficiency of PSII ( $F_v/F_m$ ) and the quantum  
390 efficiency of PSII ( $\Phi_{PSII}$ ) were affected by external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents from 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub>  
391 upwards, suggesting that lime-stress enhances the photoinhibition induced by light  
392 stress. The midday values of  $F_v/F_m$  in plants exposed to 20% and 40% CaCO<sub>3</sub> were  
393 considerably recovered at dawn; however, in plants exposed to 60% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, dawn  
394  $F_v/F_m$  values remained considerably lower than the control parameters for unstressed  
395 plants (around 0.8; Björkman and Demmig 1987), indicating the occurrence of chronic  
396 photoinhibition or photodamage (Werner et al. 2002). The increase in NPQ at the  
397 highest external CaCO<sub>3</sub> treatment indicates that the plants dissipated light as heat,  
398 thereby protecting the leaf from light-induced damage (Maxwell and Johnson, 2000).  
399 Bavaresco et al. (2006) recorded a substantial increase in  $F_0$  level and significantly



400 lower values of  $F_v/F_m$  in *V. vinifera* plants grafted onto the rootstock "3309 C", when  
401 grown in a calcareous soil. Similarly, our data reflected that the decline in  $F_v/F_m$  with  
402 increasing external  $\text{CaCO}_3$  content was caused by higher values of  $F_0$ . This increase can  
403 be attributed to a reduction in the energy transfer from the PSII antennae to the reaction  
404 centres (Maxwell and Johnson 2000), possibly due to the recorded decrease in  
405 chlorophyll concentration with increasing external lime. The notable decrease in the  
406 concentration of chlorophyll recorded at 60%  $\text{CaCO}_3$  may be partially related with the  
407 lower availability of certain nutrients involved in chlorophyll synthesis recorded in this  
408 treatment (i.e. Fe and N; see Lawlor, 2002).

409         Despite these effects of high lime content on plant performance, it must be  
410 emphasized that the photosynthesis rate and pigment concentration of plants grown at  
411 20%  $\text{CaCO}_3$  did not show a drastic reduction in comparison to the control treatment  
412 (these plants maintained A values around  $6 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  and similar chlorophyll content  
413 to that of the control plants), and that dawn  $F_v/F_m$  values in these plants remained  
414 around the optimal values for unstressed plants. Moreover, it should be noted that, while  
415 our results revealed more negative effects on the photosynthetic function in plants  
416 exposed to 40%  $\text{CaCO}_3$  than in those exposed to 20%  $\text{CaCO}_3$  (i.e., lower photosynthetic  
417 rates and pigment concentrations), this did not lead to a more marked reduction in plant  
418 growth. Taken together, these results seem to indicate that plants exposed to 20% and  
419 40% external  $\text{CaCO}_3$  experienced similar overall effects on photosynthetic function over  
420 most of the experimental period.

421

422

423

424

## 425 **5. Conclusions**

426

427         This is the first study to analyze the physiological effects of soil CaCO<sub>3</sub> content  
428 above 20% on the genus *Vitis*. Our study revealed that the highest CaCO<sub>3</sub> level tested  
429 (60%) induced nutrient imbalances and significantly inhibited photosynthetic function,  
430 which caused an overall reduction in carbon gain and consequently, high mortality and a  
431 drastic reduction in the growth of the surviving plants. Interestingly, at 20% external  
432 CaCO<sub>3</sub>, the concentrations of Fe, N, P and K in plant tissues were virtually unaffected;  
433 moreover, plant growth and photosynthetic function were also not drastically affected in  
434 this treatment. Following comparison of these results with the existing literature on  
435 several lime tolerant grapevine varieties, and considering that the overall physiological  
436 response of plants grown at 40% external CaCO<sub>3</sub> did not differ considerably from that  
437 of plants exposed to 20% CaCO<sub>3</sub>, we can affirm that the study species can be  
438 considered to be highly tolerant to lime stress.

