1 Niche divergence and limits to expansion in the high polyploid Dianthus broteri 2 complex 3 4 Javier López-Jurado\*, Enrique Mateos-Naranjo, Francisco Balao 5 6 Departamento de Biología Vegetal y Ecología, Facultad de Biología, Universidad de 7 Sevilla, Apdo. 1095, E-41080 Sevilla, Spain 8 9 \*Corresponding author. 10 E-mail address: javlopez@us.es 11 **Tel.:** +34 95 4552763 12 13 **ORCID** 14 Javier López-Jurado: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6354-0800">https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6354-0800</a> Enrique Mateos-Naranjo: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6276-5664 15 16 Francisco Balao: <a href="https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2104-3846">https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2104-3846</a> (Twitter: @fbalao) 17 18 **Total word count:** 4955 19 **Introduction word count:** 1028 20 Materials and Methods word count: 1161 21 **Results word count: 1311** 22 **Discussion word count: 1367** 23 **Acknowledgements word count: 88** 24 **Number of figures:** 4 (all in colour) 25 **Number of tables:** 3 26 **Number of Supporting Information:** 3 figures, 3 tables and 1 methods

# Summary

27

- Niche evolution in plant polyploids remains controversial and evidence for alternative patterns has been reported. Using the autopolyploid *Dianthus broteri* complex (2x, 4x, 6x and 12x) as a model, we aimed to integrate three scenarios, competitive exclusion, recurrent origins of cytotypes and niche filling, into a single framework of polyploid niche evolution. We hypothesized that high polyploids would tend to evolve towards extreme niches when low ploidy cytotypes have nearly filled the niche space.
- We used several ecoinformatics and phylogenetic comparative analyses to quantify differences in the ecological niche of each cytotype and to evaluate alternative models of niche evolution.
- Each cytotype in this complex occupied a distinct ecological niche. The distributions were mainly constrained by soil characteristics, temperature and drought stress imposed by the Mediterranean climate. Tetraploids had the highest niche breadth and overlap due to their multiple origins, while the higher ploidy cytotypes were found in different, restricted, non-overlapping niches. Niche evolution analyses suggested a scenario with one niche optimum for each ploidy, including the two independent tetraploid lineages.
- Our results suggest that the fate of nascent polyploids could not be predicted without accounting for phylogenetic relatedness, recurrent origins or the niche occupied by ancestors.

# 47 Key words

- 48 Mediterranean climate, multiple origins, niche filling, phylogenetic niche conservatism,
- 49 polyploidy

50

51

52

53

#### Introduction

55

56 Polyploidization (i.e. the process of acquiring more than two complete sets of 57 chromosomes) is one of the major driving forces in plant evolution (Wendel, 2000; Otto, 58 2007; Soltis et al., 2015). Neopolyploid establishment may be constrained by a 59 frequency-dependent mating disadvantage compared to their diploid parents, called 60 'minority cytotype exclusion' (MCE; Levin, 1975). For this reason, polyploids need to 61 outcompete their parents or ecologically differentiate from them ('niche escape'). The 62 genome duplication may drive phenotypic changes (Balao et al., 2011a; Laport et al., 63 2016; Rey et al., 2017), which can lead to shifts in environmental tolerances and so 64 promote increased competitive abilities or subsequent habitat displacement (i.e. niche 65 divergence with expansion or contraction; Manzaneda et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 66 2014; Visger et al., 2016).

67 Despite several decades of studies and recent attempts to unify theoretical 68 frameworks (Parisod & Broennimann, 2016), niche evolution in polyploids remains 69 controversial and evidence for several alternative patterns has been found (Glennon et al., 70 2014; Visser & Molofsky, 2015; Marchant et al., 2016). Niche expansion in 71 neopolyploids may be helped by the lack of selective constraints on duplicate genes and 72 other novel genetic changes that allow the evolution of new functions (Doyle et al., 2008; 73 Leitch & Leitch, 2008; Wendel, 2015). However, the opposite pattern of niche evolution, 74 niche contraction, has also been suggested (Theodoridis et al., 2013; Kirchheimer et al., 75 2016). On this latter scenario, polyploids would move to narrower and marginal niches 76 with specific ecological conditions, leading to habitat specialization and promoting new 77 habitat colonization (especially at the extreme edges of environmental gradients; Buggs 78 & Pannell, 2007; Boulangeat et al., 2012). Finally, the absence of ecological niche 79 differentiation between cytotypes could be explained by Phylogenetic Niche 80 Conservatism (PNC), the tendency for lineages to preserve ancestral niche-related traits 81 (Crisp & Cook, 2012), or short-range dispersal (Duchoslav et al., 2010) or small 82 differences in relative competitive abilities (Bulleri et al., 2016). One would expect PNC 83 to be more common in autopolyploids (polyploids formed by within-species genome 84 duplication) because of their higher genetic relatedness to their progenitors (Burns & 85 Strauss, 2011; Glennon et al., 2014), although this theory has scarcely been tested (but 86 see Arrigo et al., 2016).

An explanation for the divergence patterns could be that the niche evolution in a polyploid is ultimately limited by niche filling (Tanentzap et al., 2015), which may act at lineage level (based on its origin) more than merely at cytotype level. The first formed polyploids would fill the unoccupied niche space to avoid competition with their ancestor. In this initial step, nascent polyploids would have a high probability of niche expansion. This fact prevents subsequent higher cytotypes from access to such niches, so newcomers must diverge to find available niches for themselves. Eventually, niche expansion would be less likely since higher ploidies encounter limits to their ecological tolerances (Fig. 1; Araújo et al., 2013). Additionally, multiple origins of polyploids (i.e. recurrent formation) are the rule (Soltis & Soltis, 1999) and would cause an increased genetic, biochemical and physiological diversity, conferring the ability to colonize new environments or achieve a broader geographic range (Treier et al., 2009; McIntyre, 2012; Karunarathne et al., 2018). In this case, local adaptation within cytotype would also play an important role in its ecological differentiation (Maherali et al., 2009; Ramsey, 2011; McIntyre & Strauss, 2017). Therefore, patterns of niche evolution in polyploid complexes are likely to be dynamic and non-exclusive.

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119

A study of a large autopolyploid series, that avoids the effects of hybridization (typical of allopolyploids; Spoelhof et al., 2017), may provide insights into the different processes driving polyploid niche evolution. Such insights may be enhanced if we consider information on the phylogenetic relatedness among populations (i.e. the phylogenetic signal in environmental traits). Dianthus broteri (Caryophyllaceae) is an excellent system to investigate these underlying causes of niche evolution. This Iberian endemic complex presents the most extensive autopolyploid series for the genus, with 2x, 4x, 6x and 12x cytotypes that very rarely coexist at the same location. In fact, only one mixed-ploidy population with diploid individuals and a few triploids has been described in southwestern Portugal (Balao et al., 2009). While 6x and 12x cytotypes have independently evolved by single events, the tetraploids have been recurrently originated by two polyploidization events (Balao et al., 2010). Furthermore, the four cytotypes present differentiated geographic distributions (Balao et al., 2009). The diploids occur in two disjunct areas (south of Portugal and the mountainous southeast of Spain) whilst the 4x cytotype inhabits the broadest geographical range, with a southern lineage (4x<sub>s</sub>) distributed from the southwest of Portugal to the south of Spain, and an eastern lineage (4xe) that occurs in the east of Spain. In contrast, the higher ploidies (6x and 12x

cytotypes) inhabit restricted areas with alleged harsh Mediterranean climate conditions (extreme drought and temperatures) in the southeast and southwest of Spain, respectively. Phenotypic changes in floral and vegetative organs (Balao *et al.*, 2011a) may permit shifts in ecological tolerances and therefore in the ecological niche. Supporting this hypothesis, the dodecaploid cytotype (known as *D. inoxianus*), which is an endangered taxon with a highly specialized pollination (Balao *et al.*, 2007, 2011b; Herrera & Balao, 2015), shows an enhanced tolerance to extreme drought events (López-Jurado *et al.*, 2016).

