WKB APPROXIMATION AND KRALL-TYPE ORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS .

R. Álvarez-Nodarse [*], F. Marcellán [†]
Departamento de Matemáticas. Escuela Politécnica Superior.
Universidad Carlos III de Madrid. Butarque 15, 28911, Leganés, Madrid.

J. Petronilho[‡]
Dpto. de Matemática, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia,
Universidade de Coimbra, Apartado 3008, 3000 Coimbra, Portugal.

13 September 1996

Key words and phrases: Orthogonal polynomials, Krall polynomials, WKB Approximation, tridiagonal matrices, distribution of zeros.
AMS (MOS) subject classification: **33C45, 33A65, 42C05.**

Abstract

We give an unified approach to the Krall-type polynomials orthogonal with respect to a positive measure consisting of an absolutely continuous one *"perturbed"* by the addition of one or more delta Dirac functions. Some examples studied by different authors are considered from an unique point of view. Also some properties of the Krall polynomials are studied. The three-term recurrence relation is calculated explicitly, as well as some asymptotic formulas. With special emphasis will be considered the second order differential equations that such polynomials satisfy which allows us to obtain the central moments and the WKB approximation of the distribution of zeros. Some examples coming from quadratic transformation polynomial mappings and tridiagonal periodic matrices are also studied.

1 Introduction.

In this work we present a survey and some new results relative to the Krall type orthogonal polynomials, i.e., polynomials with are orthogonal with respect to an absolutely continuous measure *"perturbed"* by the addition of one or more delta Dirac functions. These polynomials were firstly studied in 1940 by H. L. Krall [29]. More exactly H. L. Krall in his 1940's work

[*]E-mail: renato@dulcinea.uc3m.es
[†]E-mail: pacomarc@ing.uc3m.es
[‡]E-mail: petronilho@mat.uc.pt

has obtained three new classes of polynomials orthogonal with respect to measures which are not absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. In fact, his study is related to an extension of the very well known characterization of classical orthogonal polynomials by S. Bochner. This kind of measures was not considered in [39]. Moreover, in his paper H. L. Krall obtain that these three new families of orthogonal polynomials satisfy a fourth order differential equation with polynomial coefficients. The corresponding measures are given in table 1. A different approach to this subject was presented in [28].

Table 1: The classical Krall polynomials [28], [29]

$\{P_n\}$	measure $d\mu$	$supp(\mu)$
Laguerre-type	$e^{-x}\,dx + M\delta(x), \qquad M > 0$	$[0,\infty)$
Legendre-type	$\frac{\alpha}{2}\,dx + \frac{\delta(x-1)}{2} + \frac{\delta(x+1)}{2}, \qquad \alpha > 0$	$[-1,1]$
Jacobi-type	$(1-x)^{\alpha}\,dx + M\delta(x), \qquad M > 0, \alpha > -1$	$[0,1]$

The analysis of properties of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a perturbation of a measure via the addition of mass points was introduced by P.Nevai [35]. There the asymptotic properties of the new polynomials have been considered. In particular, he proved the dependence of such properties in terms of the location of the mass points with respect to the support of the measure. Particular emphasis was given to measures supported in $[-1,1]$ and satisfying some extra conditions in terms of the parameters of the three-term recurrence relation that the corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials satisfies.

The analysis of algebraic properties for such polynomials attracted the interest of several researchers. A general analysis when a modification of a linear functional in the linear space of polynomials with real coefficients via the addition of one delta Dirac measure was started by Chihara [13] in the positive definite case and Marcellán and Maroni [31] for quasi-definite linear functionals. From the point of view of differential equations see [34]. For two point masses there exist very few examples in the literature (see [27], [15], [25] and [30]). In this case the difficulties increase as shows [16]. Spectral properties of the classical Krall polynomials [28], [29] were considered in [11].

A special emphasis was given to the modifications of classical linear functionals (Hermite, Laguerre, Jacobi and Bessel) in the framework of the so-called semiclassical orthogonal polynomials. For example in [27] the Jacobi case with two masses at points $x = \pm 1$ was considered. The hypergeometric representation of the resulting polynomials as well as the existence of a second order differential equation that such polynomials satisfy have been established. Also the particular cases of the Krall polynomials [28], [29] have been obtained from this general case as special cases or limit cases. In [21], [23] (see also [25]) the Laguerre case was considered in details. In particular an infinite order differential equation for these polynomials as well as their representation as hypergeometric series have been found. The

case of modification of a classical symmetric functional (Hermite and Gegenbauer functionals) was considered in [6].

The modification of classical functionals have been considered also for the discrete orthogonal polynomials. In this direction Bavinck and van Haeringen [9] obtained an infinite order difference equation for generalized Meixner polynomials, i.e., polynomials orthogonal with respect to the modification of the Meixner weight with a point mass at $x = 0$. The same was found for generalized Charlier polynomials by Bavinck and Koekoek [10]. In a series of papers by Alvarez-Nodarse et. al [2]-[4] the authors have obtained the representation as hypergeometric functions for generalized Meixner, Charlier, Kravchuk and Hahn polynomials as well as the corresponding second order difference equation that such polynomials satisfy. The connection of all these discrete polynomials with the Jacobi [27] and Laguerre [21] type where studied in details in [5]. In particular, in [5] they proved that the Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials [27] are a limit case of the generalized Hahn as well as the Laguerre-Koekoek [21], [23] are of the Meixner ones.

The aim of the present contribution is to give an unified approach to this subject including the spectral properties by means of the central moments of the polynomials [12] and the WKB or semiclassical approximation to the density of the distribution of zeros [8], [42], [43] and some asymptotic formulas for the polynomials. Also a new interpretation of the Krall polynomials in terms of special Jacobi matrices will be given.

The plan of the paper is the following. In Section 2 we give a general theory which allows us to obtain some general formulas for the Krall-type polynomials. From these formulas we obtain all the explicit formulas for the four families under consideration, i.e., the Jacobi-Koornwinder [27], the Laguerre-Koekoek [21], [23], and the Hermite-Krall and Gegenbauer-Krall [6]. Also a general algorithm is given to generate the second order differential equations that such polynomials satisfy.

In Section 3 we study the spectral properties of the Jacobi-Koornwinder [27], Laguerre-Koekoek [21],[23], Hermite-Krall [6] and Gegenbauer-Krall [6] polynomials by means of its central moments and the WKB or semiclassical approximation to the density of the distribution of zeros. Some particular cases are also included.

Finally, in Section 4 we consider some special cases of Krall-type polynomials obtained from the analysis of certain types of Jacobi matrices and quadratic transformation polynomial mappings.

2 The definition and the representation.

Let $\{P_n\}$ be a sequence of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to a linear functional $\mathcal{L}$ on the linear space of polynomials $\mathbb{P}$ with real coefficients defined as (a, b can be $\mp\infty$, respectively)

$$< \mathcal{L}, P > \; = \int_a^b P(x)\rho(x)dx, \qquad \rho(x) \in \mathcal{C}_{[a,b]}, \qquad \rho(x) > 0 \text{ for } x \in [a,b]. \tag{1}$$

Through the paper $\mathbb{P}$ will denote the linear space of polynomials with real coefficients.

Let us consider a new sequence $\{\tilde{P}_n\}$ orthogonal with respect to a linear functional $\mathcal{U}$ defined on $\mathbb{P}$ obtained from the above functional $\mathcal{L}$ by adding delta Dirac masses at points

$x_1, x_2, .., x_m$, i.e.,

$$< \mathcal{U}, P > \; =< \mathcal{L}, P > + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i P(x_i), \qquad x_i \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad A_i \geq 0\,. \tag{2}$$

We will determine the monic polynomials $\{\tilde{P}_n\}$ which are orthogonal with respect to the functional $\mathcal{U}$ and we will prove that they exist for all positive A_i. To obtain this, we can write the Fourier expansion of $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ in terms of the polynomials $P_n(x)$

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = P_n(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_{n,k} P_k(x). \tag{3}$$

In order to find the unknown coefficients $a_{n,k}$ we will use the orthogonality of the polynomials $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ with respect to $\mathcal{U}$, i.e.,

$$0 =< \mathcal{U}, \tilde{P}_n(x) P_k(x) >=< \mathcal{L}, \tilde{P}_n(x) P_k(x) > \quad + \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \tilde{P}_n(x_i) P_k(x_i), \qquad \forall k < n$$

we find

$$a_{n,k} = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \frac{\tilde{P}_n(x_i) P_k(x_i)}{d_k^2}, \tag{4}$$

where $d_k^2 =< \mathcal{L}, [P_k(x)]^2 >$. Finally, the equation (3) provides us the expression

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_n(x) \quad &= P_n(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \tilde{P}_n(x_i) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{P_k(x_i) P_k(x)}{d_k^2} = \\ &= P_n(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \tilde{P}_n(x_i) Ker_{n-1}(x, x_i). \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

In order to obtain the unknown values $\tilde{P}_n(x_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, ..., m$, we evaluate (5) in x_j, $j = 1, 2, ..., m$. In this way, the obtained linear system of equations

$$\tilde{P}_n(x_j) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} A_i \tilde{P}_n(x_i) Ker_{n-1}(x_j, x_i) = P_n(x_j), \qquad j = 1, 2, ..., m, \tag{6}$$

has an unique solution if and only if the determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 + A_1 Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_1) & A_2 Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_2) & \cdots & A_m Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_m) \\ A_1 Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_1) & 1 + A_2 Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_2) & \cdots & A_m Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_m) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ A_1 Ker_{n-1}(x_m, x_1) & A_2 Ker_{n-1}(x_m, x_2) & \cdots & 1 + A_m Ker_{n-1}(x_m, x_m) \end{vmatrix} \tag{7}$$

does not vanish for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This is also a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the nth degree polynomial $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

In this work we will consider the particular cases when we add one or two delta Dirac masses. Let us consider these cases with more details.

2.1 The Case of one point mass at $x = x_1$.

In this case from (5)-(6) we get

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = P_n(x) - A\tilde{P}_n(x_1)Ker_{n-1}(x, x_1),$$

$$\tilde{P}_n(x_1) = \frac{P_n(x_1)}{1 + A\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(P_k(x_1))^2}{d_k^2}}, \tag{8}$$

and the condition (7) becomes

$$1 + A\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{(P_k(x_1))^2}{d_k^2} \neq 0,$$

which is always true for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ since $A \geq 0$.