439         Recent success in grapevine genetic research raises hope for an improved and  
440 efficient use of the genetic resources of wild species within breeding programs, offering  
441 new possibilities for introducing resistant characteristics from these species into the  
442 gene pool of high-quality grapevines in a very efficient way as well as in a manageable  
443 time frame (Eibach et al. 2010; Bert et al. 2013). Our study indicates that plants of *Vitis*  
444 *vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris* from the "14/Rute/1" population could provide a valuable source  
445 of genetic diversity for improving grapevine tolerance to calcareous soil conditions, a  
446 common challenge for viticulture at present.

447

448

449

450 **Acknowledgements**

451

452           We thank the Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas (CSIC) for  
453 financial support (project 201140E122) and the Seville University Glasshouse General  
454 Service for their collaboration. We are also grateful to María del Mar Parra for technical  
455 assistance and to Mr. K. MacMillan for revision of the English version of the  
456 manuscript.

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475 **References**

476

477 Abadia J, Vázquez S, Rellan-Alvarez R, El-Jendoubi H, Abadia A, Alvarez-Fernández  
478 A, Lopez-Millán AF (2011) Towards a knowledge-based correction of iron  
479 chlorosis. *Plant Physiol Biochem* 49:471-482.

480 Álvarez-Fernández A, Melgar JC, Abadía J, Abadía A (2011) Effects of moderate and  
481 severe iron deficiency chlorosis on fruit yield , appearance and composition in  
482 pear (*Pyrus communis* L.) and peach (*Prunus persica* (L.) Batsch). *Environ Exp*  
483 *Bot* 71:280-286.

484 Bavaresco L, Fregoni M, Perino A (1994) Physiological aspects of lime-induced  
485 chlorosis in some *Vitis* species. I. Pot trial on calcareous soil. *Vitis* 33:123-126.

486 Bavaresco L, Fregoni M, Perino A (1995) Physiological aspects of lime-induced  
487 chlorosis in some *Vitis* species. II. Genotype response to stress conditions. *Vitis*  
488 34:233-234.

489 Bavaresco L, Poni S (2003) Effect of calcareous soil on photosynthesis rate, mineral  
490 nutrition, and source-sink ratio of table grape. *J Plant Nutr* 10:2123-2135.

491 Bavaresco L, Giachino E, Pezzutto S, (2003) Grapevine rootstock effects on lime-  
492 induced chlorosis, nutrient uptake, and source-sink relationships. *J Plant Nutr*  
493 26:1451-1465.

494 Bavaresco L, Presutto P, Civardi S (2005) VR 043-43: A Lime susceptible rootstock.  
495 *Am J Enol Vitic* 56:2.

496 Bavaresco L, Bertamini M, Iacono F (2006) Lime-induced chlorosis and physiological  
497 responses in grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L. cv. Pinot blanc) leaves. *Vitis* 45:45-46.

498 Bert P-F, Bordenave L, Donnart M, Hévin C, Ollat N, Decroocq S (2013) Mapping  
499 genetic loci for tolerance to lime-induced iron deficiency chlorosis in grapevine  
500 rootstocks (*Vitis* sp.). Theor Appl Genet 126:451-473.

501 Björkman O, Demmig B (1987) Photon yield of O<sub>2</sub> evolution and chlorophyll  
502 fluorescence characteristics at 77 K among vascular plants of diverse origins.  
503 Planta 170:489-504.

504 Brancadoro L, Rabotti G, Scienza A, Zocchi G (1995) Mechanisms of Fe-efficiency in  
505 roots of *Vitis* spp. in response to iron deficiency stress. Plant Soil 171:229-234.

506 Cantos M, Liñán J, Pérez-Camacho F, Troncoso A (1993) Obtención de plantas  
507 selectas de vid, variedad Zalema, libres de la virosis “entrenado corto”.  
508 Actas de Horticultura Vol. II:705-709.

509 Covarrubias JI, Rombolà AD (2013) Physiological and biochemical responses of the  
510 iron chlorosis tolerant grapevine rootstock 140 Ruggeri to iron deficiency and  
511 bicarbonate. Plant Soil 370:305-315.