In the present study, we used several ecoinformatics and phylogenetic comparative analyses (Methods S1) to shed light on the niche evolution underlying the rapid radiation by autopolyploidy in the extant cytotypes of *Dianthus broteri* complex (Balao *et al.*, 2009, 2010). We hypothesize that the higher cytotypes have adapted to more specialized environments whereas the lower cytotypes are distributed encompassing a wider environmental range. Additionally, the multiple origins of tetraploids would have contributed to the development of a broad niche (Fig. 1). In alternative scenarios, the high polyploids would just retain the niche of lower cytotypes, reflecting PNC, and the lineages recurrently originated would share the ecological niche conditions. Thus, we address the following specific questions: Is the current cytotype distribution a consequence of PNC or niche divergence? Are the higher polyploids occupying extreme niches because the lower ploidies have nearly filled the niche space? And have the multiple origins of the tetraploids influenced their current ecological niche locations?

#### **Materials and Methods**

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

- Occurrence data and cytotype distribution
- Locality information was collected from Global Biodiversity Information Facility (GBIF)
- using the package 'rgbif' (Chamberlain et al., 2017) in R software vers. 3.4.2 (R Core
- 144 Team, 2017). We restricted the dataset to accurately georeferenced locations with known
- herbarium vouchers. We added to this information a few additional localities from our
- personal observations and 29 populations whose ploidy was confirmed by flow cytometry
- 147 (Supporting Information Table S1). Duplicate occurrences were removed, and locally
- dense sampling was reduced by thinning the records to one per 1 km<sup>2</sup> grid cell size
- resulting in 150 localities in total. We assigned the ploidy for all GBIF records based on
- the clearly delimited distribution areas of the different cytotypes, which occurred as
- monocytotypic populations (Balao et al., 2009), and the morphological differences of the
- vouchers using an ad-hoc single-access key based on Balao et al. (2011a). For robustness,

- we also replicated all the analyses using a reduced dataset which only consisted of the 29
- 154 cytotyped populations (25 populations from Balao et al., 2009 and four new ones).

## 155 Environmental data

156 To obtain a detailed description of the environmental niche characteristics for the 157 locations of the D. broteri cytotypes, we used a large up-to-date and high-resolution set 158 of predictor layers from four different databases. We selected variables with a likely 159 relevance to the ecological and physiological conditions and constraints that determine 160 the niches of terrestrial plant species: (1) 19 bioclimatic variables from CHELSA database 161 (Karger et al., 2017) at ~1 km<sup>2</sup> resolution, (2) a selection of 11 climatic and 2 topographic 162 continuous variables from ENVIREM database (Title & Bemmels, 2018) at ~1 km<sup>2</sup> 163 resolution, (3) 7 relevant edaphic variables from SoilGrids database (Hengl et al., 2017) 164 at a resolution of 250 m<sup>2</sup> and (4) the altitude information from WorldClim (Fick & 165 Hijmans, 2017). We removed highly correlated environmental variables in the datasets 166 using the variance inflation factor (VIF) with a threshold of 10 (indicating collinearity). 167 We finally obtained for the complete dataset an equitable set of covariates from the four

sources used (6 bioclimatic, 5 environmental plus the 2 topographic and 6 edaphic

variables; see Fig. 2a). In the reduced dataset, the selected environmental variables were

similar with just two different variables (Table S2; Fig. S1).

171 Ecological Niche Modelling

168

169

170

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

We evaluated the potential geographic distribution for *Dianthus broteri* as a single species, for its four cytotypes separately, and we also considered the two independent tetraploid lineages using the MaxEnt (Phillips *et al.*, 2006) algorithm in the 'zoon' R package vers. 0.6 (Golding *et al.*, 2018). We extracted 10,000 random background points within the study area (i.e. Iberian Peninsula) for each model. We used the same general background area for all occurrence inputs to minimize their Relative Occurrence Areas (ROAs; Jiménez-Valverde et al., 2008) and to make model comparisons more reliable and easier to evaluate due to the expected subtle differences between the ROAs of the cytotypes (Lobo *et al.*, 2010). Model accuracy was assessed through the commonly employed k-fold cross-validation method (k = 10). For models with fewer than 25 occurrence records, we used the jackknife validation approach (Pearson *et al.*, 2007). For each model, the mean area under the receiver operating characteristic curve (AUC) was calculated with the 'SDMTools' R package (VanDerWal *et al.*, 2014) and tested against

a null distribution (from 99 replicates) to detect significant deviation from random expectation (Raes & ter Steege, 2007).

## Niche comparisons: breadth, overlap, equivalency and similarity tests

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

196

197

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

213

214

215

216

To compare the environmental niche of the different ploidies (including the 4x lineages), we calculated the kernel-smoothing densities of each occurrence data along environmental axes from a Principal Component Analysis (PCA-env; Broennimann et al., 2012). For the background, we extracted the environmental data from 10,000 spatially thinned random localities within a buffer of 150 km surrounding presence points. We estimated Levins' measure of niche breadth (Levins, 1968) for each cytotype using the 'ENMTools' package (Warren et al., 2010) in R. Furthermore, we estimated the ecological niche overlap between them and performed the niche equivalency test using the Schoener's D statistic (Schoener, 1968) in the 'ecospat' R package (Di Cola et al., 2017). Niche equivalency tests compared the observed overlap of D values to a null distribution using 100 replicates and an environmental grid resolution of  $500 \times 500$  pixels. In order to refine the niche comparisons, we also performed niche similarity tests to every pair of non-equivalent niches in both directions (Broennimann et al., 2012). We compared the observed overlap value between two occurrence groups to a null distribution of 100 overlap values of one of them and a randomly simulated niche in the available environmental range of the other niche. Finally, as a validation approach, we ran all niche comparison tests using the reduced dataset.

## Environmental and phylogenetic niche conservatism

We additionally investigated patterns of niche conservatism or divergence between cytotypes (encompassing again the tetraploid lineages) using a niche divergence test (McCormack *et al.*, 2010) based on the differences in PCA scores between them compared to the differences in scores for distinct 'background regions' for each cytotype. As all occurrences had the same background region, we simply applied pairwise Student's t tests to each score combination in the two main PCA axes. A significant value (P < 0.05) supported niche divergence, and the alternative indicated niche conservatism.

In addition, to investigate the effect of phylogeny on niche conservatism (i.e. PNC), we tested for phylogenetic signal on the PCA environmental axes as prerequisite for PNC using the Blomberg's K (Blomberg *et al.*, 2003) in the 'phytools' R package vers. 0.6-20 (Revell, 2012). The phylogenetic relatedness among 25 populations of the

reduced dataset was estimated from a bootstrapped phylogram based on previous amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP) data (see Balao et al., 2010, 2011a). Polytomies were resolved in random order using the *multi2di* function from the 'ape' package in R (Paradis et al., 2004). In case of significant phylogenetic signal, we compared the relative fit of different evolutionary models for each PCA axis individually (i.e. univariate models) and together (a multivariate model; Beaulieu et al., 2012). In a similar approach to the one used in Balao et al. (2011a), we compared a Brownian motion model of gradual drift (BM) against different Ornstein-Uhlenbeck models (OU) representing stasis or stabilizing selection (Butler & King, 2004). We specifically fitted an OU model with a single optima (OU1) and two multi-optima OU models: the first one with an optimum per ploidy (i.e. four optima in all; OU4), and the other with two optima for the tetraploids (two recurrent origins) and one optimum for the remaining cytotypes (i.e. five optima in all; OU5). Computations were performed with the 'mvMORPH' R package vers. 1.0.9 (Clavel et al., 2015). The models were compared by the weights of their Akaike information criterion values corrected by sample size (AICc). A better fit of an OU model was interpreted as a stronger evidence for PNC compared with the BM model (Losos, 2008; Kozak & Wiens, 2010).