2.2 The Case of two point masses at $x = x_1$ and x_2.

Again we start from (5)-(6). Then,

$$\tilde{P}_n(x) = P_n(x) - A_1\tilde{P}_n(x_1)Ker_{n-1}(x, x_1) - A_2\tilde{P}_n(x_2)Ker_{n-1}(x, x_2),$$

$$\tilde{P}_n(x_1) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} P_n(x_1) & A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_2) \\ P_n(x_2) & 1 + A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_2) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 + A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_1) & A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_2) \\ A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_1) & 1 + A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_2) \end{vmatrix}}, \tag{9}$$

$$\tilde{P}_n(x_2) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} 1 + A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_1) & P_n(x_1) \\ A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_1) & P_n(x_2) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1 + A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_1) & A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_2) \\ A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_1) & 1 + A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_2) \end{vmatrix}},$$

and (7) becomes

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 + A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_1) & A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_1, x_2) \\ A_1Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_1) & 1 + A_2Ker_{n-1}(x_2, x_2) \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Moreover, if A_1 and A_2 are nonnegative constants then the above determinant is always positive. To prove this it is sufficient to expand the determinant and use the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality $(\sum a_k b_k)^2 \leq \sum a_k^2 \sum b_k^2$.

3 Applications to classical polynomials.

In the previous section we consider the polynomials orthogonal with respect to a very general weight function $\rho(x) \in \mathcal{C}_{[a,b]}$, $\rho(x) > 0$, $x \in [a, b]$. In this section we will consider some particular cases when $\rho(x)$ is some of the classical weight functions, i.e., the Jacobi, Laguerre,

Hermite or Gegenbauer weight functions, respectively. Moreover, since in expressions (8) and (9) the kernel polynomials $Ker_{n-1}(x, x_i)$ appear we will consider the case when we add some delta Dirac masses at the origin $x = 0$ or at the ends of the interval of orthogonality of the classical polynomials. The last consideration allows us to obtain explicit formulas for the kernel polynomials in terms of the classical polynomials and their derivatives [5], [6].

In this way, if we consider the Jacobi case and add two masses at $x = \pm 1$ we obtain the well-known Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials [27] and for special values of the masses A_1, A_2 the classical Krall polynomials [28], [29]. For Laguerre case when $x = 0$ we obtain the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials [21], [23]. Finally, for Hermite and Gegenbauer cases when $x = 0$ (the symmetric case) we obtained the Hermite-Krall and Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials introduced in [6].

The main data of the classical polynomials can be found in [17], [36], [39], for the monic polynomials see, for instance, [5], [6].

3.1 The Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials.

The Jacobi-Koornwinder orthogonal polynomials were introduced by T.H. Koornwinder [27]. They can be obtained from the generalized Hahn polynomials introduced in [4] as a limit case [5] and correspond to the case of adding two delta Dirac masses at the ends of the interval of orthogonality of the classical Jacobi polynomials.

Definition 1 *The Jacobi-Koornwinder orthogonal polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x)$ are the polynomials orthogonal with respect to a linear functional $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathbb{P}$ defined as follows ($A, B \geq 0$, $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$)*

$$< \mathcal{U}, P > = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}{2^{\alpha+\beta+1}\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}P(x)dx + AP(1) + BP(-1). \quad (10)$$

Using the expression (9) and the properties of classical monic Jacobi Polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x)$ we obtain the following representation of $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x)$ in terms of the classical Jacobi polynomials and their derivatives [5], [27]

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) = P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + \chi_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\frac{d}{dx}P_n^{\alpha-1,\beta}(x) - \chi_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\frac{d}{dx}P_n^{\alpha,\beta-1}(x), \quad (11)$$

where $\chi_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} = -AP_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(-1)\eta_n^{\alpha,\beta}$ and $\chi_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha} = -BP_n^{B,A,\beta,\alpha}(-1)\eta_n^{\beta,\alpha}$, $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(-1)$ and $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1)$ are given by

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(-1) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(-1) & BKer_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(-1,1) \\ P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(1) & 1+BKer_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(1,1) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} 1+AKer_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(-1,-1) & BKer_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(-1,1) \\ AKer_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(-1,1) & 1+BKer_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(1,1) \end{vmatrix}}, \quad (12)$$

and

$$P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1) = (-1)^n P_n^{\beta,\alpha,B,A}(-1). \quad (13)$$

The kernel polynomials $Ker_{n-1}^{J}(x, \pm 1)$ are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
Ker_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(-1,-1) &= \frac{\Gamma(\beta+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!\Gamma(\beta+2)\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}, \\
Ker_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(1,1) &= Ker_{n-1}^{J,\beta,\alpha}(-1,-1), \\
Ker_{n-1}^{J,\alpha,\beta}(-1,1) &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+n+1)}{2^{n-1}(n-1)!},
\end{aligned}
\tag{14}
$$

and $\eta_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $\eta_n^{\beta,\alpha}$ denote the quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\eta_n^{\alpha,\beta} &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2^{n-1}n!\Gamma(\alpha+n)\Gamma(\beta+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}, \\
\eta_n^{\beta,\alpha} &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta)\Gamma(\beta+1)}{2^{n-1}n!\Gamma(\beta+n)\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)},
\end{aligned}
\tag{15}
$$

respectively.

Also the following equivalent representation, similar to the representation obtained in [27] for the monic generalized polynomials, is valid

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) &= (1 - nJ_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} - nJ_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha})P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x) + \\
&\quad + [J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}(x-1) + J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}(1+x)]\frac{d}{dx}P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{16}
$$

where $J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} = -AP_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(-1)\tilde{\eta}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha} = -BP_n^{B,A,\beta,\alpha}(-1)\tilde{\eta}_n^{\beta,\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\eta}_n^{\alpha,\beta}$, $\tilde{\eta}_n^{\beta,\alpha}$ denote the quantities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\eta}_n^{\alpha,\beta} &= -\frac{(-1)^n\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{2^n n!\Gamma(\alpha+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}, \\
\tilde{\eta}_n^{\beta,\alpha} &= -\frac{(-1)^n\Gamma(2n+\alpha+\beta+1)\Gamma(\beta+1)}{2^n n!\Gamma(\beta+n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta+2)}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{17}
$$

From the above formula (16) we can obtain a lot of interesting properties, in particular the hypergeometric representation of the new polynomials [27], the second order differential equation [27], [20], [5] (see Appendix I) and the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
&x\,P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) = P_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) + \beta_n P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) + \gamma_n P_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x), \qquad n \geq 0 \\
&P_{-1}^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad P_0^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x) = 1,
\end{aligned}
\tag{18}
$$

which is a consequence of the orthogonality of the polynomials (10). The coefficients β_n can be obtained equating the coefficients of the x^n power in (18). Then,

$$
\beta_n = \frac{\beta^2 - \alpha^2}{(2n+\alpha+\beta)(2n+2+\alpha+\beta)} + n(J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} - J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}) - (n+1)(J_{A,B}^{n+1,\alpha,\beta} - J_{B,A}^{n+1,\beta,\alpha}).
$$

To obtain γ_n we notice that $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. Then, from (18)

$$
\gamma_n = (1-\beta_n)\,\frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1)}{P_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1)} - \frac{P_{n+1}^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1)}{P_{n-1}^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(1)}.
$$

Also from (16) it is possible to obtain the ratio asymptotics $\frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}}{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}}$. Firstly, we use the asymptotic formula for the $\Gamma(x)$ function [1] to obtain

$$J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} \sim \frac{\beta+1}{n^2}, \qquad J_{n,\beta,\alpha}^{B,A} \sim \frac{\alpha+1}{n^2}.$$

Then, the formulas for the ratio $\frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}}{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}}$ follow from the classical asymptotic formulas for the ratio $\frac{1}{n}\frac{P_n'^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta)}{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta)}$ in the interval $\theta \in [\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon]$, $0 < \varepsilon << 1$ or locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-1,1]$. They are obtained as a simple consequence of the Darboux formula in $\theta \in [\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon]$, $0 < \varepsilon << 1$ (see [39], Theorem 8.21.8, page 196) or the the Darboux formula in $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-1,1]$ (see [39], Theorem 8.21.7, page 196), respectively. From the above considerations we find

$$\frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(\cos\theta)}{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(\cos\theta)} = 1 - \frac{\alpha+\beta+2}{n} + \left(\frac{(\cos\theta+1)(\alpha+1)+(\cos\theta-1)(\beta+1)}{n}\right) \times$$

$$\times \frac{2}{\sin\theta}\tan\left[(n+\tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha+\beta+1))\theta - \tfrac{1}{2}(\alpha+\tfrac{1}{2})\pi\right] + o(\frac{1}{n}),$$

and

$$\frac{P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(z)}{P_n^{\alpha,\beta}(z)} = 1 - \frac{\alpha+\beta+2}{n} + \frac{2}{n}\left(\frac{(z+1)(\alpha+1)+(z-1)(\beta+1)}{\sqrt{z^2-1}}\right) + o(\frac{1}{n}),$$

valid in $\theta \in [\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon]$, $0 < \varepsilon << 1$ or the interval $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-1,1]$, respectively. The last formula holds uniformly in the exterior of an arbitrary closed curve which enclose the segment $[-1,1]$, moreover, if $z \in \mathbb{R}$, $z > 1$, the right side expression is a real function of z.

3.2 The Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials.

The Laguerre-Koekoek orthogonal polynomials were introduced in [27] as a limit case of the Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials and studied with more details in several works [21], [23], [25]. They also can be obtained as a limit case of the generalized Meixner polynomials introduced in [9], [2] using an appropiate limit transition [5].

