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#### Abstract

We give presentations, in terms of generators and relations, for the monoids $S B_{n}(M)$ of singular braids on closed surfaces. The proof of the validity of these presentations can also be applied to verify, in a new way, the presentations given by Birman for the monoids of Singular Artin braids.


## 1 Introduction

In this paper we deal with the braid groups of a closed surface $M$. These groups are a natural generalization of Artin braid groups (A] and of the fundamental group of $M$. They are also subgroups of some Mapping Class groups of $M$, and finally they are fundamental groups of the so called Configuration spaces of $M$ (see [B] for a general exposition).

They can be defined as follows. Fix $n(n \geq 1)$ distinct points $\left\{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}\right\} \in M$. A $n$-braid on $M$ is an n-tuple $b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)$ of disjoint smooth paths $b_{i}$ in $M \times[0,1]$, such that for all $i$, the path $b_{i}$ runs, monotonically on $t \in[0,1]$, from $\left(P_{i}, 0\right)$ to some $\left(P_{j}, 1\right)$. These $n$-braids are considered modulo isotopy (deformation of braids fixing the ends), and there exists a multiplication of braids, given by concatenation of paths. The set of isotopy classes of $n$-braids on $M$, along with this multiplication, forms the braid group with $n$ strings on $M$, denoted by $B_{n}(M)$.

The following is a simple presentation of $B_{n}(M)$, in terms of generators and relations, where $M$ is a closed, orientable surface of genus $g$ G-M):

- Generators: $\quad \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}$.
- Relations:
(R1) $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j}=\sigma_{j} \sigma_{i}$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
(|i-j| \geq 2) \\
(1 \leq i \leq n-2)
\end{array}
$$

(R2) $\sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i+1} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{i+1}$
(R3) $a_{1} \cdots a_{2 g} a_{1}^{-1} \cdots a_{2 g}^{-1}=\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{n-2} \sigma_{n-1}^{2} \sigma_{n-2} \cdots \sigma_{1}$
(R4) $a_{r} A_{2, s}=A_{2, s} a_{r}$

$$
(1 \leq r, s \leq 2 g ; \quad r \neq s)
$$

(R5) $\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{r}\right) A_{2, r}=\sigma_{1}^{2} A_{2, r}\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{r}\right)$
$(1 \leq r \leq 2 g)$
(R6) $a_{r} \sigma_{i}=\sigma_{i} a_{r}$

$$
(1 \leq r \leq 2 g ; \quad i \geq 2)
$$

where

$$
A_{2, r}=\sigma_{1}^{-1}\left(a_{1} \cdots a_{r-1} a_{r+1}^{-1} \cdots a_{2 g}^{-1}\right) \sigma_{1}^{-1}
$$

The generators are represented in Figure 1, where we have drawn the the canonical projections on $M$ of the considered braids, and $M$ is represented as a polygon of $4 g$ sides, pairwise identified.
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Figure 1: The generators of $B_{n}(M)$.

We can also find in G-M a similar presentation, when $M$ is a non-orientable, closed surface.
In the same way that singular Artin braids were defined (see B2]) to study Vassiliev invariants for these braids, we can define singular braids on $M$. Their definition is the same that the one of non-singular braids, but this time we allow a finite number of singular points (transverse intersection of two strings). The isotopy classes of these singular braids, with the analogous multiplication, form the monoid of singular braids with $n$ strings on $M$, denoted by $S B_{n}(M)$. This monoid is used in G-MP to define the Vassiliev invariants of braids on closed, orientable surfaces, proving, among other results, that these invariants classify these braids.

In B2 we can find presentations for $S B_{n}$, the monoids of singular Artin braids, in terms of generators and relations. The main result of this paper is to give presentations for $S B_{n}(M)$. We will see, as well, that the proof of this results furnishes a new proof of the validity of the presentations in B2.