#### Results

- 235 <u>Distribution along environmental gradients</u>
  - The environmental variation within and among ploidies in *D. broteri* was mainly represented in the first two PCA axes (Fig. 2b-d), explaining respectively 28.2% and 21.3% of the total variation in the environmental space. These PCA axes summarized two environmental gradients that constrained the cytotype distributions. The PC1 axis was related to seasonal environmental variables characteristic of the Mediterranean climate and to soil bulk density (*BLDFIE*) and available water capacity (*AWC*). The 12x cytotype occupied an extreme position in this axis (PC1; Fig. 2c), corresponding to localities with harsh dry summers characterized by low precipitation of warmest quarter (*BIO18*) and *AWC* in soils, and a high topographic wetness index (*topoWet*) and potential evapotranspiration (*PET*) in driest and warmest quarters. Furthermore, this cytotype was also associated to warm summers (high maximum temperature of warmest month, *BIO5*, and mean temperature of driest quarter, *BIO9*) and located in flat terrains (low terrain roughness index, *tri*) with high *BLDFIE* (predominantly sandy soils). The PC2 axis mirrored a general aridity gradient (in terms of annual environmental means and with

severe conditions of temperature and rainfall affecting the wettest period of the year). In this case, the axis mainly constrained the distribution of the 6x cytotype (Fig. 2d), showing the lowest values of annual precipitation (BIO12) and the metric of relative wetness and aridity (*climaticMoistureIndex*) and the highest ones of *topoWet* and *PET* in the wettest quarter (dry climate conditions). The warm climate conditions predominant in the niche of this cytotype were characterized by low isothermality (BIO3) and high BIO5 and mean temperature of wettest quarter (BIO8). Moreover, the hexaploids inhabit poor (low organic carbon content, ORCDRC), not sandy (low sand content, SNDPPT) and alkaline (high pH, PHIHOX) soils. In this environmental space, 2x and  $4x_{pool}$  ( $4x_e + 4x_s$ ) cytotypes were not clearly differentiated from each other. Whereas pooled tetraploid lineages encompass the complete range of the environmental conditions, diploids are located in rich, sandy, acid soils with more benign characteristics (the lowest position in the PC2 axis). However, the two tetraploid lineages (4x<sub>s</sub> and 4x<sub>e</sub>) were environmentally distant from each other. The conditions of the southern tetraploids  $(4x_s)$  resembled those of 2x and 12x cytotypes (suffering harsher summers with low values in both axes; Fig. 2b-d), whereas the eastern tetraploids (4x<sub>e</sub>) occur in the right extreme of the PC1 axis and near the 6x populations in the PC2 axis (Fig. 2b-d; milder summers, with non-sandy and watered soils, but several arid conditions throughout the year). These results were robust as similar ones were found using the reduced dataset (Fig. S1). In this last analysis, the two axes described the same environmental gradients but they maximized the environmental distance between cytotypes/lineages.

# 271 Environmental suitability and hotspots

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

The niche models for *D. broteri* complex and for each cytotype revealed considerable niche suitability in the southern and south-eastern Iberian Peninsula (Fig. 3). All cytotypes showed a restricted niche with suitability hotspots solely surrounding actual presence locations (i.e. potential niche is really close to realized niche). As expected, the tetraploid niche merged additively the southern and eastern tetraploid niches (Fig. S2). All these models, even for the  $4x_e$  and the 6x with only 20 and 21 occurrences, respectively, obtained high AUC values (> 0.95) which were significantly higher than random expectations (P < 0.01; Table 1). According to the estimates of the relative contribution of environmental variables to the *Dianthus broteri* complex model (Table 2), mean monthly *PET* of coldest quarter was the variable contributing the highest explanatory power (36.6%), followed by mean monthly *PET* of driest quarter (13.5%)

and mean monthly *PET* of wettest quarter (9.5%). For 2x, 4x<sub>pool</sub> and 6x cytotypes, mean monthly *PET* of coldest quarter was also an important predictor (Table 2) but different variables contributed to model each cytotype: *BIO18* in diploids and the two tetraploid lineages, mean monthly *PET* of warmest quarter in tetraploids and hexaploids, and *BIO9* in dodecaploids. These models were robust to sample size. Once again, models developed with the reduced dataset generated similar suitability maps but new regions of low suitability (< 0.3) appeared generally northward (Fig. S3). The explanatory power of the model predictors was mostly congruent (Table S2) but not all AUC values were significantly higher than those generated by null models (Table S1).

Extensive variation in the ecological niche breadth of cytotypes was detected (Table 1;

# Niche comparison between cytotypes

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

293

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

- Fig. 2c, d). The tetraploids showed the broadest distribution of suitable habitats, followed by diploids, hexaploids and, finally, dodecaploids, which had the narrowest distribution of suitability, about 25 times smaller than  $4x_{pool}$ . Even considering each tetraploid lineage ( $4x_s$  and  $4x_e$ ) independently, they had greater niche breadths than 6x and 12x (Table 1). In addition, the niche overlap between cytotypes correlated positively with the niche breadth ( $r^2 = 0.43$ ; P < 0.05; n = 12). Overall, the  $4x_{pool}$  cytotype presented the highest overlapping niche with all the remaining cytotypes, followed again by diploids,
- hexaploids and, finally, dodecaploids. As expected, 2x and  $4x_{pool}$  cytotypes, which had the broadest niches, showed the greatest overlap (D = 0.53; Table 3). This overlap
- increased when only the southern tetraploid lineage was considered (D = 0.65; Table 3). Moreover, the  $4x_e$  lineage largely overlapped with the 6x cytotype (D = 0.36; Table 3).
- However, it is notable that the two cytotypes with more distinct and narrower niches (6x
- and 12x) did not overlap at all (Table 3).

In general, the environmental niches of each cytotype/lineage were different (P < 0.05) for every pairwise combination in equivalency tests (Table 3), except for  $2x-4x_s$ . Whereas the comparison between 2x and  $4x_{pool}$  niches gave a significant similarity in both directions (P < 0.05), the remaining similarity tests revealed that niche differences were not due to the geographically available environmental conditions (i.e. the cytotypes are more divergent than expected based on their potential available ranges; Table 3). These patterns were mainly confirmed using the reduced dataset but with lower statistical significance (Table S3). The ecological niches of 2x and  $4x_{pool}$  were not only similar but also equivalent,  $4x_{pool}$  and 12x ones were similar and  $4x_e$ -6x and  $4x_s$ -12x showed

equivalency. All niche breadth and almost all overlap values were lower than in the complete dataset.

#### Environmental and phylogenetic niche conservatism

Accordingly, the divergence tests for the environmental gradients showed significant divergence of the 12x cytotype in the PC1 axis and the same pattern for the 6x cytotype in the PC2 axis (Table 3). Whereas 2x-12x and 6x-12x niche comparisons showed divergence in both axes, 2x and  $4x_{pool}$  niches appeared to be conserved (Table 3). Nevertheless, both southern and eastern 4x lineages diverged in the two main PCA axes compared to the rest of cytotypes and, interestingly, to each other. As an exception,  $2x-4x_s$  niche comparison showed conservatism in the PC2 due to their niche equivalency and high overlap. These results were consistent with those obtained using the reduced dataset. In the PC1, the 12x cytotype showed divergence except regarding the 6x and the  $4x_s$ . In the PC2, the 6x niche diverged significantly from the rest. The  $2x-4x_{pool}$  comparison presented again conservatism in both axes (Table S3). These analyses confirmed that  $4x_s$  and  $4x_s$  niches did not overlap and largely diverged from each other.

Finally, we found significant phylogenetic signal for the two axes ( $K \ge 1$ , P < 0.01) suggesting PNC along the environmental space (Fig. 4). The PC1 and PC2 variation better fitted the OU5 model (i.e. the one considering a scenario with five niche optima, corresponding to the four ploidies including the two independent origins of the tetraploids) with AICc weights > 0.80 (Fig. 4). Congruently, the OU5 was the best fitting model for the complete environmental space, with an AICc weight virtually of one (null for the rest of models), supporting not just PNC at population scale (i.e. within lineage) but also niche divergence between polyploid lineages including the two tetraploids.