Definition 2 *The Laguerre-Koekoek orthogonal polynomials $L_n^{\alpha,A}(x)$ are the polynomials orthogonal with respect to a linear functional $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathbb{P}$ defined as follows*

$$<\mathcal{U}, P> = \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)} x^\alpha e^{-x} P(x)dx + AP(0), \qquad A \geq 0,\ \alpha > -1. \tag{19}$$

Using the algorithm described before (see formula (8)) we find for the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials the following representation formula (see [5], [25] for more details)

$$L_n^{\alpha,A}(x) = L_n^\alpha(x) + \Gamma_n \frac{d}{dx} L_n^\alpha(x), \qquad \Gamma_n = \frac{A(\alpha+1)_n}{n!\left(1 + A\frac{(\alpha+2)_{n-1}}{(n-1)!}\right)}. \tag{20}$$

From (20) we can obtain a lot of properties, for example, the hypergeometric representation of the new polynomials [25], the second order differential equation [25] (see Appendix I) and the three-term recurrence relation

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x\, L_n^{\alpha,A}(x) = L_{n+1}^{\alpha,A}(x) + \beta_n L_n^{\alpha,A}(x) + \gamma_n L_{n-1}^{\alpha,A}(x), \qquad n \geq 0 \\
& L_{-1}^{\alpha,A}(x) = 0, \qquad \text{and} \qquad L_0^{\alpha,A}(x) = 1,
\end{aligned} \tag{21}
$$

which is a consequence of the orthogonality of the polynomials (19). The coefficients β_n and γ_n are given by formulas ($L_k^{\alpha,A}(0) \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$)

$$
\beta_n = 2n + \alpha + 1 + \Gamma_n - \Gamma_{n+1}, \qquad \gamma_n = \beta_n \frac{L_n^{\alpha,A}(0)}{L_{n-1}^{\alpha,A}(0)} - \frac{L_{n+1}^{\alpha,A}(0)}{L_{n-1}^{\alpha,A}(0)}.
$$

To obtain the ratio asymptotics $\dfrac{L_n^{\alpha,A}}{L_n^{\alpha}}$ we use the asymptotic formula for the $\Gamma(x)$ function [1] to obtain

$$
\Gamma_n \sim \frac{\alpha+1}{n},
$$

and then from (20) and by using the Perron Formula for the ratio $\dfrac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \dfrac{(L_n^{\alpha})'(z)}{L_n^{\alpha}(z)}$ of the classical Laguerre polynomials, $z \in \mathbb{C}\backslash[0,\infty)$, (see [40], Eq. (4.2.6) page 133 or [39], Theorem 8.22.3) we find

$$
\frac{L_n^{\alpha,A}(z)}{L_n^{\alpha}(z)} = 1 + \frac{\alpha+1}{\sqrt{n\, z}} \left[1 - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{-n\, z}} \left(2\alpha + 1 - z \right) \right] + o\left(\frac{1}{n} \right).
$$

3.3 The Hermite-Krall polynomials.

The Hermite-Krall polynomials were introduced in [6]. They can be obtained as a quadratic transformation of the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials [6].

Definition 3 *The* generalized monic Hermite polynomials $H_n^A(x)$ *are the polynomials orthogonal with respect to the linear functional* $\mathcal{U}$ *on* $\mathbb{P}$ *defined as follows*

$$
< \mathcal{U}, P > \; = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-x^2} P(x) dx + AP(0), \qquad A \geq 0. \tag{22}
$$

Again, from formula (8) after some straightforward calculations we obtain that the Hermite-Krall polynomials $H_n^A(x)$ admit the following representations in terms of the classical polynomials

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2m-1}^A(x) = H_{2m-1}(x), \qquad\qquad n = 2m-1,\ m = 1,2,..., \\
& 2x H_{2m}^A(x) = 2x H_{2m}(x) + B_m \frac{d}{dx} H_{2m}(x), \qquad n = 2m,\ m = 0,1,2,... \\
& B_m = \frac{A}{\left(1 + A \frac{2\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})}{\pi \Gamma(m)} \right)} \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})}{\pi\, m!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}
$$

Notice that the odd polynomials coincide with the classical ones. They are quadratic transformations of the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials [6]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{2m-1}^A(x) = x L_{m-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}(x^2), \qquad\qquad n = 2m-1,\ m = 1,2,3,... \\
& H_{2m}^A(x) = L_m^{-\frac{1}{2},A}(x^2) = L_m^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2) + B_m \tfrac{d}{dx^2} L_m^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x^2), \qquad n = 2m,\ m = 0,1,2,...
\end{aligned} \tag{24}
$$

Notice that from the above formula the connection with the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials follows. Again from the above representation we can obtain the hypergeometric representation [6], the second order differential equation [6] (see Appendix I) and the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{aligned} xH_n^A(x) &= H_{n+1}^A(x) + \beta_n H_n^A(x) + \gamma_n P_{n-1}^A(x), \qquad n \geq 0 \\ H_{-1}^A(x) &= 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad H_0^A(x) = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

which is a consequence of the orthogonality. The coefficient β_n is always equal to zero since the functional $\mathcal{U}$ is symmetric. For the coefficients γ_n we have [6]

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{2m} &= m(1+B_m), \qquad n = 2m, \quad m = 0,1,2,... \\ \gamma_{2m-1} &= \frac{(2m-1)}{2} \frac{1 + \frac{2A}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(m-\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m-1)}}{1 + \frac{2A}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(m)}}, \quad n = 2m-1, \quad m = 1,2,3,... \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

For the asymptotic formula we have

$$B_m \sim \frac{1}{2m}.$$

Then, for m large enough

$$\frac{H_{2m}^A(z)}{H_{2m}(z)} = 1 - \frac{1}{2\sqrt{m}\, iz} \left\{ 1 - \frac{iz}{\sqrt{m}} \right\} + o\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), \qquad z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}, \tag{27}$$

which is a consequence of (24) and the ratio asymptotics of the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials.

3.4 The Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials.

The Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials were introduced in [6]. They can be obtained as a quadratic transformation of the Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials [6].

Definition 4 *The* generalized monic Gegenbauer polynomials $G_n^{\lambda,A}(x)$ *are the polynomials orthogonal with respect to the linear functional* $\mathcal{U}$ *on* $\mathbb{P}$ *defined as follows*

$$< \mathcal{U}, P > \; = \int_{-1}^{1} (1-x^2)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} P(x) dx + AP(0), \qquad A \geq 0, \; \lambda > -\tfrac{1}{2}. \tag{28}$$

From formula (8) after some straightforward calculations we obtain that the generalized Gegenbauer polynomials $G_n^{\lambda,A}(x)$ have the following representation in terms of the classical ones

$$\begin{aligned} 2^m G_{2m+1}^{\lambda,A}(x) &= 2^m G_{2m+1}^{\lambda}(x) \qquad n = 2m-1, \; m = 0,1,2,... \\ 2xG_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(x) &= 2x(1+mW_m^A)G_{2m}^{\lambda}(x) + W_m^A(1-x^2)\tfrac{d}{dx}G_{2m}^{\lambda}(x) \qquad n = 2m, \; m = 0,1,2,... \end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

$$W_m^A = \frac{A}{\left(1 + A\frac{2\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{\pi\,(m-1)!\Gamma(m+\lambda-\frac{1}{2})}\right)} \frac{\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{\pi\, m!\Gamma(m+\lambda+\frac{1}{2})}.$$

Notice that, like in the previous case, the odd polynomials coincide with the classical ones. They are a quadratic transformation of the Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials [6]

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(x) &= 2^{-m} P_m^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2},-\frac{1}{2},2^\lambda A,0}(2x^2-1), \qquad m=0,1,2,... \\ G_{2m+1}^{\lambda,A}(x) &= 2^{-m} x P_m^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2},\frac{1}{2},}(2x^2-1), \qquad m=0,1,2,... \ , \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

The above formula represents the connection with the Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials. Again from the above representations we can obtain the hypergeometric representation [6], the second order differential equation (see Appendix I), and the three-term recurrence relation

$$\begin{gathered} xG_n^{\lambda,A}(x) = G_{n+1}^{\lambda,A}(x) + \beta_n G_n^{\lambda,A}(x) + \gamma_n G_{n-1}^{\lambda,A}(x), \\ G_{-1}^{\lambda,A}(x) = 0 \qquad \text{and} \qquad G_0^{\lambda,A}(x) = 1. \end{gathered} \tag{31}$$

where the coefficients $\beta_n = 0$ and the γ_n are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{2m} &= \frac{m(2m+2\lambda-1)}{2(2m+\lambda)(2m+\lambda-1)}\left[1+W_m^A(m+\lambda)\right], \qquad n=2m \qquad m=0,1,2,... \\ \gamma_{2m-1} &= \frac{(2m-1)(m+\lambda-1)}{2(2m+\lambda-1)} \frac{1+A\frac{2\Gamma(m-\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(m+\lambda-1)}{\pi(m-2)!\Gamma(m+\lambda-\frac{3}{2})}}{1+A\frac{2\Gamma(m+\frac{1}{2})\Gamma(m+\lambda)}{\pi(m-1)!\Gamma(m+\lambda-\frac{1}{2})}}, \qquad n=2m-1, \quad m=1,2,3,... \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Finally, for the asymptotic formulas we have

$$W_m^A \sim \frac{1}{2m^2}.$$

Then, we obtain for the generalized Gegenbauer polynomials the following asymptotic formula valid for $\theta \in [\varepsilon, \frac{\pi}{2}-\varepsilon] \bigcup [\frac{\pi}{2}+\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon]$ ($0 < \varepsilon << 1$)

$$\frac{G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(\cos\theta)}{G_{2m}^{\lambda}(\cos\theta)} = 1 + \frac{2}{m}\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta}\left\{\frac{\sin(2m\theta+\lambda\theta-\frac{1}{2}\lambda\pi)}{\cos(2m\theta+\lambda\theta-\frac{1}{2}\lambda\pi)}\right\}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), \tag{33}$$

which is a simple consequence of the Darboux formula in $\theta \in [\varepsilon, \pi-\varepsilon]$, $0 < \varepsilon << 1$ (see [39], Theorem 8.21.8, page 196).

For $x = \cos\frac{\pi}{2} = 0$ we can use the expression

$$G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(0) = \frac{G_{2m}^{\lambda}(0)}{1 + A\sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\frac{G_{2m}^{\lambda}(0)}{d_n^G}\right]^2},$$

where d_n^G is the norm of the Gegenbauer polynomials, which yields

$$\frac{G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(0)}{G_{2m}^{\lambda}(0)} = \frac{\pi}{2Am} + O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right).$$

For the ratio asymptotics off the interval of orthogonality we find

$$\frac{G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(z)}{G_{2m}^{\lambda}(z)} = 1 + \frac{2}{m}\left(\frac{1}{4} - \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{z^2}}\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{m}\right), \tag{34}$$

which holds uniformly in the exterior of an arbitrary closed curve which enclose the segment $[-1,1]$. The last expression is a consequence of the Darboux formula in $\mathbb{R}\backslash[-1,1]$ (see [39], Theorem 8.21.7, page 196).