## 2 Presentation of $S B_{n}(M)$

We shall now give a presentation of $B_{n}(M)$, when $M$ is a closed, orientable surface of genus $g \geq 0$. The non-orientable case is completely analogous, and is treated in a final remark at the end of this paper. We define, for all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$, the singular braid $\tau_{i}$ as in Figure 2, where the only non-trivial strings are the $i$-th and the $(i+1)$-th ones, which intersect to form a singular point. The result is the following:

Theorem 2.1. The monoid $S B_{n}(M)$ admits the following presentation:

- Generators: $\quad \sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}$.
- Relations:
(R1-R6) Relations of $B_{n}(M)$

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\text { (R7) } \sigma_{i} \tau_{j}=\tau_{j} \sigma_{i} & (|i-j| \geq 2) \\
\text { (R8) } \tau_{i} \tau_{j}=\tau_{j} \tau_{i} & (|i-j| \geq 2) \\
\text { (R9) } \sigma_{i} \tau_{i}=\tau_{i} \sigma_{i} & (i=1, \ldots, n-1) \\
\text { (R10) } \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \tau_{i}=\tau_{j} \sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} & (|i-j|=1) \\
\text { (R11) }\left(a_{i, r} a_{i+1, r}\right) \tau_{i}\left(a_{i+1, r}^{-1} a_{i, r}^{-1}\right)=\tau_{i} & (i=1, \ldots, n-1 ; r=1, \ldots, 2 g) \\
\text { (R12) } \tau_{i} a_{j, r}=a_{j, r} \tau_{i} & (j \neq i, i+1 ; r=1, \ldots, 2 g)
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
a_{i, r}= \begin{cases}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{1}^{-1}\right) a_{r}\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i-1}^{-1}\right) & \text { if } r \text { is odd } \\ \left(\sigma_{i-1} \cdots \sigma_{1}\right) a_{r}\left(\sigma_{1} \cdots \sigma_{i-1}\right) & \text { if } r \text { is even. }\end{cases}
$$



Figure 2: The singular braid $\tau_{i}$.


Figure 3: The braid $a_{i, r}=\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{1}^{-1}\right) a_{r}\left(\sigma_{1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{i-1}^{-1}\right)$, when $r$ is odd.

Remark that $a_{i, r}$ can be thought of as the $i$-th string crossing the "wall" $\alpha_{r}$, as we can see in Figure 3 for the case when $r$ is odd.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: First, it is evident that $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}, \tau_{1}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}\right\}$ is a set of generators of $S B_{n}(M)$, once that we know (by G-M) that $\left\{\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}\right\}$ generates $B_{n}(M)$.

It is also easy to prove that the proposed relations hold: (R1-R6) hold in $B_{n}(M)$, which is a sub-monoid of $S B_{n}(M)$. (R7-R10) are known to hold in $S B_{n}$, so they hold in a cylinder $D \times[0,1]$, where $D$ is a disk containing the $n$ points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{n}$. We have just to extend the corresponding isotopy to all $M \times[0,1]$ by the identity. (R11) can be seen to hold in Figure $]_{\text {, a }}$, and finally (R12) is clear, since the only nontrivial strings of $\tau_{i}$ and $a_{j, r}$ can be isotoped to have disjoint projections on $M$, so these braids commute.


Figure 4: The braids $a_{i, r} a_{i+1, r} \tau_{i}$ and $\tau_{i} a_{i, r} a_{i+1, r}$ are isotopic, when $r$ is odd.
In order to show that the relations are sufficient, we need the following lemma:
Lemma 2.2. The monoid $S B_{n}(M)$ is left-cancelative. That is, for all $a, b, c \in S B_{n}(M)$, one has: $c a=c b \Rightarrow a=b$.

Proof: Since $\sigma_{1}, \ldots, \sigma_{n-1}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}$ are invertible, for they belong to $B_{n}(M)$, we just need to prove that $\tau_{i} a=\tau_{i} b \Rightarrow a=b$ for all $a, b \in S B_{n}(M)$ and all $i=1, \ldots, n-1$.

Thus, let us suppose that there exists an isotopy $H_{t}$ of $M \times[0,1]$, such that $H_{0}=\operatorname{id}_{M \times[0,1]}$
and $H_{1}\left(\tau_{i} a\right)=\tau_{i} b$. Call $p$ the first singular point of $\tau_{i} a$ (the one corresponding to $\tau_{i}$ ), and let $p_{t}=H_{t}(p)$. One has $p_{0}=p_{1}=p$.