## **Discussion**

## Ecological drivers of cytotype distributions

Overall, our niche models suggested that the distribution of *D. broteri* is constrained by environmental variables related to temperature and drought stresses (potential evapotranspiration in the driest and warmest quarters) imposed by the Mediterranean climate, even more pronounced under climate change predictions (Gasith & Resh, 1999; Giorgi & Lionello, 2008). The realized range of this complex (i.e. realized niche) was similar to the estimated potential habitat (i.e. fundamental niche), suggesting that the current range is constrained by ecological/physiological tolerances (Guisan & Thuiller,

348 2005; McGill et al., 2006) more than historical or dispersal limitations (Lobo et al., 2010; 349 Glennon et al., 2014). Additionally, edaphic properties played a key role driving D. 350 broteri distribution and lineage divergence. Soil texture (i.e. sand content) and pH were 351 the most relevant variables. Whereas pH is known as a key predictor for the occurrence 352 of plant species, since it affects the availability of nutrients and phytotoxic metals 353 (Wagner et al., 2017), soil texture mainly influences the water holding capacity and 354 therefore it is important in the adaptation to Mediterranean dry biomes (Saxton & Rawls, 355

2006; Padilla & Pugnaire, 2007).

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

# Autopolyploidy, niche evolution and competitive interactions

We observed consistent environmental gradients fostering niche evolution in D. broteri complex, and we identified two patterns of polyploid niche shifts within this series. Whereas we found evidence of niche expansion in tetraploids (related to diploids), the higher polyploids demonstrated a trend to occupy specialized niches in narrow and stressful habitats (i.e. niche novelty sensu Marchant et al., 2016). Diploid and tetraploid niches were similar but the tetraploid cytotype showed a more widespread range according to its wider niche breadth. Although a similarity test between these D. broteri cytotypes was previously performed by Glennon et al. (2014) and gave congruent results, it failed to find differences between niches and the overlap was remarkably higher (0.70 vs 0.53). Such differences could be due to the inclusion of topo-edaphic factors as well as other more meaningful climatic variables for Mediterranean plants (e.g. PETs, climatic moisture index or precipitation of warmest quarter; Detto et al., 2006; Dubuis et al., 2013).

However, the niche overlap pattern is clearly different when each 4x lineage was considered independently. We found niche equivalence and the highest overlap in the comparison 2x-4x<sub>s</sub> but different, non-overlapping and divergent niches between 2x and 4x<sub>e</sub>. The differences in genetic isolation and divergence of both tetraploid lineages from diploids (Balao et al., 2010) might help to explain this pattern. Nevertheless, diploids and tetraploids do not actually coexist (absence of mixed-cytotype populations) and therefore other ecological factors may have driven the geographic segregation. In this context, the disjunct distribution of diploids (Balao et al., 2009) might be due to competitive exclusion in arid localities by southern tetraploids (4x<sub>s</sub>), whose phenotypic changes (Balao et al., 2011a) could help colonize competitive environments as suggested for the southern Spain populations of Brachypodium distachyon tetraploids (Rey et al., 2017). Another nonexclusive explanation for this segregation pattern relies on a differentiation in the biotic niche (Wisz *et al.*, 2013). Divergence in pollinator spectra and/or visit frequency have been found in other polyploids (Kennedy *et al.*, 2006; Thompson & Merg, 2008; Husband *et al.*, 2016). In *D. broteri*, the reproductive biology of 2x, 4x and 6x cytotypes is unknown but the dodecaploids have showed an extremely specialized pollination (Balao *et al.*, 2011b). In addition, floral changes associated with ploidy in *D. broteri* (Balao *et al.*, 2011a) may influence pollinator preference and reproductive isolation (Segraves & Thompson, 1999).

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

The higher polyploids (6x and 12x cytotypes) have diverged towards environmental margins, and occur in specialized and mostly non-overlapping niches with regard to the other cytotypes. This niche divergence pattern is similar to that found in a broad survey within the tribe Potentilleae of the Rosaceae family, which included more than 100 species and six different ploidy levels (Brittingham et al., 2018). In D. broteri, 6x and 12x niches were characterized by the most extreme conditions (high temperatures and scarce water availability) of the Mediterranean climate. The hexaploid cytotype inhabits a semi-arid Mediterranean area in SE Spain notable for the absence of seasonality, with a low precipitation and high temperatures, and *PET*s limiting the wettest periods (López-Bermúdez, 1990). Furthermore, the 6x niche was also characterized by eroded, unfertile (i.e. low organic carbon content) and basic soils as expected in arid or semi-arid habitats (Barea et al., 2011). These features constrain plant growth and cause functional specialization and diversity (Rundel et al., 2016). Interestingly, hexaploids also share a portion of the described environmental niche with eastern tetraploids (mostly soils with high pH and harsh climatic conditions in the wettest quarter), which were probably involved in their origin by hybridization with southern diploids (Balao et al., 2010). The origin of the dodecaploids is unclear but, based on the molecular and genome size data, it seems that they have evolved largely independently of the other cytotypes (Balao et al., 2009; Balao et al., 2010). This 12x cytotype has acquired adaptations to allow it to survive the harsh summer (with low precipitations and high temperatures) in the Doñana National Park area (S Spain; Zunzunegui et al., 2005), where it is currently distributed. In addition, this area is characterized by sandy soils with high bulk density (i.e. paleodunes) which inherently have a low available water content (McNabb et al., 2001; Obia et al., 2016). These soil properties have major effects on plant growth (Maun, 1994; Place et al., 2008; Tracy et al., 2013).

# 414 Phylogenetic niche conservatism and recurrent polyploid origins

Evolutionary models for individual environmental axes and for the global environmental space indicated that divergent selection has driven niche evolution in polyploids; this supports the 'minority cytotype exclusion' (MCE) theory (Levin, 1975) and/or subsequent competitive interactions (Laport et al., 2013; Rey et al., 2017). In fact, the presence of triploid individuals in a low proportion within a diploid population of this polyploid complex probably reveals an unstable or intermediate autopolyploid evolutionary step (Husband, 2004). Moreover, our results highlight the importance of multiple origins in polyploid niche evolution. The enhanced ecological tolerances of tetraploids, encompassing the most diverse environmental conditions, could be partially explained by their two largely unrelated lineages (Balao et al., 2010). As a consequence, each 4x origin has colonized a different niche space, as confirmed by their completely different and non-overlapping distributions. In contrast, 6x and 12x cytotypes have evolved in distinct single events, and show notable genetic relatedness within cytotypes (Balao et al., 2010), which may have influenced their distributions and specialized ecological tolerances. It is also noteworthy that these high polyploids showed increased epigenetic marks (i.e. cytosine DNA methylation) but also higher epigenetic variability (Alonso et al., 2016), which could be crucial for adaptation and survival in extreme Mediterranean habitats (Mirouze & Paszkowski, 2011; Balao et al., 2018).

In the *D. broteri* complex, tetraploids are found in a broad habitat range because they were capable of exploiting new niches (i.e. ecological release with niche expansion) and, as a consequence, higher polyploids have had to adapt to more extreme, and necessarily smaller, ecological niches (i.e. niche contraction and specialization). Theodoridis *et al.* (2013) and Thompson *et al.* (2014) provided evidence for the ecological superiority of higher cytotypes in *Primula* sect. *Aleuritia* and *Chamerion angustifolium*, respectively. Furthermore, in *Galax urceolata* complex, tetraploids have experienced niche contraction and divergence with respect to the ancestral wide niche of diploids (Gaynor *et al.*, 2018). Contrariwise, in cases where low ploidy cytotypes were unable to expand to occupy their full potential niche (Lowry & Lester, 2006), and/or multiple polyploid origins occur, the higher levels could experience niche expansion, as in *Aster amellus* (Münzbergová *et al.*, 2013), *Claytonia perfoliata* (McIntyre, 2012), *Larrea tridentata* (Laport *et al.*, 2016) or *Senecio carniolicus* (Sonnleitner *et al.*, 2016). These are dynamic systems with frequent coexistence in mixed-ploidy populations (Kolář *et al.*,

- 447 2017), and where the apparent competitive superiority of the higher cytotypes may be
- enhanced by their recurrent polyploid formation and the alleged unfilled niches of lower
- 449 ploidies.