4 The Distribution of zeros: the moments μ_r and the WKB density.

In this section we will study the distribution of zeros of the Jacobi-Koornwinder, Laguerre-Koekoek, Hermite-Krall and Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials. We will use a general method presented in [12] for the moments of low order and the WKB approximation [8], [42], [43] in order to obtain an approximation to the density of the distribution of zeros.

First of all we point out that, since our polynomials are orthogonal with respect to a positive definite functional all their zeros are real, simple and located in the interior of the interval of orthogonality. This is a necessary condition in order to apply the next algorithms.

4.1 The moments of the distribution of zeros.

The method presented in [12] allows us to compute the moments μ_r of the distribution of zeros $\rho_n(x)$ around the origin, i.e.,

$$\mu_r = \frac{1}{n} y_r = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{n,i}^r, \qquad \rho_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta(x - x_{n,i}).$$

Buendía, Dehesa and Gálvez [12] have obtained a general formula to find these quantities (see [12], Section II, Eq.(11) and (13), page 226). We will apply these two formulas to obtain the general expression for the moments μ_1 and μ_2, but firstly, let us to introduce some notations.

We will rewrite the SODE that such polynomials satisfy

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \tilde{P}_n(x) + \tilde{\tau}_n(x) \frac{d}{dx} \tilde{P}_n(x) + \tilde{\lambda}_n(x) \tilde{P}_n(x) = 0$$

where now

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{c_2} a_k^{(2)} x^k, \qquad \tilde{\tau}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{c_1} a_k^{(1)} x^k, \qquad \tilde{\lambda}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{c_0} a_k^{(0)} x^k, \tag{35}$$

and c_2, c_1, c_0 are the degrees of the polynomials $\tilde{\sigma}_n(x)$, $\tilde{\tau}_n(x)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_n(x)$, respectively. Here the values $a_j^{(i)}$ can be found from (54) in a straightforward way. Let $\xi_0 = 1$ and $q = max\{c_2 - 2, c_1 - 1, c_0\}$. Then from [12], (Section II, Eq.(11) and (13), page 226)

$$\xi_1 = y_1, \qquad \xi_2 = \frac{y_1^2 - y_2}{2}, \tag{36}$$

and

$$\xi_s = - \frac{\displaystyle\sum_{m=1}^{s} (-1)^m \xi_{s-m} \sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{(n-s+m)!}{(n-s+m-i)!} a_{i+q-m}^{(i)}}{\displaystyle\sum_{i=0}^{2} \frac{(n-s)!}{(n-s-i)!} a_{i+q}^{(i)}}. \tag{37}$$

In general $\xi_k = \dfrac{(-1)^k}{k!}\mathcal{Y}_k(-y_1, -y_2, -2y_3, ..., -(k-1)!k_n)$ where $\mathcal{Y}_k$-symbols denote the well known Bell polynomials in number theory [38].

Let us now to apply these general formulas to obtain the first two central moments μ_1 and μ_2 of our polynomials. Equation (37) give the following values.

4.1.1 Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x)$.

$$\xi_1 = \frac{n\,\left(-\alpha+\beta+2\,\alpha\,J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}-2\,\beta\,J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\,n-2\,J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\,n\right)}{\alpha+\beta+2\,n}.$$

Then,

$$\mu_1 = \frac{-\alpha+\beta+2\,\alpha\,J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}-2\,\beta\,J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\,n-2\,J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\,n}{\alpha+\beta+2\,n}.$$

For the moments of second order μ_2 the expression can be found by straightforward but cumbersome calculation and we will not give explicitly. The asymptotic behavior of the moment μ_1 is

$$\mu_1 \sim \frac{\alpha-\beta}{2} + O(\frac{1}{n})$$

As particular cases we will consider the Legendre-Koornwinder polynomials $P_n^{0,0,A,B}(x)$ and the Gegenbauer-Koornwinder polynomials $G_n^{\nu,A,B}(x) \equiv P_n^{\nu,\nu,A,B}$. For the first ones $P_n^{0,0,A,B}(x)$ we have

$$\mu_1 = -J_{A,B}^{n,0,0} + J_{B,A}^{n,0,0},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2\,n-1}\,(&-1+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,0,0}+2\,J_{B,A}^{n,0,0}+n-2\,J_{A,B}^{n,0,0}\,n-J_{A,B}^{n,0,0^2}\,n-2\,J_{B,A}^{n,0,0}\,n+\\&+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,0,0}\,J_{B,A}^{n,0,0}\,n-J_{B,A}^{n,0,0^2}\,n+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,0,0^2}\,n^2-4\,J_{A,B}^{n,0,0}\,J_{B,A}^{n,0,0}\,n^2+2\,J_{B,A}^{n,0,0^2}\,n^2\,),\end{aligned}$$

and for Gegenbauer-Koornwinder polynomials

$$\mu_1 = -J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu} + J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu},$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_2 = \frac{1}{2\,n+2\nu-1}\,(&-1+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu}+2\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu}+n-2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,n-J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu^2}\,n-\\&-2\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,n2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,n-J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu^2}\,n+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu^2}\,n^2-4\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,n^2+\\&+2\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu^2}\,n^2+2\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu^2}\,n\,\nu-4\,J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu}\,n\,\nu+2\,J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu^2}\,n\,\nu\,).\end{aligned}$$

Notice that in both cases if $A = B$, $J_{A,B}^{n,\nu,\nu} \equiv J_{A,A}^{n,\nu,\nu} = J_{B,A}^{n,\nu,\nu}$ and then, $\mu_1 = 0$.

4.1.2 Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials $L_n^{\alpha,A}(x)$.

$$\xi_1 = n\,(\alpha-\Gamma_n+n), \qquad \xi_2 = \frac{(1-n)\,(1-\alpha+2\,\Gamma_n-n)\,n\,(\alpha+n)}{2}.$$

Then,

$$\mu_1 = \alpha-\Gamma_n+n,$$

$$\mu_2 = -\alpha+\alpha^2-2\,\alpha\,\Gamma_n-n+3\,\alpha\,n-2\,\Gamma_n\,n+{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+2\,n^2.$$

The asymptotic behavior of the moments are

$$\mu_1 \sim n + O(1) \text{ and } \mu_2 \sim 2n^2 + O(n).$$

4.1.3 Hermite-Krall polynomials $H_n^A(x)$.

• If $n = 2m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$, then

$$\xi_1 = 0, \qquad \xi_2 = \frac{(1 + 2\,B_n - 2m)\;m}{2},$$

and the moments are

$$\mu_1 = 0, \qquad \mu_2 = \frac{(2m - 1 - 2\,B_m)}{2}.$$

• If $n = 2m - 1$, $m = 1, 2, ...$, then, $H_{2m-1}^A(x) \equiv H_{2m-1}(x)$

$$\xi_1 = 0, \qquad \xi_2 = (1 - m)\;m,$$

and the moments are

$$\mu_1 = 0, \qquad \mu_2 = (m - 1).$$

The asymptotic behavior of these two moments in both cases is

$$\mu_1 = 0 \text{ and } \mu_2 \sim \frac{n}{2} + O(n).$$

4.1.4 Gegenbauer polynomials $G_n^{\lambda,A}(x)$.

• If $n = 2m$, $m = 0, 1, 2, ...$, then

$$\xi_1 = 0, \qquad \xi_2 = \frac{m\;\left(-1 + 2m + W_m - n^2\,W_m - 2\,\lambda\,W_m\right)}{2\;(-1 + 2m + \lambda)\;(-1 + 2m\,W_m)},$$

and the moments are

$$\mu_1 = 0, \qquad \mu_2 = \frac{1 - 2m - W_m + 4m^2\,W_m + 2\,\lambda\,W_m}{2\;(-1 + 2m + \lambda)\;(-1 + 2m\,W_m)}.$$

• If $n = 2m - 1$, $m = 1, 2, ...$, then, $G_{2m-1}^{\lambda,A}(x) \equiv G_{2m-1}\lambda(x)$

$$\xi_1 = 0, \qquad \xi_2 = \frac{2m\;(2 - 2m)}{4\;(-2 + 2m + \lambda)},$$

and the moments are

$$\mu_1 = 0, \qquad \mu_2 = \frac{2m - 1}{2\;(2m - 2 + \lambda)}.$$

The asymptotic behavior of these two moments in both cases is

$$\mu_1 = 0 \text{ and } \mu_2 \sim \frac{1}{2} + O(n^{-1}).$$

Notice that equation (37) and relation $\xi_k = \dfrac{(-1)^k}{k!}\mathcal{Y}_k(-y_1, -y_2, -2y_3, ..., -(k-1)!y_k)$ provide us a general method to obtain all the moments $\mu_r = \frac{1}{n}y_r$, but it is hightly non-linear and cumbersome. This is a reason why it is useful only for the moments of low order.

4.2 The WKB density of the distribution of zeros.

Next, we will analyze the so-called semiclassical or WKB approximation of the distribution of zeros (see [8],[42], [43] and references contained therein). Denoting the zeros of $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ by $\{x_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^n$ we can define its distribution function as

$$\rho_n(x) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\delta(x - x_{n,k}). \tag{38}$$

We will follow the method presented in [42] in order to obtain the WKB density of zeros, which is an approximate expression for the density of zeros of solutions of any second order linear differential equation with polynomial coefficients

$$a_2(x)y'' + a_1(x)y' + a_0(x)y = 0 \tag{39}$$

The main result is established in the following

Theorem 1 *Let $S(x)$ and $\epsilon(x)$ be the functions*

$$S(x) = \frac{1}{4a_2^2}\{2a_2(2a_0 - a_1') + a_1(2a_2' - a_1)\}, \tag{40}$$

$$\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{4[S(x)]^2}\left\{\frac{5[S'(x)]^2}{4[S(x)]} - S''(x)\right\} = \frac{P(x,n)}{Q(x,n)}, \tag{41}$$

where $P(x,n)$ and $Q(x,n)$ are polynomials in x as well as in n. If the condition $\epsilon(x) << 1$ holds, then, the semiclassical or WKB density of zeros of the solutions of (39) is given by

$$\rho_{WKB}(x) = \frac{1}{\pi}\sqrt{S(x)}, \qquad x \in I \subseteq \mathbb{R}, \tag{42}$$

in every interval I where the function $S(x)$ is positive.

The proof of this Theorem can be found in [8], [42].