Let $V$ be the interior of a sphere of radius $\varepsilon$ centered at $p$. We take $\varepsilon$ small enough, such that $V \cap\left(\tau_{i} a\right)$ is as follows:


Denote $s_{t}=H_{t}\left(\tau_{i} a\right)$ and $V_{t}=H_{t}(V)$. We can suppose, without loss of generality, that $V_{t}$ is the interior of the sphere of radius $\varepsilon$ centered at $p_{t}$, and that $V_{t} \cup s_{t}$ is as in the above picture.

Now, for $t \in[0,1]$, denote by $\widetilde{s}_{t}$ the braid which is obtained by modifying $s_{t}$, only inside $V_{t}$, as follows:


We observe that $\widetilde{s}_{0}=a$ and $\widetilde{s}_{1}=b$, so $H_{t}$ is an isotopy which transforms $a$ into $b$. Therefore, $a=b$.

Let us then show that Relations (R1-R12) are sufficient. Let $b, b^{\prime} \in S B_{n}(M)$ be two isotopic singular braids, written in the generators of Theorem 2.1. We must show that we can transform $b$ into $b^{\prime}$ by using Relations (R1-R12).

Being isotopic, both braids have the same number of singular points, say $k$. If $k=0$, the result follows from G-M, since (R1-R6) are sufficient relations for $B_{n}(M)$.

Suppose that $k>0$, and the result holds for braids with less than $k$ singular points. We can assume that the first letter of $b$ is $\tau_{i}$, for some $i$ (otherwise we can multiply $b$ and $b^{\prime}$ on the left by the greatest nonsingular "prefix" of $b$ ). We will show that, using (R1-R12), we can transform $b^{\prime}$ into a braid whose first letter is $\tau_{i}$. The result then follows from Lemma 2.2, and by induction hypothesis.

Let $p$ be the point of $b^{\prime}$ corresponding (via isotopy) to the first singular point of $b$. This point $p$ must correspond to some $\tau_{j}$, letter of $b^{\prime}$. By (R10) and the braid relations (R1-R2), we can easily deduce the following:

$$
\tau_{j}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(\sigma_{j-1} \sigma_{j-2} \cdots \sigma_{i}\right)\left(\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j-1} \cdots \sigma_{i+1}\right) \tau_{i}\left(\sigma_{i+1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j}^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{i}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j+1}^{-1}\right) & \text { if } i<j \\
\left(\sigma_{j+1} \sigma_{j+2} \cdots \sigma_{i}\right)\left(\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j+1} \cdots \sigma_{i-1}\right) \tau_{i}\left(\sigma_{i-1}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j}^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{i}^{-1} \cdots \sigma_{j+1}^{-1}\right) & \text { if } i>j
\end{array}\right.
$$

Hence, we can assume that the letter corresponding to $p$ is $\tau_{i}$.
Let us then write $b^{\prime}=u \tau_{i} v$, where $u, v \in S B_{n}(M)$ and $\tau_{i}$ is the above letter. Since $b$ is isotopic to $b^{\prime}$, we can assume, up to replacing $\tau_{i}$ by $\sigma_{i} \tau_{i} \sigma_{i}^{-1}$ (using (R9)), that the $i$-th string of $u$ ends at the point $\left(P_{i}, s\right)$, for some $s \in[0,1]$. Hence, its canonical projection on $M$ is a loop in $M$ based at $P_{i}$, which induces an element $\mu \in \pi_{1}\left(M, P_{1}\right)$. This element can be modified as desired: it suffices to use (R11), replacing $\tau_{i}$ by $a_{i, r}^{\varepsilon} a_{i+1, r}^{\varepsilon} \tau_{i} a_{i+1, r}^{-\varepsilon} a_{i, r}^{-\varepsilon}(\varepsilon= \pm 1)$, to have $\mu$ transformed into $\mu \bar{a}_{i, r}^{\varepsilon}$, where $\bar{a}_{i, r}^{\varepsilon}$ is the projection on $M$ of the $i$-th string of $a_{i, r}^{\varepsilon}$. Since $\left\{\bar{a}_{i, 1}, \ldots, \bar{a}_{i, 2 g}\right\}$ is a set of generators of $\pi_{1}\left(M, P_{i}\right)$, we can assume that $\mu=1$.