- To sum up, we proposed to unify the multiple origins of polyploids, competitive
- interactions and the niche filling theory into a single framework, which should be able to
- explain and predict any niche evolution pattern in polyploid complexes.

# Acknowledgements

- We thank Olivier Brönnimann, John McCormack, Niels Raes and Francisco Rodríguez-
- Sánchez for helpful comments during the design of the research and data analysis. We
- are grateful to Ovidiu Paun and Peter E. Gibbs for English revision on early versions of
- 457 the paper. To the anonymous referees for the invaluable comments on previous versions
- of the manuscript. This study was supported by a predoctoral grant to J. López-Jurado
- from the VPPI-US (Fifth Research Plan from the University of Seville) and by Áridos La
- 460 Melera S.L. (FIUS project 2234/0724).

# 461 **Author contributions**

- 462 F.B. and E.M.N. conceived the idea; J.L.J. and F.B. gathered the data, designed and
- performed the analyses; J.L.J. and F.B. drafted the text; all authors interpreted the results,
- provided corrections to manuscript drafts and discussed ideas within it.
- 465 References
- 466 Alonso C, Balao F, Bazaga P, Pérez R. 2016. Epigenetic contribution to successful
- 467 polyploidizations: variation in global cytosine methylation along an extensive ploidy
- series in *Dianthus broteri* (Caryophyllaceae). *New Phytologist* **212**: 571–576.
- 469 Araújo MB, Ferri-Yáñez F, Bozinovic F, Marquet PA, Valladares F, Chown SL.
- **2013**. Heat freezes niche evolution. *Ecology Letters* **16**: 1206–1219.
- 471 Arrigo N, De La Harpe M, Litsios G, Zozomová-Lihová J, Španiel S, Marhold K,
- 472 **Barker MS, Alvarez N. 2016.** Is hybridization driving the evolution of climatic niche in
- 473 Alyssum montanum? American Journal of Botany **103**: 1348–1357.
- 474 Balao F, Casimiro-Soriguer R, Herrera J, Talavera S. 2007. Dianthus inoxianus
- 475 Gallego. In: Á. Bañares, G. Blanca, J. Güemes, J.C. Moreno SO, ed. Atlas y Libro Rojo

- de la Flora Vascular Amenazada de España. Adenda 2006. Madrid, España: Ministerio
- de Medio Ambiente y Medio Rural y Marino, 42–43.
- 478 Balao F, Casimiro-Soriguer R, Talavera M, Herrera J, Talavera S. 2009. Distribution
- and diversity of cytotypes in Dianthus broteri as evidenced by genome size variations.
- 480 *Annals of Botany* **104**: 965–973.
- 481 Balao F, Herrera J, Talavera S. 2011a. Phenotypic consequences of polyploidy and
- genome size at the microevolutionary scale: a multivariate morphological approach. *New*
- 483 *Phytologist* **192**: 256–265.
- 484 Balao F, Herrera J, Talavera S, Dötterl S. 2011b. Spatial and temporal patterns of
- 485 floral scent emission in *Dianthus inoxianus* and electroantennographic responses of its
- hawkmoth pollinator. *Phytochemistry* **72**: 601–609.
- 487 Balao F, Paun O, Alonso C. 2018. Uncovering the contribution of epigenetics to plant
- phenotypic variation in Mediterranean ecosystems. *Plant Biology* **20**: 38–49.
- 489 Balao F, Valente LM, Vargas P, Herrera J, Talavera S. 2010. Radiative evolution of
- 490 polyploid races of the Iberian carnation Dianthus broteri (Caryophyllaceae). New
- 491 *Phytologist* **187**: 542–551.
- 492 Barea JM, Palenzuela J, Cornejo P, Sánchez-Castro I, Navarro-Fernández C,
- 493 López-García A, Estrada B, Azcón R, Ferrol N, Azcón-Aguilar C. 2011. Ecological
- and functional roles of mycorrhizas in semi-arid ecosystems of Southeast Spain. *Journal*
- 495 *of Arid Environments* **75**: 1292–1301.
- 496 **Beaulieu JM, Jhwueng D-C, Boettiger C, O'Meara BC. 2012.** Modeling stabilizing
- selection: expanding the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model of adaptive evolution. *Evolution* **66**:
- 498 2369–2383.
- 499 Blomberg SP, Garland Jr. T, Ives AR. 2003. Testing for phylogenetic signal in
- comparative data: behavioral traits are more labile. *Evolution* **57**: 717–745.
- Boulangeat I, Lavergne S, Van Es J, Garraud L, Thuiller W. 2012. Niche breadth,
- rarity and ecological characteristics within a regional flora spanning large environmental
- gradients. *Journal of Biogeography* **39**: 204–214.
- Brittingham HA, Koski MH, Ashman T-L. 2018. Higher ploidy is associated with
- reduced range breadth in the Potentilleae tribe. *American Journal of Botany* **105**: 1–11.

- 506 Broennimann O, Fitzpatrick MC, Pearman PB, Petitpierre B, Pellissier L, Yoccoz
- 507 NG, Thuiller W, Fortin M-J, Randin C, Zimmermann NE, et al. 2012. Measuring
- 508 ecological niche overlap from occurrence and spatial environmental data. Global Ecology
- 509 *and Biogeography* **21**: 481–497.
- 510 Buggs RJA, Pannell JR. 2007. Ecological differentiation and diploid superiority across
- a moving ploidy contact zone. *Evolution* **61**: 125–140.
- 512 Bulleri F, Bruno JF, Silliman BR, Stachowicz JJ. 2016. Facilitation and the niche:
- 513 implications for coexistence, range shifts and ecosystem functioning. Functional Ecology
- **30**: 70-78.
- 515 **Burns JH, Strauss SY. 2011**. More closely related species are more ecologically similar
- 516 in an experimental test. *PNAS* **108**: 5302–5307.
- 517 **Butler MA, King AA. 2004**. Phylogenetic comparative analysis: a modeling approach
- for adaptive evolution. *The American Naturalist* **164**: 683–695.
- 519 Chamberlain S, Barve V, Mcglinn D. 2017. rgbif: Interface to the Global 'Biodiversity'
- 520 Information Facility API. R package v. 0.9.9. [WWW document] URL http:// CRAN.R-
- 521 project.org/package=rgbif.
- 522 Clavel J, Escarguel G, Merceron G. 2015. mvMORPH: an R package for fitting
- 523 multivariate evolutionary models to morphometric data. Methods in Ecology and
- 524 Evolution **6**: 1311–1319.
- 525 Crisp MD, Cook LG. 2012. Phylogenetic niche conservatism: what are the underlying
- evolutionary and ecological causes? *New Phytologist* **196**: 681–694.
- 527 Detto M, Montaldo N, Albertson JD, Mancini M, Katul G. 2006. Soil moisture and
- vegetation controls on evapotranspiration in a heterogeneous Mediterranean ecosystem
- on Sardinia, Italy. Water Resources Research 42: W08419.
- 530 Di Cola V, Broennimann O, Petitpierre B, Breiner FT, D'Amen M, Randin C,
- Engler R, Pottier J, Pio D, Dubuis A, et al. 2017. ecospat: an R package to support
- spatial analyses and modeling of species niches and distributions. *Ecography* 40: 774–
- 533 787.
- Doyle JJ, Flagel LE, Paterson AH, Rapp RA, Soltis DE, Soltis PS, Wendel JF. 2008.
- 535 Evolutionary genetics of genome merger and doubling in plants. Annual Review of