Now we can apply this result to our differential equation (53)-(54). Using the coefficients of the equation (53)-(54) we obtain that in all cases under consideration we have for sufficiently large n, $\epsilon(x) \sim n^{-1}$. Then, from the above Theorem the corresponding WKB density of zeros of the polynomials $\tilde{P}_n(x)$ follows. The computations are very long and cumbersome. For this reason we write a little program using MATHEMATICA [41] and provide here only some special cases and some graphics representation for the $\rho_{WKB}(x)$ function.

4.2.1 Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials $P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x)$.

In this case the explicit expression of $\rho_{wkb}(x)$ is very large and cumbersome, so we will provide some particular cases. If we take the limit $A \to 0$, $B \to 0$ in the obtained expression we recover the classical expression [42], [43]

$$\rho_{wkbclas}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{R(x)}}{2\,\pi\,(1-x^2)},$$

$$R(x) = 4 + 2\,\alpha - \alpha^2 + 2\,\beta + 2\,\alpha\,\beta - \beta^2 + 4\,m + 4\,\alpha\,m + 4\,\beta\,m + 4\,m^2 - 2\,\alpha^2\,x + 2\,\beta^2\,x - \\ -2\,\alpha\,x^2 - \alpha^2\,x^2 - 2\,\beta\,x^2 - 2\,\alpha\,\beta\,x^2 - \beta^2\,x^2 - 4\,m\,x^2 - 4\,\alpha\,m\,x^2 - 4\,\beta\,m\,x^2 - 4\,m^2\,x^2,$$

For the particular case $\alpha = \beta = 0$, i.e., Legendre-Koornwinder polynomials we find

$$\rho_{wkb}^{\alpha=0,\beta=0}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(1+m+m^2-m\,x^2-m^2\,x^2)}}{\pi\,(1-x^2)}.$$

Again, taking the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n}\rho_{wkb}^{\alpha=0,\beta=0}(x)$, we find the known expression for the classical Legendre polynomials [42]

$$\rho(x) = \frac{1}{\pi\sqrt{1-x^2}}.$$



Figure 1: WKB density of zeros of $P_n^{A,B,\alpha,\beta}(x)$.

In Figure 1 we represent the WKB density of zeros for the Legendre-Koornwinder and Gegenbauer-Koornwinder (with $\alpha = \beta = 5$) polynomials. We have plotted the Density function for different values of n (from top to bottom) $n = 10^6, 10^5, 10^4$. Notice that the value of the mass doesn't play a crucial role, since for $n >> 1$ $J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} \sim \frac{\beta+1}{n}$, $J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha} \sim \frac{\alpha+1}{n}$, independently of the values of the masses A and B.

4.2.2 Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials $L_n^{\alpha,A}(x)$.

Again the explicit expression of $\rho_{wkb}(x)$ is very large and cumbersome. Firstly we can convince ourselves that using (42) and taking the limit when $A \to 0$ we find

$$\rho_{wkbclas}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{(1-\alpha^2+2\,x+2\,\alpha\,x+4\,m\,x-x^2)}}{2\,\pi\,x}.$$

which coincides with the classical expression [42], [43]. If we now consider the special case $\alpha = 0$ we obtain

$$\rho_{wkb}^{\alpha=0}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{R(x)}}{2\,\pi\,x^2\,\left(-\Gamma_n+{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+x+\Gamma_n\,x\right)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) = \;& x^2\left(2\,\Gamma_n-2\,{\Gamma_n}^2\,n-5\,x-4\,\Gamma_n\,x-{\Gamma_n}^2\,n\,x-x^2-\Gamma_n\,x^2\right)\times \\ & \times\left(2\,\Gamma_n-2\,{\Gamma_n}^2\,n-x-2\,\Gamma_n\,x+{\Gamma_n}^2\,n\,x+x^2+\Gamma_n\,x^2\right)+ \\ & +2\,x^2\,\left(-\Gamma_n+{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+x+\Gamma_n\,x\right)\times \\ & \times\left(\,2\,\Gamma_n-2\,{\Gamma_n}^2\,n-2\,x-4\,\Gamma_n\,x-4\,\Gamma_n\,n\,x+2\,{\Gamma_n}^2\,n^2\,x+3\,x^2+\right. \\ & \left.+3\,\Gamma_n\,x^2+2\,n\,x^2+2\,\Gamma_n\,n\,x^2\,\right). \end{aligned}$$



Figure 2: WKB density of zeros of $L_n^{0,A}(x)$.

In Figure 2 we represent the WKB density of zeros for the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials with $\alpha = 0$. We have plotted the density function for different values of n (from top to bottom) $n = 10^5, 5 \times 10^4, 10^4, 10^3$. Notice that the value of the mass doesn't play a crucial role, since for $n >> 1$ $\Gamma_n \sim \frac{(\alpha+1)}{n}$, independently of A .

4.2.3 Hermite-Krall polynomials $H_{2m}^A(x)$.

We will analyze only the polynomials of even degree, i.e., $\tilde{P}_{2m}(x)$. In this case from (40) and (42)

$$\rho_{wkbclas}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{R(x)}}{(-B_m + 2\,B_m^2\,m + 2\,x^2 + 2\,B_m\,x^2)},$$

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) \quad &= -6\,B_m - 3\,B_m^2 + 24\,B_m^2\,m + 8\,B_m^3\,m - 32\,B_m^3\,m^2 - 4\,B_m^4\,m^2 + 16\,B_m^4\,m^3 - \\ &-8\,B_m\,x^2 - 9\,B_m^2\,x^2 - 32\,B_m\,m\,x^2 - -32\,B_m^2\,m\,x^2 + 4\,B_m^3\,m\,x^2 + \\ &+32\,B_m^2\,m^2\,x^2 + 32\,B_m^3\,m^2\,x^2 - 4\,B_m^4\,m^2\,x^2 + 4\,x^4 + 12\,B_m\,x^4 - 8\,B_m^2\,x^4 + \\ &+16\,m\,x^4 + 32\,B_m\,m\,x^4 + 8\,B_m^2\,m\,x^4 - 8\,B_m^3\,m\,x^4 - 4\,x^6 - 8\,B_m\,x^6 - 4\,B_m^2\,x^6. \end{aligned}$$

If we take the limit $A \to 0$, again we recover the classical expression [42], [43]

$$\rho_{wkb}^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\,m - x^2}}{\pi}.$$



Figure 3: WKB density of zeros of the $H_n^A(x)$.

In Figure 3 we represent the WKB density of zeros for our generalized Hermite polynomials. We have plotted the Density function for different values of n (from top to bottom) $n = 2 \times 10^4, 1.5 \times 10^4, 10^4, 10^3$. Notice that the value of the mass doesn't play a crucial role, since for $n >> 1$ $B_m \sim \frac{1}{2m}$, independently of A .

4.2.4 Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials $G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(x)$.

We will analyze only the polynomials of even degree, i.e., $\tilde{P}_{2m}(x)$. In this case the expression is very large and we will provide only the limit case when $A \to 0$ which agrees with the



Figure 4: WKB density of zeros of the $G_n^{\lambda,A}(x)$.

classical expression [42], [43]

$$\rho_{wkb}^{\lambda}(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2+16\,m^2+4\lambda+16\,m\lambda+x^2-16\,m^2\,x^2-16\,m\lambda\,x^2-4\,\lambda^2\,x^2}}{2\pi(1-x^2)}.$$

In Figure 4 we represent the WKB density of zeros for our generalized Gegenbauer polynomials. Notice that the value of the mass doesn't play a crucial role, since for $n >> 1$, $W_m \sim \frac{1}{2m^2}$, independently of A. We have plotted the Density function for different values of the degree of the polynomials (from top to bottom) $n = 2\times 10^4, 1.5\times 10^4, 10^4, 10^3$ for two different cases: the generalized Legendre polynomials ($\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$) and the generalized Gegenbauer with $\lambda = 5$.

5 Other interesting examples.

In this section we will give some other examples of families of Krall-type orthogonal polynomials, obtained using quadratic transformations of the variable of a given sequence of orthogonal polynomials. These examples can be obtained as an application of the following theorem [33].

Theorem 2 *Let $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a monic orthogonal polynomial sequence (MOPS) with respect to some uniquely determined distribution function $\sigma(x)$ and let $[\xi,\eta]$ be the true interval of orthogonality of $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, with $-\infty < \xi < \eta \leq +\infty$. Let a and λ be fixed real numbers, $T(x) \equiv (x-a)(x-b)+c$ a real polynomial of degree two and put $\Delta = (b-a)^2 - 4c$. Let $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of polynomials such that*

$$Q_2(a) = \lambda, \quad Q_{2n+1}(x) = (x-a)P_n(T(x))$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$. Assume that one of the following conditions hold

$$(i) \quad c \leq \xi + \lambda$$

$$(ii) \quad c \leq \xi, \qquad -\infty < \lim_{n\to+\infty} \frac{P_n(\xi)}{P_{n-1}^{(1)}(\xi)} \equiv A \leq \lambda \leq B \equiv \frac{P_n(\eta)}{P_{n-1}^{(1)}(\eta)},$$

where $B \equiv +\infty$ if $\eta = +\infty$ and $\{P_n^{(1)}\}_{n\geq 0}$ denotes the sequence of the associated polynomials of the first kind [14] corresponding to $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$. Then, $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a MOPS with respect to

a positive definite linear functional if and only if

$$\lambda < 0, \quad Q_{2n}(x) = P_n(T(x)) - \frac{a_n(\lambda, c)}{a_{n-1}(\lambda, c)} P_{n-1}(T(x))$$

hold for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ *and*

$$a_n(\lambda, c) = P_n(c) - \lambda P_{n-1}^{(1)}(c)\,.$$

In these conditions, $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ *is orthogonal with respect to the uniquely determined distribution function* $\tilde{\sigma}(x)$ *defined as*

$$d\tilde{\sigma} = M\delta(x-a) - \frac{\lambda}{|x-a|}\frac{d\sigma(T(x))}{T'(x)}\,, \quad r < \left|x - \frac{a+b}{2}\right| < s$$

where

$$M = \mu_0 + \lambda F(c;\sigma) \geq 0\,, \quad r = \sqrt{\xi + \frac{\Delta}{4}}\,, \quad s = \sqrt{\eta + \frac{\Delta}{4}},$$

$F(z;\sigma) = \displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\sigma(t)}{t-z}$ *is the Stieltjes functions associated to the distribution function* σ *and* $\mu_0 = \displaystyle\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\sigma_P(x)$.