512 Drouineau G (1942) Dosage rapide du calcaire actif de sols. Ann Agron 12:441.

513 FAO, SICS, ISRIC (1999) Base Referencial Mundial del Recurso Suelo. Informes sobre  
514 recursos mundiales de suelo, n.º 84. Ed. Fao, Roma.

515 Eibach R, Töpfer R, Hausmann L (2010) Use of genetic diversity for grapevine  
516 resistance breeding. Mitteilungen Klosterneuburg 60:332-337.

517 Grassi F, Labra M, Imazio S, Ocete Rubio R, Failla O, Scienza A, Sala F (2006)  
518 Phylogeographical structure and conservation genetics of wild grapevine.  
519 Conserv Genet 7:837-845.

520 Hoagland D, Arnon DI (1938) The water culture method for growing plants without  
521 soil. Calif AES Bull 347:1-39.

522 Inskip WP, Bloom PR (1986) Calcium carbonate supersaturation in soil solutions of  
523 Calciaquolls. *Soil Sci Soc Am J* 50:1431-1437.

524 Krivosheeva A, Tao DL, Ottander C, Wingsle G, Dube SL, Öquist G (1996) Cold  
525 acclimated and photoinhibition in Scots pine. *Planta* 200:296-305.

526 Lawlor DW (2002) Carbon and nitrogen assimilation in relation to yield: mechanisms  
527 are the key to understanding production systems. *J Exp Bot* 53: 773-787.

528 Lichtenthaler HK (1987) Chlorophylls and carotenoids: pigments of photosynthetic  
529 biomembranes. *Method Enzymol* 148:350-382.

530 Maggioni A (1980) Iron absorption by excised grapevine roots. *Vitis* 19:105-112.

531 Maxwell K, Johnson GN (2000) Chlorophyll fluorescence- a practical guide. *J Exp Bot*  
532 51:659-668.

533 McGovern PE, Fleming SJ, Katz SH (1996) The origins and ancient history of wine.  
534 Overseas Publishers Association, Amsterdam.

535 Negrul AM (1938) Evolution of cultivated forms of grapes. *CR Acad USSR N.S.* 18:  
536 585-588.

537 Nikolic M, Kastori R (2000) Effect of bicarbonate and Fe supply on Fe nutrition of  
538 grapevine. *J Plant Nutr* 11:1619-1627.

539 Nikolic M, Römheld V, Merkt N (2000) Effect of bicarbonate on uptake and  
540 translocation of <sup>59</sup>Fe in two grapevine rootstocks differing in their resistance to  
541 Fe deficiency chlorosis. *Vitis* 39:145-149.

542 Ocete R, Cantos M, López MA, Gallardo A, Pérez MA, Troncoso A, Lara M, Failla O,  
543 Ferragut FJ, Liñán J (2007) Caracterización y conservación del recurso  
544 fitogenético vid silvestre en Andalucía. Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Junta de  
545 Andalucía, Sevilla.