- 536 *Genetics* **42**: 443–461.
- 537 **Dubuis A, Giovanettina S, Pellissier L, Pottier J, Vittoz P, Guisan A. 2013**. Improving
- 538 the prediction of plant species distribution and community composition by adding
- edaphic to topo-climatic variables. *Journal of Vegetation Science* **24**: 593–606.
- 540 **Duchoslav M, Safárová L, Krahulec F. 2010**. Complex distribution patterns, ecology
- and coexistence of ploidy levels of *Allium oleraceum* (Alliaceae) in the Czech Republic.
- 542 *Annals of Botany* **105**: 719–735.
- Fick SE, Hijmans RJ. 2017. WorldClim 2: new 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces
- for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology* **37**: 4302–4315.
- Gasith A, Resh VH. 1999. Streams in Mediterranean climate regions: abiotic influences
- and biotic responses to predictable seasonal events. Annual Review of Ecology and
- 547 *Systematics* **30**: 51–81.
- 548 Gaynor ML, Marchant DB, Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 2018. Climatic niche comparison
- among ploidal levels in the classic autopolyploid system, Galax urceolata. American
- *Journal of Botany* **105**: 1631–1642.
- 551 Giorgi F, Lionello P. 2008. Climate change projections for the Mediterranean region.
- 552 *Global and Planetary Change* **63**: 90–104.
- 553 Glennon KL, Ritchie ME, Segraves KA. 2014. Evidence for shared broad-scale
- climatic niches of diploid and polyploid plants. *Ecology Letters* **17**: 574–582.
- Golding N, August TA, Lucas TCD, Gavaghan DJ, van Loon EE, McInerny G. 2018.
- The ZOON R package for reproducible and shareable species distribution modelling.
- *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **9**: 260–268.
- Guisan A, Thuiller W. 2005. Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple
- habitat models. *Ecology Letters* **8**: 993–1009.
- Hengl T, Mendes de Jesus J, Heuvelink GBM, Ruiperez Gonzalez M, Kilibarda M,
- Blagotić A, Shangguan W, Wright MN, Geng X, Bauer-Marschallinger B, et al.
- **2017**. SoilGrids250m: global gridded soil information based on machine learning. *PLoS*
- 563 *ONE* **12**: e0169748.
- Herrera J, Balao F. 2015. A test of phenotypic selection on petal form in the wild

- 565 carnation, *Dianthus inoxianus*. *Plant Biology* **17**: 1227–1232.
- Husband BC. 2004. The role of triploid hybrids in the evolutionary dynamics of mixed-
- ploidy populations. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **82**: 537–546.
- Husband BC, Baldwin SJ, Sabara HA. 2016. Direct vs. indirect effects of whole-
- genome duplication on prezygotic isolation in *Chamerion angustifolium*: implications for
- 570 rapid speciation. *American Journal of Botany* **103**: 1259–1271.
- Jiménez-Valverde A, Lobo JM, Hortal J. 2008. Not as good as they seem: the
- importance of concepts in species distribution modelling. *Diversity and Distributions* **14**:
- 573 885–890.
- 574 Karger DN, Conrad O, Böhner J, Kawohl T, Kreft H, Soria-Auza RW,
- **Zimmermann NE, Linder HP, Kessler M. 2017**. Climatologies at high resolution for
- 576 the earth's land surface areas. *Scientific Data* **4**: 170122.
- Karunarathne P, Schedler M, Martínez EJ, Honfi AI, Novichkova A, Hojsgaard D.
- 578 **2018**. Intraspecific ecological niche divergence and reproductive shifts foster cytotype
- 579 displacement and provide ecological opportunity to polyploids. Annals of Botany:
- 580 mcy004.
- Kennedy BF, Sabara HA, Haydon D, Husband BC. 2006. Pollinator-mediated
- 582 assortative mating in mixed ploidy populations of Chamerion angustifolium
- 583 (Onagraceae). *Oecologia* **150**: 398–408.
- Kirchheimer B, Schinkel CCF, Dellinger AS, Klatt S, Moser D, Winkler M, Lenoir
- J, Caccianiga M, Guisan A, Nieto-Lugilde D, et al. 2016. A matter of scale: apparent
- 586 niche differentiation of diploid and tetraploid plants may depend on extent and grain of
- analysis. *Journal of Biogeography* **43**: 716–726.
- Kolář F, Čertner M, Suda J, Schönswetter P, Husband BC. 2017. Mixed-ploidy
- species: progress and opportunities in polyploid research. Trends in Plant Science 22:
- 590 1041–1055.
- Kozak KH, Wiens JJ. 2010. Niche conservatism drives elevational diversity patterns in
- 592 Appalachian salamanders. *The American Naturalist* **176**: 40–54.
- 593 Laport RG, Hatem L, Minckley RL, Ramsey J. 2013. Ecological niche modeling
- 594 implicates climatic adaptation, competitive exclusion, and niche conservatism among

- 595 Larrea tridentata cytotypes in North American deserts. Journal of the Torrey Botanical
- 596 *Society* **140**: 349–363.
- 597 Laport RG, Minckley RL, Ramsey J. 2016. Ecological distributions, phenological
- 598 isolation, and genetic structure in sympatric and parapatric populations of the Larrea
- *tridentata* polyploid complex. *American Journal of Botany* **103**: 1358–1374.
- 600 Leitch AR, Leitch IJ. 2008. Genomic plasticity and the diversity of polyploid plants.
- 601 *Science* **320**: 481–483.
- 602 **Levin DA. 1975.** Minority cytotype exclusion in local plant populations. *Taxon* **24**: 35–
- 603 43.
- 604 **Levins R. 1968.** Evolution in chaging environments: some theoretical explorations.
- 605 Princeton University Press. Princeton, New Jersey.
- 606 Lobo JM, Jiménez-Valverde A, Hortal J. 2010. The uncertain nature of absences and
- their importance in species distribution modelling. *Ecography* **33**: 103–114.
- 608 **López-Bermúdez F. 1990**. Soil erosion by water on the desertification of a semi-arid
- 609 Mediterranean fluvial basin: the Segura basin, Spain. Agriculture, Ecosystems and
- 610 Environment **33**: 129–145.
- 611 López-Jurado J, Balao F, Mateos-Naranjo E. 2016. Deciphering the ecophysiological
- 612 traits involved during water stress acclimation and recovery of the threatened wild
- 613 carnation, Dianthus inoxianus. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 109: 397–405.
- 614 Losos JB. 2008. Phylogenetic niche conservatism, phylogenetic signal and the
- relationship between phylogenetic relatedness and ecological similarity among species.
- 616 Ecology Letters 11: 995–1003.
- 617 Lowry E, Lester S. 2006. The biogeography of plant reproduction: potential
- determinants of species' range sizes. *Journal of Biogeography* **33**: 1975–1982.
- Maherali H, Walden AE, Husband BC. 2009. Genome duplication and the evolution
- of physiological responses to water stress. *New Phytologist* **184**: 721–731.
- Manzaneda AJ, Rey PJ, Bastida JM, Weiss-Lehman C, Raskin E, Mitchell-Olds T.
- 622 **2012**. Environmental aridity is associated with cytotype segregation and polyploidy
- occurrence in *Brachypodium distachyon* (Poaceae). New Phytologist 193: 797–805.

- 624 Marchant DB, Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 2016. Patterns of abiotic niche shifts in
- allopolyploids relative to their progenitors. *New Phytologist* **212**: 708–718.
- 626 Maun MA. 1994. Adaptations enhancing survival and establishment of seedlings on
- 627 coastal dune systems. *Vegetatio* **111**: 59–70.
- 628 McCormack JE, Zellmer AJ, Knowles LL. 2010. Does niche divergence accompany
- allopatric divergence in *Aphelocoma* jays as predicted under ecological speciation?:
- insights from tests with niche models. *Evolution* **64**: 1231–1244.
- 631 McGill BJ, Enquist BJ, Weiher E, Westoby M. 2006. Rebuilding community ecology
- from functional traits. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **21**: 178–185.
- 633 **McIntyre PJ. 2012.** Polyploidy associated with altered and broader ecological niches in
- 634 the Claytonia perfoliata (Portulacaceae) species complex. American Journal of Botany
- 635 **99**: 655–662.
- 636 **McIntyre PJ, Strauss S. 2017**. An experimental test of local adaptation among cytotypes
- within a polyploid complex. *Evolution* **71**: 1960–1969.
- 638 McNabb DH, Startsev AD, Nguyen H. 2001. Soil wetness and traffic level effects on
- bulk density and air-filled porosity of compacted boreal forest soils. Soil Science Society
- 640 of America Journal **65**: 1238–1247.
- Mirouze M, Paszkowski J. 2011. Epigenetic contribution to stress adaptation in plants.
- 642 Current Opinion in Plant Biology 14: 267–274.
- Münzbergová Z, Šurinová M, Castro S. 2013. Absence of gene flow between diploids
- and hexaploids of *Aster amellus* at multiple spatial scales. *Heredity* **110**: 123–130.
- Obia A, Mulder J, Martinsen V, Cornelissen G, Børresen T. 2016. In situ effects of
- biochar on aggregation, water retention and porosity in light-textured tropical soils. Soil
- 647 *& Tillage Research* **155**: 35–44.
- Otto SP. 2007. The evolutionary consequences of polyploidy. *Cell* 131: 452–462.
- Padilla FM, Pugnaire FI. 2007. Rooting depth and soil moisture control Mediterranean
- woody seedling survival during drought. Functional Ecology **21**: 489–495.
- Paradis E, Claude J, Strimmer K. 2004. APE: Analyses of phylogenetics and evolution
- in R language. *Bioinformatics* **20**: 289–290.