5.1 Generalized Hermite polynomials with a mass at $x = 0$.

Let $\{L_n^\alpha\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the sequence of the monic classical Laguerre polynomials which are orthogonal with respect to the weight function $w(x) = x^\alpha \mathrm{e}^{-x}, x \in [0,\infty)$, $\alpha > -1$. If $\alpha > 0$, it follows from the last theorem [33] that, for each λ such that $-\alpha < \lambda < 0$, the sequence of monic polynomials defined by

$$Q_{2n+1}(x) = xL_n^\alpha(x^2), \quad Q_{2n}(x) = L_n^\alpha(x^2) - \frac{a_n}{a_{n-1}} L_{n-1}^\alpha(x^2),$$

where

$$a_n = L_n^\alpha(0) - \lambda \left(L_{n-1}^\alpha\right)^{(1)}(0), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,$$

and $\left(L_{n-1}^\alpha\right)^{(1)}$ denotes the associated polynomials of the first kind of the Laguerre polynomials is orthogonal with respect to the measure

$$d\sigma(x) = \Gamma(\alpha+1)\left(1 + \frac{\lambda}{\alpha}\right)\delta_0(x)dx - \lambda|x|^{2\alpha-1}\mathrm{e}^{-x^2}dx, \qquad x \in (-\infty, \infty)\,.$$

Choosing $\lambda = -\alpha$ we have that, up to a constant factor, $d\sigma(x) = |x|^{2\mu}\mathrm{e}^{-x^2}dx$, with $\mu = \alpha - \frac{1}{2}$. Hence $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is the sequence of the monic generalized Hermite polynomials $Q_n \equiv H_n^{(\mu)}$, $\mu > -\frac{1}{2}$ (cf. [14], page 157). However, if we choose λ such that $-\alpha < \lambda < 0$, then one can see that there is always a mass point, located at $x = 0$. This example generalizes the Hermite-Krall polynomials considered before.

5.2 A finite 2-periodic Jacobi matrix.

Let B_n be a tridiagonal 2-Toeplitz matrix, which has the general form

$$B_n = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & b_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ c_1 & a_2 & b_2 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & c_2 & a_1 & b_1 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & c_1 & a_2 & b_2 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_2 & a_1 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{(n,n)} \tag{43}$$

where we assume that b_1, b_2, c_1 and c_2 are positive numbers. This special matrix has been studied in [19] and also in [32].

Since $b_i > 0$ and $c_i > 0$ for $i = 1, 2$ then there exists an OPS, $\{S_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, such that B_n is the corresponding Jacobi matrix of order n. Let $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be the corresponding monic OPS. Then

$$Q_{2n}(x) = (b_1 b_2)^n S_{2n}(x) \quad , \quad Q_{2n+1}(x) = b_1 (b_1 b_2)^n S_{2n+1}(x) \quad .$$

Moreover, according to [32], $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ can be obtained by a quadratic polynomial mapping on a linear transformation of the monic Chebyshev polynomials of second kind $\{U_n\}$. In fact, putting

$$T(x) = (x - a_1)(x - a_2), \quad \alpha = 2\sqrt{b_1 b_2 c_1 c_2}, \quad \beta = b_1 c_1 + b_2 c_2,$$

we have

$$Q_{2n+1}(x) = (x - a_1) P_n(T(x)), \quad Q_{2n}(x) = R_n(T(x)),$$

where

$$P_n(x) = \alpha^n U_n\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right), \quad R_n(x) = P_n(x) + b_2 c_2 P_{n-1}(x)$$

for all $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. Notice that P_n is orthogonal with respect to the distribution function

$$\sigma_P(x) = \sigma_U\left(\frac{x-\beta}{\alpha}\right), \quad \text{supp}(\sigma_P) = [\beta - \alpha, \beta + \alpha] \ ,$$

where σ_U is the distribution function of the Chebyshev polynomials [17], [39] so that

$$d\sigma_P(x) = \frac{2}{\pi\alpha^2}\sqrt{\alpha^2 - (x-\beta)^2}\, dx \ .$$

From [33] the Stieltjes function of $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is

$$F_Q(z) = \frac{M}{a_1 - z} + \frac{b_1 c_1}{z - a_1}[F_P(T(z)) - F_P(0)], \tag{44}$$

where F_P denotes the Stieltjes function associated with σ_P, $M = \mu_0 - b_1 c_1 F_P(0)$ and μ_0 is the first moment of σ_P. Clearly, $\mu_0 = \int_{\beta-\alpha}^{\beta+\alpha} d\sigma_P(x) = 1$. Furthermore, using the Stieltjes function F_U of the Chebyshev polynomials [40] (page 176)

$$F_P(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} F_U\left(\frac{z-\beta}{\alpha}\right) = \frac{-2}{\alpha^2}\left(z - \beta - \sqrt{(z-\beta)^2 - \alpha^2}\right) \ ,$$

where the square root is such that $|z - \beta + \sqrt{(z-\beta)^2 - \alpha^2}| > \alpha$ whenever $z \notin [\beta - \alpha, \beta + \alpha]$. Since $0 \notin [\beta - \alpha, \beta + \alpha]$ for $b_1 c_1 \neq b_2 c_2$ (this is no restriction, because the case $b_1 c_1 = b_2 c_2$

corresponds to constant values along the diagonal of the corresponding Jacobi matrix), elementary computations give $F_P(0) = \min\{b_1c_1, b_2c_2\}/b_1c_1b_2c_2$. Hence

$$M = 1 - \frac{\min\{b_1c_1, b_2c_2\}}{b_2c_2}.$$

It turns out from (44) that the Stieltjes function of $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ reads as

$$F_Q(z) = \frac{M}{a_1 - z} - \frac{1}{\alpha b_2 c_2}\frac{1}{z - a_1}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(T(z) - \beta - \sqrt{(T(z)-\beta)^2 - \alpha^2}\right) + \min\{b_1c_1, b_2c_2\}\right] .$$

From this we find (see [33]) that $\{Q_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is orthogonal with respect to the distribution function

$$\begin{aligned} d\sigma_Q(x) &= M\delta(x - a_1) + \frac{b_1c_1}{|x - a_1|}d\sigma_P(T(x)) \\ &= M\delta(x - a_1) + \frac{1}{2\pi\, b_2c_2}\frac{1}{|x - a_1|}\sqrt{4b_1b_2c_1c_2 - (T(x) - b_1c_1 - b_2c_2)^2}\, dx \quad , \end{aligned}$$

the support being the union of two intervals if $M = 0$ and the union of two intervals with a singular point if $M > 0$ either

$$\mathrm{supp}(\sigma_Q) = T^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(\sigma_P)) \quad \text{if} \quad b_1c_1 \leq b_2c_2 \quad ,$$

or

$$\mathrm{supp}(\sigma_Q) = T^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(\sigma_P)) \cup \{a_1\} \quad \text{if} \quad b_1c_1 > b_2c_2 \quad .$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned} T^{-1}(\mathrm{supp}(\sigma_P)) &= T^{-1}([\beta - \alpha, \beta + \alpha]) \\ &= [\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} - s, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} - r] \cup [\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} + r, \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} + s] \ , \end{aligned}$$

where

$$r = \left(\left|\sqrt{b_1c_1} - \sqrt{b_2c_2}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{a_1 - a_2}{2}\right|^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad s = \left(\left|\sqrt{b_1c_1} + \sqrt{b_2c_2}\right|^2 + \left|\frac{a_1 - a_2}{2}\right|^2\right)^{1/2} .$$

As we can see, for the case when $b_1c_1 > b_2c_2$ a set of polynomials orthogonal with respect to a weight function, of the form $\rho(x) + \delta(x - x_0)$ where $\rho(x)$ is a continuous function, i.e., a Krall-type weight function appears in a very natural way.

WKB Approximation for the distribution of eigenvalues of a tridiagonal two-periodic symmetric matrix.

To conclude this work let us to consider an special case of a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix [7]

$$H_m = \begin{pmatrix} a & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ c & b & d & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & d & a & c & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} . \tag{45}$$

For this matrix we will obtain the density of the distribution of eigenvalues, i.e., the WKB density of the corresponding sequence of orthogonal polynomials which are, in general, of the

Krall-type. Here we want to point out that in the odd case ($m = 2n+1$) the corresponding polynomials are $Q_{2n+1}(x) = (x-a)P_n(T(x))$, so we can consider only the distribution of zeros of P_n, since for any n, $x = a$ is always a zero of the polynomial and then an eigenvalue of H_{2n}. Furthermore, $P_n(T(x))$ is a quadratic modification of the Chebyshev polynomials $U_n(x)$ and then they satisfy a SODE which follows from the classical ones

$$(1-x^2)U_n''(x) - 3xU_n'(x) + n(n+2)U_n(x) = 0, \tag{46}$$

just providing the change $x \leftrightarrow T(x)$. In fact we have that $P_n(T(x))$ satisfies a SODE (39) with the coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) = &\quad \left(4\,c^2\,d^2 - \left(-(a\,b) + c^2 + d^2 + a\,x + b\,x - x^2\right)^2\right) \\ q(x) = &\quad 3\,(-a-b+2\,x)\,\left(-(a\,b) + c^2 + d^2 + a\,x + b\,x - x^2\right) - \\ &\quad -2\,\left(4\,c^2\,d^2 - \left(-(a\,b) + c^2 + d^2 + a\,x + b\,x - x^2\right)^2\right) \\ r(x) = &\quad n\,(2+n)\,(-a-b+2\,x)^2 \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

For the even case the situation is more complicated since we need to calculate the SODE for the $R_n(T(x))$ polynomials. Using the symbolic program MATHEMATICA [41], as well as the package *PowerSeries* developed by Koepf [26] we obtain for the $R_n(x)$ polynomials a SODE (39) with coefficients

$$\begin{aligned} p(x) &= \left(1 + n + c^4\,n + c^2\,x + 2\,c^2\,n\,x\right)\,\left(-1 + x^2\right) \\ q(x) &= c^2 + 2\,c^2\,n + 3\,x + 3\,n\,x + 3\,c^4\,n\,x + 2\,c^2\,x^2 + 4\,c^2\,n\,x^2 \\ r(x) &= -2\,n + c^4\,n - 3\,n^2 - n^3 - c^4\,n^3 - c^2\,n\,x - 3\,c^2\,n^2\,x - 2\,c^2\,n^3\,x \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

The change of variables $x \leftrightarrow T(x)$ ($T(x) = (x-a)(x-b)$) in the previous SODE yields

$$\tilde{p}(x)Q_{2n}''(x) + \tilde{q}(x)Q_{2n}'(x) + \tilde{r}(x)Q_{2n}(x) = 0,$$

where the coefficients are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}(x) &= 4c^2d^2T''(x)p\left(\tfrac{T(x)-a^2-b^2}{2cd}\right), \\ \tilde{q}(x) &= 2cdT'(x)^2q\left(\tfrac{T(x)-a^2-b^2}{2cd}\right) - 8c^2d^2p\left(\tfrac{T(x)-a^2-b^2}{2cd}\right), \\ \tilde{r}(x) &= T'(x)^3r\left(\tfrac{T(x)-a^2-b^2}{2cd}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Substituting (47), (49) in (42) we can find the WKB density of the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian matrix B_n. An straightforward calculations show us that the conditions of the Theorem 1 are satisfied if $n >> 1$. The expression for the $\rho_{WKB}(x)$, in both cases, is to large and we will only show the typical behaviour of the WKB density (see figure 5).