- 546 Ocete R, Arroyo-Garcia R, Morales ML, Cantos M, Gallardo A, Pérez MA, Gómez  
547 I, López MA (2011a) Characterization of *Vitis vinifera* L. subspecies *sylvestris*  
548 (Gmelin) Hegi in the Ebro river Basin (Spain). *Vitis* 50:11-16.
- 549 Ocete R, Muñoz G, Lopez MA, Pérez MA, Benito A, Cabello F, Valle JM (2011b)  
550 Environmental, sanitary and ampelographic characterization of wild grapevine  
551 in western pyrenées (Spain, France). *J Int Sci Vigne Vin* 45:1-12.
- 552 Ocete Rubio R, Ocete Rubio E, Ocete Pérez C, Ángeles Pérez Izquierdo M, Rustioni  
553 L, Failla O, Chipashvili R, Maghradze D (2012) Ecological and sanitary  
554 characteristics of the Eurasian wild grapevine (*Vitis vinifera* L. ssp. *sylvestris*  
555 (Gmelin) Hegi in Georgia (Caucasian region). *Plant Genetic Resources:*  
556 *Characterisation and Utilisation* 10:155-162.
- 557 Ordiales-Plaza R (2000) 'Midebmp, V.4.2.'. Estación Experimental de Zonas Áridas  
558 CSIC, Almería.
- 559 Tagliavini M, Rombolà AD (2001) Iron deficiency and chlorosis in orchard and  
560 vineyard ecosystems. *Eur J Agron* 15:71-92.
- 561 Tangolar SG, Ünlü G, Tangolar S, Daşgan Y, Yilmaz N (2008) Use of in vitro method to  
562 evaluate some grapevine varieties for tolerance and susceptibility to sodium  
563 bicarbonate-induced chlorosis. *In Vitro Cell Dev Biol-Plant* 44:233-237.
- 564 Troncoso A, Villegas A, Mazuelos C, Cantos M (1990) Growth and mineral composition  
565 of grape-vine rootstock cultured "in vitro" with different levels of ammonium nitrate.  
566 *Plant Nutrition, physiology and applications*. Kluwer Academic Publishers, The  
567 Netherlands.
- 568 Varanini Z, Maggioni A (1982) Iron reduction and uptake by grapevine roots. *J Plant*  
569 *Nutr* 5:521-529.
- 570 Von Caemmerer S, Farquhar GD (1981) Some relationships between the biochemistry

571 of photosynthesis and the gas exchange of leaves. *Planta* 153:377-387.

572 Werner C, Correia O, Beyschlag W (2002) Characteristic patterns of chronic and  
573 dynamic photoinhibition of different functional groups in a Mediterranean  
574 ecosystem. *Funct Plant Biol* 29:999-1011.

575 Zancan S, Sugliaa I, Roccab LN, Ghisia R (2008) Effects of UV-B radiation on  
576 antioxidant parameters of iron-deficient barley plants. *Environ Exp Bot* 63:71-79.

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592



593 **Fig 1.** Relative growth rate (A) and total leaf area (B) of *Vitis vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris*, in  
594 response to treatment with a range of external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents for 30 days. Values  
595 represent the mean ± SE, n = 12.

596

597 **Fig 2.** Total iron (A), potassium (B), nitrogen (C) and phosphorus (D) concentrations in  
598 the leaves (○) and roots (●) of *Vitis vinifera* ssp. *sylvestris*, in response to treatment with  
599 a range of external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents for 30 days. Values represent the mean ± SE, n = 3.

600

601 **Fig 3.** Net photosynthetic rate, A (A), stomatal conductance, G<sub>s</sub> (B), and intercellular  
602 CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, C<sub>i</sub> (C) in randomly selected, fully developed leaves of *Vitis vinifera*  
603 ssp. *sylvestris*, in response to treatment with a range of external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents for 30  
604 days. Values represent the mean ± SE, n = 20.

605

606 **Fig 4.** Maximum quantum efficiency of PSII photochemistry, F<sub>v</sub>/F<sub>m</sub> (A), quantum  
607 efficiency of PSII, ΦPSII (B), and non-photochemical quenching, NPQ (C), at midday  
608 (●) and at dawn (○) in randomly selected, fully developed leaves of *Vitis vinifera* ssp.  
609 *sylvestris*, in response to treatment with a range of external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents for 30 days.  
610 Values represent the mean ± SE, n = 20.

611

612 **Fig 5.** Chlorophyll *a* (chl *a*) (A), Chlorophyll *b* (chl *b*) (B), and carotenoid (C<sub>x+c</sub>) (C)  
613 concentrations in randomly selected, fully developed leaves of *Vitis vinifera* ssp.  
614 *sylvestris*, in response to treatment with a range of external CaCO<sub>3</sub> contents for 30 days.  
615 Values represent the mean ± SE, n = 12.

616

617