- Parisod C, Broennimann O. 2016. Towards unified hypotheses of the impact of
- polyploidy on ecological niches. *New Phytologist* **212**: 540–542.
- Pearson RG, Raxworthy CJ, Nakamura M, Townsend Peterson A. 2007. Predicting
- species distributions from small numbers of occurrence records: a test case using cryptic
- 657 geckos in Madagascar. *Journal of Biogeography* **34**: 102–117.
- 658 **Phillips SJ, Anderson RP, Schapire RE**. **2006**. Maximum entropy modeling of species
- 659 geographic distributions. *Ecological Modelling* **190**: 231–259.
- Place G, Bowman D, Burton M, Rufty T. 2008. Root penetration through a high bulk
- density soil layer: differential response of a crop and weed species. *Plant and Soil* **307**:
- 662 179–190.
- R Core Team. 2017. R: a language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna,
- 664 Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. [WWW document] URL
- 665 https://www.R-project.org/
- Raes N, ter Steege H. 2007. A null-model for significance testing of presence-only
- species distribution models. *Ecography* **30**: 727–736.
- Ramsey J. 2011. Polyploidy and ecological adaptation in wild yarrow. PNAS 108: 7096–
- 669 7101.
- 670 Revell LJ. 2012. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other
- things). *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**: 217–223.
- Rey PJ, Manzaneda AJ, Alcántara JM. 2017. The interplay between aridity and
- 673 competition determines colonization ability, exclusion and ecological segregation in the
- 674 heteroploid *Brachypodium distachyon* species complex. *New Phytologist* **215**: 85–96.
- Rundel PW, Arroyo MTK, Cowling RM, Keeley JE, Lamont BB, Vargas P. 2016.
- Mediterranean biomes: evolution of their vegetation, floras and climate. Annual Review
- *of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* **47**: 383–407.
- 678 Saxton KE, Rawls WJ. 2006. Soil water characteristic estimates by texture and organic
- 679 matter for hydrologic solutions. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* **70**: 1569–1578.
- 680 **Schoener TW. 1968.** The Anolis lizards of Bimini: resource partitioning in a complex
- 681 fauna. *Ecology* **49**: 704–726.

- 682 Segraves KA, Thompson JN. 1999. Plant polyploidy and pollination: floral traits and
- insect visits to diploid and tetraploid *Heuchera grossulariifolia*. Evolution **53**: 1114.
- 684 Soltis PS, Marchant DB, Van de Peer Y, Soltis DE. 2015. Polyploidy and genome
- 685 evolution in plants. Current Opinion in Genetics and Development 35: 119–125.
- 686 Soltis DE, Soltis PS. 1999. Polyploidy: recurrent formation and genome evolution.
- 687 *Science* **14**: 348–352.
- 688 Sonnleitner M, Hülber K, Flatscher R, García PE, Winkler M, Suda J, Schönswetter
- 689 **P, Schneeweiss GM**. **2016**. Ecological differentiation of diploid and polyploid cytotypes
- of Senecio carniolicus sensu lato (Asteraceae) is stronger in areas of sympatry. Annals of
- 691 *Botany* **117**: 269–276.
- 692 Spoelhof JP, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2017. Pure polyploidy: closing the gaps in
- autopolyploid research. *Journal of Systematics and Evolution* **55**: 340–352.
- Tanentzap AJ, Brandt AJ, Smissen RD, Heenan PB, Fukami T, Lee WG. 2015. When
- do plant radiations influence community assembly? The importance of historical
- contingency in the race for niche space. *New Phytologist* **207**: 468–479.
- 697 Theodoridis S, Randin C, Broennimann O, Patsiou T, Conti E. 2013. Divergent and
- 698 narrower climatic niches characterize polyploid species of european primroses in *Primula*
- 699 sect. Aleuritia. Journal of Biogeography 40: 1278–1289.
- 700 Thompson KA, Husband BC, Maherali H. 2014. Climatic niche differences between
- 701 diploid and tetraploid cytotypes of Chamerion angustifolium (Onagraceae). American
- 702 *Journal of Botany* **101**: 1868–1875.
- 703 **Thompson JN, Merg KF. 2008**. Evolution of polyploidy and the diversification of plant—
- 704 pollinator interactions. *Ecology* **89**: 2197–2206.
- 705 Title PO, Bemmels JB. 2018. ENVIREM: an expanded set of bioclimatic and
- topographic variables increases flexibility and improves performance of ecological niche
- 707 modeling. *Ecography* **41**: 291–307.
- 708 Tracy SR, Black CR, Roberts JA, Mooney SJ. 2013. Exploring the interacting effect
- of soil texture and bulk density on root system development in tomato (Solanum
- 710 lycopersicum L.). Environmental and Experimental Botany **91**: 38–47.

- 711 Treier UA, Broennimann O, Normand S, Guisan A, Schaffner U, Steinger T, Müller-
- 712 **Schärer H. 2009**. Shift in cytotype frequency and niche space in the invasive plant
- 713 *Centaurea maculosa. Ecology* **90**: 1366–1377.
- 714 VanDerWal J, Falconi L, Januchowski S, Shoo L, Storlie C. 2014. Species
- 715 Distribution Modelling Tools: tools for processing data associated with species
- 716 distribution modelling exercises. R package v. 1.1-221. [WWW Document] URL
- 717 http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=SDMTools.
- Visger CJ, Germain-Aubrey CC, Patel M, Sessa EB, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. 2016. Niche
- 719 divergence between diploid and autotetraploid *Tolmiea*. *American Journal of Botany* **103**:
- 720 1396–1406.
- 721 Visser V, Molofsky J. 2015. Ecological niche differentiation of polyploidization is not
- supported by environmental differences among species in a cosmopolitan grass genus.
- 723 American Journal of Botany **102**: 36–49.
- Wagner V, Chytrý M, Zelený D, von Wehrden H, Brinkert A, Danihelka J, Hölzel
- 725 N, Jansen F, Kamp J, Lustyk P, et al. 2017. Regional differences in soil pH niche
- among dry grassland plants in Eurasia. *Oikos* **126**: 660–670.
- Warren DL, Glor RE, Turelli M. 2010. ENMTools: a toolbox for comparative studies
- of environmental niche models. *Ecography* **33**: 607–611.
- 729 Wendel JF. 2000. Genome evolution in polyploids. Plant Molecular Biology 42: 225-
- 730 249.
- Wendel JF. 2015. The wondrous cycles of polyploidy in plants. American Journal of
- 732 *Botany* **102**: 1753–1756.
- Wisz MS, Pottier J, Kissling WD, Pellissier L, Lenoir J, Damgaard CF, Dormann
- 734 CF, Forchhammer MC, Grytnes JA, Guisan A, et al. 2013. The role of biotic
- interactions in shaping distributions and realised assemblages of species: implications for
- 736 species distribution modelling. *Biological Reviews* **88**: 15–30.
- 737 Zunzunegui M, Díaz Barradas MC, Ain-Lhout F, Clavijo A, García Novo F. 2005.
- 738 To live or to survive in Doñana dunes: adaptive responses of woody species under a
- 739 Mediterranean climate. *Plant and Soil* **273**: 77–89.