As we can see in figure 5 we have that all eigenvalues are located inside the support of the measure ecxept the one equal to a in the odd case. In the picture the values $a = 1$, $b = 2$, $c = 3$ and $d = 4$ are used (from top to bottom $n = 10000, 5000, 1000, 100$).



Figure 5: WKB Density of the Distribution of the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix H.

Appendix I: The second order differential equation for the Krall polynomials.

In this section we give a general algorithm to obtain the second order differential equation (SODE) which satisfy the considered Krall polynomials, denoted here by $\tilde{P}_n(x)$, i.e., the Jacobi-Koornwinder, the Laguerre-Koekoek, the Hermite-Krall and Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials. The main fact that we will use is such that all of them can be represented in terms of the classical families $\{P_n\}$ in the form

$$q(x)\tilde{P}_n(x) = a(x)P_n(x) + b(x)P_n'(x), \tag{50}$$

where q, a, b are polynomials in x and some function on n (see formulas (16), (20), (23) and (29)). In the next table are represented q, a, b for each of the families $\{\tilde{P}_n\}$

$\tilde{P}_n(x)$	$q(x)$	$a(x)$	$b(x)$
$P_n^{\alpha,\beta,A,B}(x)$	1	$1 - nJ_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} - nJ_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}$	$J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}(x-1) + J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}(1+x)$
$L_n^{\alpha,A}(x)$	1	1	Γ_n
$H_{2m}^{A}(x)$	$2x$	$2x$	B_m
$G_{2m}^{\lambda,A}(x)$	$2x$	$2x(1+mW_m^A)$	$W_m^A(1-x^2)$

It is known that the classical polynomials satisfy a certain SODE of hypergeometric type [36], [39] of the form

$$\sigma(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}P_n(x) + \tau(x)\frac{d}{dx}P_n(x) + \lambda P_n(x) = 0, \tag{51}$$

where degree $(\sigma) \leq 2$, degree $(\tau) \equiv 1$, degree $(\lambda) = 0$. Now if we take derivatives in (50) and use the SODE (51) we can obtain formulas similar to (50) but for the derivatives $\tilde{P}_n'(x)$ and $\tilde{P}_n''(x)$

$$r(x)\tilde{P}_n'(x) = c(x)P_n(x) + d(x)P_n'(x),$$
$$s(x)\tilde{P}_n''(x) = e(x)P_n(x) + f(x)P_n'(x),$$

where r, s, c, d, e, f are some functions of $\sigma(x)$, $\tau(x)$, λ, $q(x)$ $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ depending on x and n (they are polynomials in x of bounded degree). The above two expressions and (50) lead to the condition

$$\begin{vmatrix} q(x)\tilde{P}_n(x) & a(x) & b(x) \\ r(x)\tilde{P}_n'(x) & c(x) & d(x) \\ s(x)\tilde{P}_n''(x) & e(x) & f(x) \end{vmatrix} = 0\,. \tag{52}$$

Expanding the determinant in (52) by the first column, we find

$$\tilde{\sigma}_n(x)\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\tilde{P}_n(x) + \tilde{\tau}_n(x)\frac{d}{dx}\tilde{P}_n(x) + \tilde{\lambda}_n(x)\tilde{P}_n(x) = 0, \tag{53}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_n(x) &= s(x)\left[a(x)d(x) - c(x)b(x)\right], \\ \tilde{\tau}_n(x) &= r(x)[e(x)b(x) - a(x)f(x)], \\ \tilde{\lambda}_n(x) &= q(x)[c(x)f(x) - e(x)d(x)]. \end{aligned} \tag{54}$$

In some cases the coefficients can be simplified by some factor and the equation (53) becomes more simple. To conclude this section we will provide the SODE for the four considered polynomials. We want to remark that in order to obtain the explicit formulas of the coefficients of the SODE (54) we have used the symbolic package MATHEMATICA [41].

Jacobi-Koornwinder polynomials.

The existence of this SODE was proved by Koornwinder [27] and the coefficients were calculated explicitly in [20] and [5]. Using (54) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}_n(x) \;=\; & \left(1-x^2\right)\,(\,1 + J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} - \alpha\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} + \beta\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta} + J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha} + \alpha\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha} - \beta\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha} - 2\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, n + \\ & + 2\,\alpha\, {J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}}^2\, n - 2\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n - 4\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n - 2\,\alpha\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n - 2\,\beta\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n + \\ & + 2\,\beta\, {J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}}^2\, n + 2\, {J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}}^2\, n^2 + 2\, {J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}}^2\, n^2 - 2\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, x - 2\,\beta\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, x + 2\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, x + 2\,\alpha\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, x - \\ & - 2\,\alpha\, {J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}}^2\, n\, x - 2\,\alpha\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n\, x + 2\,\beta\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n\, x + 2\,\beta\, {J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}}^2\, n\, x - 2\, {J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}}^2\, n^2\, x + \\ & + 2\, {J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}}^2\, n^2\, x - x^2 + J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, x^2 + \alpha\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, x^2 + \beta\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, x^2 + J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, x^2 + \alpha\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, x^2 + \beta\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, x^2 \\ & + + 2\, J_{A,B}^{n,\alpha,\beta}\, n\, x^2 + 2\, J_{B,A}^{n,\beta,\alpha}\, n\, x^2\,) \end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\tilde{\tau}_n(x) = \\
&-\alpha+\beta+2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}-\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}+\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}+3\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}-2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}+\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}-2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}- \\
&-3\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}-\alpha^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}-\beta^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n+ \\
&+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n-2\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n+2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n+6\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n+ \\
&+2\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-6\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-2\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n-2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n+ \\
&+2\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n+2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2-2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2+ \\
&+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2-2\,x-\alpha\,x-\beta\,x-6\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x+3\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x+\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x-9\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x+2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x- \\
&-3\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x-6\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x-9\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x-3\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x+3\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x+2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x+\beta^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x+ \\
&+4\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x-8\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x-4\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x+4\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+ \\
&+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+16\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+12\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+4\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+ \\
&+12\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+4\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x-8\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x-4\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x-8\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2\,x- \\
&-4\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2\,x-8\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2\,x-4\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2\,x+\alpha\,x^2-\beta\,x^2+6\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2- \\
&-\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+9\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+3\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2-6\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2-9\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2- \\
&-3\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2-\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2-2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2+\beta^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x^2+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x^2+ \\
&+6\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x^2+2\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x^2+2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x^2-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2+ \\
&+6\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2+2\,\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2-6\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2-2\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2- \\
&-6\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2-2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2-2\,\beta^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2+6\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2\,x^2+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2\,x^2+ \\
&+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2\,x^2-6\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2\,x^2-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2\,x^2-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2\,x^2+2\,x^3+\alpha\,x^3+\beta\,x^3- \\
&-2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^3-3\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^3-\alpha^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^3-3\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^3-2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^3-\beta^2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^3- \\
&-2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^3-3\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^3-\alpha^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^3-3\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^3-2\,\alpha\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^3-\beta^2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^3- \\
&-4\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x^3-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x^3-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x^3-4\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^3-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^3-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^3
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\lambda}_n(x) \quad &= n\,(1+\alpha+\beta+n)\,(\,1+3\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}-\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}+\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}+3\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+ \\
&+\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}-\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+8\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}- \\
&2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}-2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n-2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n-2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-8\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n- \\
&-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n-2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n+2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2+ \\
&+2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2-4\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,x+4\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x+ \\
&+2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x-2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,x+2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n\,x- \\
&-2\,\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x-2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x+2\,\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n\,x-2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta^2}_{A,B}\,n^2\,x+ \\
&+2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha^2}_{B,A}\,n^2\,x-x^2+J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+\alpha\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+\beta\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,x^2+J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2+\alpha\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2+ \\
&+\beta\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,x^2+2\,J^{n,\alpha,\beta}_{A,B}\,n\,x^2+2\,J^{n,\beta,\alpha}_{B,A}\,n\,x^2\,)
\end{aligned}
$$

Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials.

The equation for the Laguerre-Koekoek polynomials was found in [25]. From (54) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\sigma}_n(x) \quad &= x\,\left(-\Gamma_n-\alpha\,\Gamma_n+{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+x+\Gamma_n\,x\right), \\
\tilde{\tau}_n(x) \quad &= \left(-2\,\Gamma_n-3\,\alpha\,\Gamma_n-\alpha^2\,\Gamma_n+2\,{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+\alpha\,{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+x+\alpha\,x+\right. \\
&\quad \left.+\,2\,\Gamma_n\,x+2\,\alpha\,\Gamma_n\,x-{\Gamma_n}^2\,n\,x-x^2-\Gamma_n\,x^2\right), \\
\tilde{\lambda}_n(x) \quad &= n\,\left(-2\,\Gamma_n-\alpha\,\Gamma_n-{\Gamma_n}^2+{\Gamma_n}^2\,n+x+\Gamma_n\,x\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Hermite-Krall polynomials.