Now notice that $u$ has less than $k$ singular points, hence any braid isotopic to $u$ can be obtained from it by applying (R1-R12), by induction hypothesis. We can then deform $u$ in such a way that its $i$-th string will not go through the "walls" $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{2 g}$ (we can do this since $\mu=1$ ).

Let us go back to $b$, and consider a "band" $\Gamma$, determined by the $i$-th and the $(i+1)$-th strings of $b$, and which goes from $s=0$ to the first singular point of $b$, as in the figure below.


Consider also an isotopy $H_{t}$ which transforms $b$ into $b^{\prime}$. Recall that $b^{\prime}=u \tau_{i} v$, where the $i$-th string of $u$ does not go through the walls. We can now consider $\Gamma_{1}=H_{1}(\Gamma)$, and deform the $(i+1)$-th string of $u$ along this band, in such a way that it will be as close to the $i$-th string as desired (recall that we are allowed to deform $u$ ). We can then assume that neither the $i$-th nor the $(i+1)$-th string of $u$ goes through the "walls" of the cylinder $M \times[0,1]$. Moreover, using (R9) we can modify the number of crossings of these two strings, as desired (just replacing $\tau_{i}$ by $\sigma_{i}^{r} \tau_{i} \sigma_{i}^{-r}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ ). Therefore, we can assume that they do not cross, i.e. there is no $\sigma_{j}$ in $u$ involving the $i-t h$ and the $(i+1)$-th strings.

We can also assume that these two strings are so close that one has the following property: if there is a letter $\sigma_{j}^{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon= \pm 1)$ of $u$ which involves the $i$-th or the $(i+1)$-th string, then this letter, together with either the previous or the following one, forms a sub-word of $u$ of one of the following four types:

$\sigma_{j} \sigma_{j+1}$

$\sigma_{j+1}^{-1} \sigma_{j}^{-1}$

$\sigma_{j}^{-1} \sigma_{j+1}^{-1}$


$$
\sigma_{j+1} \sigma_{j}
$$

But in this case it is easy to see that, using relations (R7), (R8), (R10) and (R12), we can "raise" the point $p$, until we get $\tau_{i}$ as the first letter of $b^{\prime}$. So by Lemma 2.2 we can cancel $\tau_{i}$, and by induction hypothesis the resulting braids are equivalent by means of Relations (R1-R12). This ends the proof of Theorem $2.1 \square$

Remark 2.3. There is an analogous presentation of $S B_{n}(M)$, when $M$ is a non-orientable, closed surface. We just need to consider the presentation given in [G-M] for $B_{n}(M)$. Then replace, in the presentation of Theorem 2.1, the generators $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{2 g}$ by the corresponding generators on the non-orientable surface, and Relations (R1-R6) by the relations given in G-M. The same proof remains valid.

Remark 2.4. The presentation given in Theorem 2.1 can be easily simplified. It suffices to eliminate the generators $\tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{n-1}$, replacing in the relations $\tau_{3}$ by $\left(\sigma_{2} \sigma_{1} \sigma_{3} \sigma_{2}\right) \tau_{1}\left(\sigma_{2}^{-1} \sigma_{3}^{-1} \sigma_{1}^{-1} \sigma_{2}^{-1}\right)$, and eliminating all relations containing some $\tau_{j}(j \neq 1,3)$, since they are obtained from the remaining ones. We proposed the presentation above since it is more useful for handling singular braids.

Remark 2.5. We can also replace (R1-R6) by any other set of sufficient relations for the given generators of $B_{n}(M)$.

Remark 2.6. The above proof of Theorem 2.1, after eliminating every allusion to $\pi_{1}(M)$, is a new proof of the validity of the presentation for $S B_{n}$ proposed in B2].
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