## Figure legends

741

742 Fig. 1 Hypothesized conceptual framework of autopolyploid niche evolution. Grey circles 743 represent the available niche space and black circles indicate the realized niche of the 744 cytotypes. Continuous and dashed arrows designate polyploidization events and recurrent 745 origins, respectively. 746 Fig. 2 Representation of the principal component analysis (PCA-env) obtained for GBIF 747 and cytotyped locations together of *Dianthus broteri*, using non-collinear variables and 748 background points. It represents the environmental spaces of the niches in two main axes 749 (greater inertia explained). Panel (a) presents the distribution of the selected variables 750 loading on these axes (see Table 2 for a description of these variables). Panel (b) shows 751 the niches of the four cytotypes (ellipses encompass occurrence points) in the two main 752 axes. The tetraploid level was divided into its two lineages. Panels (c) and (d) are a 753 breakdown of (b) by the axes, presenting the environmental range of the groups as violin 754 plots. In these plots, white circles represent the median, thick black bars correspond to 755 the interquartile range and thin bars show the 95% confidence interval. 756 Fig. 3 Ecological niche models for *Dianthus broteri* species (all populations) and for its 757 four cytotypes separately, using GBIF and cytotyped occurrences together. The tetraploid 758 level was divided into its two lineages. The maps highlight geographic space with 759 environmental suitability using increasing hot colors. Grey areas indicate that they are not 760 suitable (value of 0) and dark red areas indicate the maximum suitability (value of 1.0). 761 All maps represent the Iberian Peninsula and the Balearic Islands. Black dots designate 762 presence locations. 763 Fig. 4 Phenograms for the two main environmental axes constructed with data 764 corresponding to the *Dianthus broteri* population phylogeny (n = 25) from Balao et al. 765 (2010). The tables on the upper left corners summarize each model performed with AICc 766 values and their weights. Labels on the right margin indicate the names of the populations. 767 Asterisks mark the eastern tetraploid clade.

768

769

# **Supporting Information**

- Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the Supporting Information
- tab for this article:
- 774 Fig. S1 Principal component analysis (PCA-env) for D. broteri cytotyped locations,
- representing each niche and the selected variables.
- 776 **Fig. S2** Suitability maps of *D. broteri* complex representing ecological niche models
- using cytotyped occurrences.
- 778 **Fig. S3** Suitability maps of *D. broteri* 4x cytotype representing ecological niche models
- using GBIF and cytotyped occurrences together and only the cytotyped ones.
- 780 Table S1 Evaluation of ecological niche models and niche breadth values using
- 781 cytotyped occurrences
- 782 **Table S2** Variable contribution to the construction of the models using only the
- 783 cytotyped occurrences
- 784 **Table S3** Ecological niche comparisons for *D. broteri* ploidies using only the cytotyped
- 785 records
- 786 **Methods S1** Predictor layers can be downloaded from public databases. All R scripts for
- 787 niche comparison tests, habitat suitability models and PNC analyses are available at a
- 788 GitHub repository DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.2388457
- 789 (https://github.com/fbalao/envdianthus/tree/v1.0).

Tables
Table 1 Summary of the evaluation of ecological niche models by their AUC and null
model scores along with the niche breadth metric. Results correspond to inputs of GBIF
and cytotyped occurrences together.

D. broteri lineages	n	AUC*	Null model AUC	Niche breadth		
2x	29	0.987	0.838	0.0924		
$4x_{pool}$	52	0.957	0.778	0.2341		
$4x_s$	32	0.988	0.853	0.0813		
$4x_e$	20	0.989	0.867	0.1164		
6x	21	0.987	0.873	0.0386		
12x	48	0.999	0.787	0.0095		
All populations	150	0.974	0.683	-		

*n*, number of occurrences (populations) used for modelling the distributions.

<sup>\*</sup>All models have a significantly higher AUC value when compared to their null distribution (P < 0.01) based on 99 repetitions (only the highest null model score presented).

**Table 2** Percentage of variable contribution to the models using GBIF and cytotyped occurrences together. The values correspond to the Permutation Importance analysis from MaxEnt. For each *Dianthus broteri* cytotype (and both tetraploid origins), the three variables with the highest contribution are marked in bold

Variable	Description	All populations	2x	$4x_{pool}$	$4x_s$	4x <sub>e</sub>	6x	12x
BIO3	Isothermality	2.8	0.2	0.4	0.2	0.0	2.5	8.2
BIO5	Max temperature of warmest month	0.5	2.9	0.0	1.5	0.3	0.0	3.0
BIO8	Mean temperature of wettest quarter	2.3	1.0	0.4	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
BIO9	Mean temperature of driest quarter	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	37.0
BIO12	Annual precipitation	0.3	0.6	0.5	0.3	0.1	3.6	0.7
BIO18	Precipitation of warmest quarter	8.9	31.8	2.0	71.5	32.6	0.0	0.5
PETColdestQuarter	Mean monthly PET of coldest quarter	36.6	10.0	24.7	5.2	0.0	37.0	0.4
PETDriestQuarter	Mean monthly PET of driest quarter	13.5	8.2	1.1	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0
PETWarmestQuarter	Mean monthly PET of warmest quarter	4.3	0.9	23.3	1.0	48.3	44.6	2.3
PETWettestQuarter	Mean monthly PET of wettest quarter	9.4	36.8	1.3	1.6	0.0	0.6	0.0
climaticMoistureIndex	A metric of relative wetness and aridity	0.5	3.0	20.6	4.1	17.9	0.1	27.7
topoWet	SAGA-GIS topographic wetness index	4.4	0.8	1.1	0.4	0.6	0.0	0.0
tri	Terrain roughness index	1.3	0.9	1.8	0.2	0.1	1.1	13.0

AWC	Available soil water capacity	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
BLDFIE	Bulk density (fine earth) in kg / cubic-meter	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
CECSOL	Cation exchange capacity of soil in cmolc/kg	1.5	0.4	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.2
ORCDRC	Soil organic carbon content (fine earth fraction) in g per kg	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.3	0.0	0.0	4.7
РНІНОХ	Soil pH x 10 in H <sub>2</sub> O	2.9	2.2	16.2	6.5	0.0	0.3	2.2
SNDPPT	Sand content (50-2000 micro meter) mass fraction in %	9.5	0.4	4.0	3.8	0.0	0.0	0.1
802								

**Table 3** Ecological niche comparisons for *Dianthus broteri* using cytotyped and GBIF records. Columns correspond to the different tests performed in the two main PCA-env axes: overlapping, similarity (all the comparisons in both directions), equivalency and environmental divergence (derived from McCormack *et al.*, 2010)

D. broteri lineages		_	Niche similarity		_	Environmental divergence test		
а	b	Niche overlap (D)	$a \rightarrow b$	$b \rightarrow a$	Niche equivalency	AXIS 1	AXIS 2	
2x	$4x_{pool}$	0.5296	Similar*	Similar*	Different*	С	С	
	$4x_s$	0.6492	-	-	ns	D**	C	
	$4x_{e}$	0.0848	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
	6x	0.0436	ns	ns	Different*	C	D**	
	12x	0.1525	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
$4x_{pool}$	6x	0.1452	ns	ns	Different*	C	D**	
	12x	0.1292	ns	ns	Different*	D**	C	
$4x_s$	$4x_{e}$	0.0172	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
6x	$4x_s$	0.0002	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
	$4x_{e}$	0.3648	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
	12x	0	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
12x	$4x_s$	0.2643	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	
	$4x_{e}$	0	ns	ns	Different*	D**	D**	

<sup>807</sup> ns, not significantly different/equivalent

804

805

<sup>\*</sup>The ecological niches are significantly (P < 0.05) more *similar* or *different* than expected by random.

<sup>\*\*</sup>The ecological niches are significantly (P < 0.05) different or show *divergence* according to Student's t-test.

<sup>812</sup> C, conservatism.

<sup>813</sup> D, divergence.