The equation for the Hermite-Krall polynomials was found in [6]. Using (54) we deduce

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\sigma}_m(x) &= x\,\left(-B_m+2\,B_m^2\,m+2\,x^2+2\,B_m\,x^2\right), \\
\tilde{\tau}_m(x) &= 2\,\left(-B_m+2\,B_m^2\,m+B_m\,x^2-2\,B_m^2\,m\,x^2-2\,x^4-2\,B_m\,x^4\right), \qquad (55) \\
\tilde{\lambda}_m(x) &= 4\,m\,x\,\left(-3\,B_m-2\,B_m^2+2\,B_m^2\,m+2\,x^2+2\,B_m\,x^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials.

The equation for the Gegenbauer-Krall polynomials was found in [6]. Equation (54) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\sigma}_m(x) &= x\,(1-x^2)\,\Big(W_m^A + m\,{W_m^A}^2 - 2\,m^2\,{W_m^A}^2 - 2\,m\,\nu\,{W_m^A}^2 - \\
&\quad -2\,x^2 - 4\,m\,W_m^A\,x^2 - 2\,\nu\,W_m^A\,x^2\Big)\,, \\
\tilde{\tau}_m(x) &= -2\,W_m^A - 2\,m\,{W_m^A}^2 + 4\,m^2\,{W_m^A}^2 + 4\,m\,\nu\,{W_m^A}^2 + 3\,W_m^A\,x^2 + \\
&\quad +2\,\nu\,W_m^A\,x^2 + 3\,m\,{W_m^A}^2\,x^2 - 6\,m^2\,{W_m^A}^2\,x^2 - 4\,m\,\nu\,{W_m^A}^2\,x^2 - \\
&\quad -4\,m^2\,\nu\,{W_m^A}^2\,x^2 - 4\,m\,\nu^2\,{W_m^A}^2\,x^2 - 2\,x^4 - 4\,\nu\,x^4 - \\
&\quad -4\,m\,W_m^A\,x^4 - 2\,\nu\,W_m^A\,x^4 - 8\,m\,\nu\,W_m^A\,x^4 - 4\,\nu^2\,W_m^A\,x^4\,, \\
\tilde{\lambda}_m(x) &= 4\,m\,(m+\nu)\,x\,\Big(-3\,W_m^A + {W_m^A}^2 - 3\,m\,{W_m^A}^2 + 2\,m^2\,{W_m^A}^2 - \\
&\quad -2\,\nu\,{W_m^A}^2 + 2\,m\,\nu\,{W_m^A}^2 + 2\,x^2 + 4\,m\,W_m^A\,x^2 + 2\,\nu\,W_m^A\,x^2\Big)\,.
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

If in all cases we take the limit when the masses tend to zero we obtain the SODE of the corresponding classical polynomials. Again we remember that all explicit expressions for the coefficients of the SODE were obtained by using the computer algebra package MATHEMATICA [41] and they will be useful to study the spectral properties of the polynomials under consideration.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was finished during a stay of the third author (JP) in Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain. The research of the first two authors (RAN & FM) was partially supported by Comisión Interministerial de Ciencia y Tecnología (CICYT) of SPAIN under grant PB 93-0228-C02-01. The research of the third author (JP) was supported by the grant BD976 from Junta Nacional de Investigação Científica e Tecnológica (JNICT) of PORTUGAL.

References

[1] M. Abramowitz and I.Stegun Editors: **Handbook of Mathematical Functions.** Dover Publications, Inc., New York, 1972.

[2] R. Álvarez-Nodarse and F. Marcellán: *Difference equation for modification of Meixner polynomials.* J. Math. Anal. and Appl. **194**(3), (1995), 250-258.

[3] R. Álvarez-Nodarse A.G. García and F. Marcellán: *On the properties for modifications of classical orthogonal polynomials of discrete variables.* J. Comput. and Appl. Math. **65**, (1995), 3-18.

[4] R. Álvarez-Nodarse and F. Marcellán: *The modification of classical Hahn polynomials of a discrete variable.* Integral Transf. and Special Funct. **4**(4), (1995), 243-262.

[5] R. Álvarez-Nodarse and F. Marcellán: *The limit relations between generalized orthogonal polynomials.* Indag. Mathem. (1996). To appear.

[6] R. Álvarez-Nodarse and F. Marcellán: *On the Modifications of Classical Orthogonal Polynomials: The Symmetric Case.* (1996). Submitted.

[7] R. Álvarez-Nodarse, F. Marcellán and J. Petronilho: *On an exactly solvable quantum chain model.* Submitted

[8] E.R. Arriola, A. Zarzo and J.S. Dehesa: *Spectral Properties of the biconfluent Heun differential equation.* J. Comput. Appl. Math. **37**, (1991), 161-169.

[9] H. Bavinck and H. van Haeringen: *Difference equations for generalized Meixner polynomials.* J. Math. Anal. and Appl.**184** (3),(1994),453-463.

[10] H. Bavinck and R. Koekoek: *On a difference equation for generalizations of Charlier polynomials.* J. Approx. Th. **81**, (1995), 195-206.

[11] E. Buendía, S. J. Dehesa and M. A. Sánchez Buendía: *On the the polynomial solution of ordinary differential equations of the fourth order.* J. Math. Phys. **26** (1985), 1547-1552.

[12] E. Buendía, S. J. Dehesa and F. J. Gálvez: *The distribution of the zeros of the polynomial eigenfunction of ordinary differential operators of arbitrary order.* In Orthogonal Polynomials and their Applications. M.Alfaro et al. Eds. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. **Vol. 1329**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, 222-235.

[13] T. S. Chihara: *Orthogonal polynomials and measures with end point masses.* Rocky Mount. J. of Math.,**15**(3),(1985),705-719.

[14] T. S. Chihara: **An introduction to orthogonal polynomials.** Gordon and Breach. New York. 1978.

[15] N. Draïdi: *Sur l'adjonction de deux masses de Dirac à une forme linéaire régulière quelconque.* Thèse Doctorat de l'Université Pierre et Marie Curie. Paris, 1990.

[16] N. Draïdi and P. Maroni. *Sur l'adjonction de deux masses de Dirac à une forme régulière quelconque.* In **Polinomios ortogonales y sus aplicaciones.** A. Cachafeiro and E. Godoy Eds. Actas del V Simposium. Universidad de Santiago. Vigo 1988, 83-90.

[17] A. Erdélyi, A. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger and F. Tricomi: **Higher Transcendental Functions.** McGraw-Hill Book Co. New York, **Vol. 2**, 1953.

[18] E. Godoy, F. Marcellán, L. Salto and A. Zarzo: *Classical-type orthogonal polynomials: The discrete case.* Integral Transf. and Special Funct. (1997) To appear.

[19] M. J. C. Gover: *The Eigenproblem of a Tridiagonal 2-Toeplitz Matrix.* Linear Alg. and Its Applic. **197-198**. (1994). 63-78.

[20] H. Kiesel and J. Wimp: *A note on Koornwinder's polynomials with weight function* $(1-x)^{\alpha}\ (1+x)^{\beta} + M\delta(x+1) + N\delta(x-1)$. Num. Algorit. **11**, (1996), 229-241.

[21] J. Koekoek and R.Koekoek : *On a differential equation for Koornwinder's generalized Laguerre polynomials.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **112**, (1991), 1045-1054.

[22] R. Koekoek and H.G. Meijer: *A generalization of Laguerre polynomials.* SIAM J. Mat. Anal. **24** (3), (1993), 768-782.

[23] R. Koekoek: *Koornwinder's Laguerre Polynomials.* Delft Progress Report. **12**, (1988), 393-404.

[24] R.Koekoek: *Differential equations for symmetric generalized ultraspherical polynomials.* Transact. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **345**, (1994), 47-72.

[25] R. Koekoek: *Generalizations of the classical Laguerre Polynomials and some q-analogues.* Thesis, Delft University of Technology. 1990.

[26] W. Koepf: *A Package on Formal Power Series.* Mathematica Journal. **4** (2), (1994) 62-69.

[27] T. H. Koornwinder: *Orthogonal polynomials with weight function* $(1-x)^{\alpha}(1+x)^{\beta}+M\delta(x+1)+N\delta(x-1)$. Canad. Math. Bull. , **27** (2), (1984), 205-214.

[28] A. M. Krall: *Orthogonal Polynomials satisfying fourth order differential equations.* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, **87**, (1981), 271-288.

[29] H. L. Krall: *On Orthogonal Polynomials satisfying a certain fourth order differential equation.* The Pennsylvania State College Bulletin, **6**, (1940), 1-24.

[30] H.K. Kwon and S.B. Park: *Two points masses perturbation of regular moment functionals.* Indag. Math. (In press)

[31] F. Marcellán and P. Maroni: *Sur l'adjonction d'une masse de Dirac à une forme régulière et semi-classique.* Ann.Mat.Pura ed Appl., **IV**, CLXII, (1992), 1-22.

[32] F. Marcellán and J. Petronilho: *Eigenproblems for Tridiagonal 2-Toeplitz Matrices and Quadratic Polynomial Mappings.* Linear Alg. and Its Applic. (1996). To be appear.

[33] F. Marcellán and J. Petronilho: *Orthogonal Polynomials and Quadratic Transformations.* Submitted.

[34] F. Marcellán and A. Ronveaux: *Differential equations for classical type orthogonal polynomials.* Canad. Math. Bull. **32**, (4), (1989), 404-411.

[35] P. Nevai: **Orthogonal Polynomials.** Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. **213**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, Rhode Island, 1979.

[36] A.F. Nikiforov and V. B. Uvarov: **Special Functions of Mathematical Physics.** Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1988.

[37] E.D. Rainville: **Special Functions.** Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1971.

[38] J. Riordan: **An Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis.** Wiley, New York, 1958.

[39] G. Szegö: **Orthogonal Polynomials.** Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., **23**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1975 (4th edition).

[40] W. Van Assche: **Asymptotics for Orthogonal Polynomials.** Lecture Notes in Mathematics **Vol. 1265**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.

[41] S. Wolfram: *MATHEMATICA*. **A system for doing Mathematics by Computer**. Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., New York, 1991.

[42] A.Zarzo and J.S. Dehesa: *Spectral Properties of solutions of hypergeometric-type differential equations.* J. Comput. Appl. Math. **50**, (1994), 613-623.

[43] A.Zarzo, J.S. Dehesa and R.J. Yañez: *Distribution of zeros of Gauss and Kummer hypergeometric functions: A semiclassical approach.* Annals Numer. Math. **2**, (1995), 457-472.